



AGENDA
CITY OF GLENWOOD SPRINGS
Planning and Zoning Commission
Special Meeting
OCTOBER 19, 2021
Council Chambers, First Floor
101 W. 8TH STREET
6:00 PM

- 1 Roll Call
- 2 New Items
 - A. Review and Discussion of Vacation Rentals
 - B. Review and Discussion of the Community Survey Results
- 3 Commissioner Comments
- 4 Director Comments
- 5 Adjournment

Short Term Rental Review

Planning & Zoning Commission Work Session

October 19th, 2021



Vacation Rental Permits

In 2019, City Council modified regulations for Short Term Rentals and Accessory Tourist Rentals. This included adding regulations on increasing fees, implementing distance requirements, and requiring a building inspection. Currently, the City issues two types of permits as described below.

Short Term Rental (STR) – *The rental of an entire dwelling unit for monetary consideration for a period of time less than thirty (30) days, not including a bed or breakfast, residency unit, boarding or rooming house, or hotel, motel, hostel, or lodge. This definition does not include offering the use of one's property where no fee is charged or collected. Any new permit must be 250' from any other permits.*

Accessory Tourist Rental (ATR) – *The rental for monetary compensation of not more than one (1) bedroom for transient lodging to guests in those residential dwelling units that contain a minimum of two (2) bedrooms and are owner-occupied or occupied by a resident manager. No distance requirements.*



Permit Changes 2018/2019 to 2020/2021

Permits run on a two year calendar, expiring in odd-numbered years. The new regulations were applicable to all renewals for the 2020-2021 permit period. Since then, the total number of permitted vacation rentals in the City has decreased.

Permit Changes from 2018-2019 to 2020-2021


	2018-2019	2020-2021	# Change	% Change
Short Term Rentals	135	87	-48	-35.5%
Accessory Tourist Rentals	22	15	-7	-31.8%
Total Permits	157	102	-55	-35.0%



Terminated Permits 2018/2019 to 2020/2021


Since 2018-2019, there has been a significant decrease in permits. In total 60 STR permits and 12 ATR permits were removed. Reasons for permit termination seen below.

STR Terminated Permits



■ Declined to renew ■ Sold home* ■ Voluntarily terminated permit

ATR Terminated Permits



■ Declined to renew ■ Sold home* ■ Voluntarily terminated permit

Permits also expire with the sale of a home. New owners may or may not be eligible for a Short Term Rental Permit depending on distance from any existing permits. Of all permits removed, 4 properties regained an STR permit under a new owner and 2 properties regained an ATR permit.



Added Permits 2018/2019 to 2020/2021

Although overall trend is for a decrease in permits, there were permits issued during this permit period as seen in table below.

Added Permits 2020-2021			
	2020	2021	Total
Short Term Rentals	7	5	12
Accessory Tourist	3	2	5
Total	10	7	17



Permit Caps


The 2019 regulations also placed a total cap on the number of permits issued citywide. Caps were designated for areas within the GID and outside the GID. Existing permits and total capacity for GID and non-GID areas shown below.

Permit Caps 2020-2021				
	Existing Permits	Cap Limit	Cap Number	Current Capacity %
Within GID	15	18% of total free market units within GID*	30*	50%
Outside GID	72	5% of total free market units citywide**	198**	36%
Citywide	87	18% of GID + 5% of non-GID	198***	44%


- *Based on 172 free market units in GID from 2020 Garfield County information.
- **Based on 3,974 free market units citywide from 2019 demographer information.
- ***GID Short Term Rental Permits do not count towards the total permit number



South Glenwood Map



Central Glenwood Map




Downtown Glenwood Map



CITY OF
GLENWOOD
SPRINGS
COLORADO


Short Term Rentals



West Glenwood Map




Short Term Rentals



Tax Collection

Previously, the City collected lodging taxes individually from each owner. Now Air BnB and VRBO, the main listing sites have changed how they remit taxes to the City.



City of Glenwood Springs Tax Amounts

3.7% City of Glenwood Springs Sales Tax

2.5% City of Glenwood Springs Accommodation Tax

Additional Jurisdiction Tax Amounts

2.9% State of Colorado

2.5% Garfield County

1.0% Regional Transit Authority (RFTA)



Quired Action

- **Section 070.030.030(e)(7)(e)(5)**
 - Recommendation to waive this requirement for upcoming permit renewal period.

Penalties for Violations. Any violation of this section shall be subject to a fine of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250.00) for the first offense, five hundred dollars (\$500.00) for the second offense, seven hundred fifty dollars (\$750.00) for the third offense, and one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) for the fourth offense and all subsequent offenses. Each day's continuing violation shall be a separate and distinct offense.

A permit holder who fails to collect lodging taxes on an accessory tourist rental during the permit period shall not be permitted to renew the permit for the next two-year permit cycle.

- **Section 070.030.030(e)(7)(e)(5)**
 - Recommendation to waive this requirement for upcoming permit renewal period.

Penalties for Violations. Any violation of this section shall be subject to a fine of two hundred fifty dollars (\$250.00) for the first offense, five hundred dollars (\$500.00) for the second offense, seven hundred fifty dollars (\$750.00) for the third offense, and one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) for the fourth offense and all subsequent offenses. Each day's continuing violation shall be a separate and distinct offense.

A permit holder who fails to collect lodging taxes on an accessory tourist rental during the permit period shall not be permitted to renew the permit for the next two-year permit cycle.

- **Staff recommends**
 - Approval with condition that this is only for upcoming permit period of 2020-2021.



Review Criteria & Analysis

During the 2020-2021, the City Council enacted for a time a prohibition on use on all vacation rentals. During this time, permit holder were not allowed to operate. This closure may have caused permit holders to utilize their properties in different ways. In addition, some permit holders may have chosen to not utilize their permits due to public health concerns.

Also, in 2021 there were changes at the state level in how lodging taxes are collected which has resulted in significant changes in how Air BnB and VRBO, the main sites used by permit holders collect taxes.

Waiving this requirement for this permit period will do the following:

- Provide a form of relief to current permit holders
- Reduce staff time needed to determine how best to enforce this regulation in wake of major changes in tax collection.





September 29th, 2021

Memorandum

To: Jenn Ooton, City of Glenwood Springs

Fr: Magellan Strategies

Re: City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey Summary

This is a summary of an online survey of 861 respondents 18 and older who live in the City of Glenwood Springs, Colorado. The interviews were conducted from September 7th to September 22nd, 2021. This survey has a margin of error of +/- 3.15% at the 95% confidence interval. The survey data is weighted to be representative of the demographics of Glenwood Springs, CO.

Survey Objective

The objectives of this community survey were to measure and understand the opinions of Glenwood Springs residents regarding city services, programs, priorities, and quality of life aspects.

Resident Top Issues, Priorities & Problems

When asked to describe the top issues, priorities, or problems that residents want the City to address in the next year years, the most common responses focused on issues related to population growth. Specifically, affordable housing, traffic congestion and road maintenance were the top issues mentioned.

Resident Top Issues, Priorities & Problems

Please describe the top issues, priorities, or problems that you think the City of Glenwood Springs should address in the next three years.

Common Themes	Resident Feedback
Affordable Housing	"Affordable housing is the most important priority that the city should have. We need more housing overall, more housing subsidies and limits on predatory renting practices." -Female, 25-29, resident 1-5 years, Ward 1
Traffic, Congestion, Road Maintenance	"Infrastructure in west Glenwood, roads need to be priority and an emergency plan in the case of disasters. Roads throughout the city need work." -Female, 65-74, resident 1-5 Years, Ward 2
Population Growth, Glenwood Springs is Too Crowded	"The population is growing too rapidly. It makes traffic back up and depletes our only grocery store." -Female, 30-39, resident 20 Years, Ward 2
Homelessness	"Over population, development, neighborhood street repair." -Male, 40-49, resident 6-10 Years, Ward 3
Building a South Bridge	"1. Affordable housing (through regulation of Airbnb, encouraging long term ADUs, or funding new projects). 2. Traffic (South Bridge is a good start) 3. Equitable Internet access for all (opening municipal fiber to residential)" -Male, 40-49, resident 1-5 Years, Ward 5
Childcare, Kids Programs	

City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey, 861n, +/-3.15% MoE, September 2021

Quality of Life Opinion Measurements

The survey found solid ratings for the City of Glenwood Springs on several different broad quality of life measurements, including:

- 80% rate the City as an Excellent (29%) or Good (51%) place to live.
- 74% rate the overall quality of life as Excellent (22%) or Good (52%).
- 70% rate the City as an Excellent (28%) or Good (42%) place to raise a family.

The highest rated measurement was for Glenwood Springs as a place for recreation, as 53% rated the city Excellent and another 31% responded with Good.


The City received its lowest ratings on the following quality of life measurements:

- 12% rate the availability of housing choice as Excellent (3%) or Good (9%).
- 16% rate the traffic flow on major streets as Excellent (1%) or Good (15%).



These ratings are consistent with the “Top Issue” verbatim responses, as residents clearly view affordable housing and traffic/roads-related issues as areas where the City could improve.

Resident Satisfaction with City Services

Respondents were also asked to indicate their level of satisfaction with the overall value of services for the taxes paid to the City of Glenwood Springs. While not as high as the ratings on the broad quality of life questions, this was still a strong measurement as 56% of respondents are either Extremely (6%) or Somewhat Satisfied (50%).





In many cases there was less satisfaction when asked about specific city services, though often that was because a higher percentage did not have an opinion. However, a majority of 57% of respondents are either Not Satisfied or Extremely Dissatisfied with the City's services for people experiencing homelessness (unhoused), and 64% are either Not Satisfied (29%) or Extremely Dissatisfied (35%) with street repair in the City. Again, there is a connection here between these two issues and the top issues mentioned in the verbatim question at the beginning of the survey.




Resident Satisfaction with Public Safety, Recreation Services and Events/Outreach

There was generally a higher level of satisfaction when respondents were asked specifically about police services, fire and emergency medical services, parks/trails, the Community Center, and community events and outreach.




City Budget Priorities

When asked to ranking their top City budget priorities from a list of nine items, “Roadway maintenance, resurfacing, slow plowing and street sweeping” was the clear #1 choice, as 28% of respondents chose that as their top priority. However, when the responses for “Emergency preparedness” and “Fire and emergency medical services” are combined, 32% of respondents rank one of those as their top priority, so clearly there is a desire for the City to address that broad issue as well.




Opinion of Residential and Commercial Development


Respondents were asked a series of questions regarding the speed of development in Glenwood Springs over the past five years. On the development of new housing for sale, opinion is relatively split, though a plurality of 41% of respondents believe that it has been too fast.



There is more agreement on the speed of the development of new apartments, as a clear majority of 58% of respondents believe that it has been too fast, including a significant 41% who answered “much too fast”.




A question about retail and commercial growth reveals that nearly half (46%) of respondents believe it has been too slow, while another 32% believe that there has been the “right amount” of retail and commercial growth and only 14% believe it has been too fast.





City Focus on Residents Versus Tourists

With this unique question, we measured how respondents perceive and rate the City’s focus on residents versus tourists. A clear majority of 57% of respondents believe that the City is more focused on tourists than residents, while only 7% believe the opposite. A third group of 27% of respondents believe that the City has a balanced focus between the two groups.




Importance of Specific Land Use and Planning Issues

The below tables show how respondents rated the importance of various land use and planning issues. While all of the listed options were relatively important to respondents, those with the highest level of importance were: small town character and community, water use and conservation, and affordable/employee housing.



Potential Redevelopment in Retail and Commercial Areas

Respondents were asked whether they support or oppose the potential redevelopment of specific retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs. While a clear majority supported each of the five potential projects, redevelopment of Safeway was overwhelmingly popular at 88% support, followed by redevelopment of the West Glenwood Mall at 82%.



Police, Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness Questions


Overall, a majority of respondents are satisfied with the performance of the Glenwood Springs Police Department (28% Extremely / 39% Somewhat Satisfied) and especially the Glenwood Springs Fire Department (56% Extremely / 28% Somewhat Satisfied). Satisfaction is lower when asked about more specific aspects of police and fire service, but there are no real areas of concern.

However, opinion is somewhat more divided on the question of whether the City is well prepared to respond to an emergency situation such as a wildfire or flood. While a majority of 54% of respondents agree with that statement, a sizable minority of 38% disagree, including 21% who strongly disagree. This suggests that some residents would benefit from communications regarding the City's preparations in the event of an emergency.




Questions About City Communications

Respondents were asked whether they agree or disagree with the following statement: "The City of Glenwood Springs takes resident input into consideration when making decisions." A majority of 56% of respondents disagree with the statement, while 37% agree.



Sources of Information about the City of Glenwood Springs

Finally, respondents were asked to select from a list of options how they are currently receiving information about the City of Glenwood Springs. The following graph shows the ranked responses.



About Magellan Strategies

Magellan Strategies is a national full-service opinion polling and survey research firm. We have provided polling services to municipalities, school districts, local governments, ballot issue campaigns, candidates, government relations firms, and trade associations since 2006.





City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey


September 7th to 22nd, 2021



Survey Objectives

The objectives of this community survey were to measure and understand the opinions of Glenwood Springs residents regarding city services, programs, priorities, and quality of life aspects.






Survey Methodology

- Magellan Strategies are pleased to present the results of a survey of 861 residents in the City of Glenwood Springs, CO. Survey data collection included sending an MMS text message to 3,478 residents, posting a survey link on the city's website, promotion on city social media channels and posting a QR code at City Hall and the Community Center.
- Interviews were conducted from September 7th to 22nd, 2021.
- The overall survey responses have a margin of error of +/- 3.15% at the 95% confidence interval. Population subgroups will have a higher margin of error than the overall sample.
- The survey results were weighted to be representative of the demographics of the city's adult population.

City of Glenwood Springs Ward Map



Glenwood Springs Voting Ward Boundary Descriptions: Revised 12/8/14, Robin McIlhenny

WARD 1: ALL THAT AREA SOUTHERLY AND WESTERLY OF A LINE STARTING AT THE INTERSECTION OF THE WESTERLY CITY LIMITS LINE SOUTHERLY OF THE COLORADO RIVER, EXTENDED NORTH, AND THE CENTERLINE OF THE COLORADO RIVER, THEN EASTERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF THE COLORADO RIVER TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINES OF THE COLORADO RIVER AND GRAND AVENUE (STATE HIGHWAY 82), THEN SOUTHERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF GRAND AVENUE AND 14TH STREET, THEN WESTERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF 14TH STREET TO THE CENTERLINE OF COACH DON MILLER DRIVE, THEN WESTERLY AND SOUTHERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF COACH DON MILLER DRIVE TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINE OF COACH DON MILLER DRIVE AND THE NORTHERLY LINE OF THE PARCEL OF CITY OWNED LAND LOCATED AT 1477 RIVERSIDE DRIVE (KLINE FAMILY LLC PARCEL), EXTENDED EASTERLY, THEN WESTERLY ALONG THE NORTHERLY LINE OF THE PARCEL OF CITY OWNED LAND LOCATED AT 1477 RIVERSIDE DRIVE TO THE CENTERLINE OF THE ROARING FORK RIVER, THEN SOUTHERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF THE ROARING FORK RIVER TO THE INTERSECTIONS OF THE CENTERLINES OF THE ROARING FORK RIVER AND 27TH STREET, THEN WESTERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF 27TH STREET TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINES OF 27TH STREET AND MIDLAND AVENUE, THEN SOUTHERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF MIDLAND AVENUE TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINE OF MIDLAND AVENUE AND THE SOUTHERLY LINE, EXTENDED EASTERLY, OF THE PARCEL OF LAND PLATTED AS RIVERVIEW TERRACE.

WARD 2: ALL OF THAT AREA NORTHERLY AND WESTERLY OF A LINE STARTING AT A POINT ON THE CENTERLINE OF THE COLORADO RIVER, SAID POINT BEING SOUTH OF THE WESTERN MOST POINT ON THE CITY LIMITS LINE NORTHERLY OF THE COLORADO RIVER, THEN EASTERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF THE COLORADO RIVER TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINES OF THE COLORADO RIVER AND DEVEREUX ROAD, THEN NORTHERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF DEVEREUX ROAD TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINES OF DEVEREUX ROAD AND STATE HIGHWAY 6, THEN WESTERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF STATE HIGHWAY 6 TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINES OF STATE HIGHWAY 6 AND TRAVEL TRAIL, EXTENDED SOUTHERLY, THEN NORTHERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF TRAVEL TRAIL TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINES OF TRAVEL TRAIL AND TRANSFER TRAIL, EXTENDED SOUTHERLY, THEN NORTHERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF TRANSFER TRAIL TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINE OF TRANSFER TRAIL AND THE CITY LIMITS LINE LOCATED ON THE WESTERLY LINE OF LOT 2, SECTION 4, T 6 S., R. 89 W., 6TH PM.

WARD 3: ALL THAT AREA NORTHERLY AND EASTERLY OF A LINE STARTING AT THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINE OF 14TH STREET, EXTENDED EASTERLY, AND THE EASTERLY CITY LIMITS LINE, THEN WESTERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF 14TH STREET TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINES OF 14TH STREET AND GRAND AVENUE (STATE HIGHWAY 82), THEN SOUTHERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF GRAND AVENUE TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINES OF GRAND AVENUE AND THE COLORADO RIVER, THEN WESTERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF THE COLORADO RIVER TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINES OF THE COLORADO RIVER AND DEVEREUX ROAD AND STATE HIGHWAY 6, THEN WESTERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF STATE HIGHWAY 6 TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINES OF STATE HIGHWAY 6 AND TRAVEL TRAIL, EXTENDED SOUTHERLY, THEN NORTHERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF TRAVEL TRAIL TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINES OF TRAVEL TRAIL AND TRANSFER TRAIL, EXTENDED SOUTHERLY, THEN NORTHERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF TRANSFER TRAIL TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINE OF TRANSFER TRAIL AND THE CITY LIMITS LINE LOCATED ON THE WESTERLY LINE OF LOT 2, SECTION 4, T 6 S., R. 89 W., 6TH PM.


WARD 4: ALL THAT AREA SOUTHERLY AND EASTERLY OF A LINE STARTING AT THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINE OF 14TH STREET, EXTENDED EASTERLY, AND THE EASTERLY CITY LIMITS LINE, THEN WESTERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF 14TH STREET TO THE CENTERLINE OF COACH DON MILLER DRIVE, THEN WESTERLY AND SOUTHERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF COACH DON MILLER DRIVE TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINE OF COACH DON MILLER DRIVE AND THE NORTHERLY LINE OF THE PARCEL OF CITY OWNED LAND LOCATED AT 1477 RIVERSIDE DRIVE (KLINE FAMILY LLC PARCEL), EXTENDED EASTERLY, THEN WESTERLY ALONG THE NORTHERLY LINE OF THE PARCEL OF CITY OWNED LAND LOCATED AT 1477 RIVERSIDE DRIVE TO THE CENTERLINE OF THE ROARING FORK RIVER, THEN SOUTHERLY ALONG THE CENTERLINE OF THE ROARING FORK RIVER TO THE INTERSECTION OF THE CENTERLINE OF THE ROARING FORK RIVER AND THE EASTERLY LINE OF ROSEBUD CEMETERY, EXTENDED SOUTH.

- Inclusions:**
- 1 - Midland and Grade Subdivision
 - 2 - Petre, et al
 - 3 - Otter
 - 4 - Cator-Smith
 - 5 - Idlings
 - 6 - Weber
 - 7 - Aldorf
 - 8 - Forer
 - 9 - Cardiff Township
 - 10 - Airport Center
- Voting Ward Number**
- Ward 1
 - Ward 2
 - Ward 3
 - Ward 4
 - Ward 5
 - City Boundary
 - Parcel Boundary



City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey

Resident Opinion of Top Issues,
Priorities and Problems the City
Should Address the Most



Resident Top Issues, Priorities & Problems

Please describe the top issues, priorities, or problems that you think the City of Glenwood Springs should address in the next three years.

Common Themes

Affordable Housing

Traffic, Congestion, Road Maintenance

Population Growth, Glenwood Springs is Too Crowded

Homelessness

Building a South Bridge

Childcare, Kids Programs

“Affordable housing is the most important priority that the city should have. We need more housing overall, more housing subsidies and limits on predatory renting practices.” -**Female, 25-29, resident 1-5 years, Ward 1**

“Infrastructure in west Glenwood, roads need to be priority and an emergency plan in the case of disasters. Roads throughout the city need work.” -**Female, 65-74, resident 1-5 Years, Ward 2**

“The population is growing too rapidly. It makes traffic back up and depletes our only grocery store.” -**Female, 30-39, resident 20 Years, Ward 2**

“Over population, development, neighborhood street repair.” -**Male, 40-49, resident 6-10 Years, Ward 3**

“1. Affordable housing (through regulation of Airbnb, encouraging long term ADUs, or funding new projects). 2. Traffic (South Bridge is a good start) 3. Equitable Internet access for all (opening municipal fiber to residential)” -**Male, 40-49, resident 1-5 Years, Ward 5**

Resident Top Issues, Priorities & Problems

Please describe the top issues, priorities, or problems that you think the City of Glenwood Springs should address in the next three years.


[bridge](#) [fire](#) [downtown](#) [planning](#) [growth](#) [airport](#)
[infrastructure](#)
[public safety](#) [development](#) [taxes](#) [parking](#) [local](#)
Street & road repairs
[residents](#)
Affordable Housing. [lack](#)
Traffic & Congestion
[community](#) [Homelessness.](#) [improve](#) [water](#) [problem](#)
[building](#) [open space](#)
[South Bridgework](#)

▼ Affordable Housing. ⓘ		36.59%	311
▼ Street & road repairs ⓘ		29.41%	250
▼ Traffic & Congestion ⓘ		23.53%	200
▼ parking		11.65%	99
▼ Homelessness. ⓘ		9.65%	82
▼ development		8%	68
▼ water		7.76%	66
▼ infrastructure		7.65%	65
▼ building		6.12%	52
▼ growth		5.53%	47



City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey


Quality of Life Questions




Quality of Life Opinion Measurements

How would you rate the City of Glenwood Springs on the overall quality of life?

Excellent & Good Combined 74%



■ Excellent ■ Good
■ Fair ■ Poor
■ No Opinion




■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Fair ■ Poor


Quality of Life Opinion Measurements

How would you rate the City of Glenwood Springs on the overall quality of life?

Excellent & Good Combined 74%



■ Excellent
■ Fair
■ No Opinion
■ Good
■ Poor




■ Excellent
■ Good
■ Fair
■ Poor




Quality of Life Opinion Measurements

How would you rate your neighborhood as a place to live?

Excellent & Good Combined 78%



■ Excellent ■ Good
■ Fair ■ Poor
■ No Opinion




■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Fair ■ Poor ■ No Opinion




Quality of Life Opinion Measurements

How would you rate your neighborhood as a place to live?

Excellent & Good Combined | 78%



■ Excellent
■ Fair
■ No Opinion
■ Good
■ Poor




■ Excellent
■ Good
■ Fair
■ Poor


Quality of Life Opinion Measurements

How would you rate Glenwood Springs as a place to live?

Excellent & Good Combined 80%



■ Excellent ■ Good
■ Fair ■ Poor
■ No Opinion




■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Fair ■ Poor


Quality of Life Opinion Measurements

How would you rate Glenwood Springs as a place to live?

Excellent & Good Combined | 80%



■ Excellent ■ Good
■ Fair ■ Poor
■ No Opinion




■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Fair ■ Poor




Quality of Life Opinion Measurements

How would you rate Glenwood Springs as a place to raise a family?

Excellent & Good Combined 70%



■ Excellent ■ Good
■ Fair ■ Poor
■ No Opinion




■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Fair ■ Poor ■ No Opinion




Quality of Life Opinion Measurements

How would you rate Glenwood Springs as a place to raise a family?

Excellent & Good Combined | 70%



■ Excellent ■ Good
■ Fair ■ Poor
■ No Opinion




■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Fair ■ Poor ■ No Opinion




Quality of Life Opinion Measurements

How would you rate Glenwood Springs as a place to retire?

Excellent & Good Combined 49%



■ Excellent ■ Good
■ Fair ■ Poor
■ No Opinion




■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Fair ■ Poor ■ No Opinion




Quality of Life Opinion Measurements

How would you rate Glenwood Springs as a place to retire?

Excellent & Good Combined 49%



■ Excellent ■ Good
■ Fair ■ Poor
■ No Opinion




■ Excellent ■ Good ■ Fair ■ Poor ■ No Opinion




Quality of Life Opinion Measurements

How would you rate the City of Glenwood Springs on the following quality of life aspects?




Quality of Life Opinion Measurements

How would you rate the City of Glenwood Springs on the following quality of life aspects?



Quality of Life Opinion Measurements

How would you rate the City of Glenwood Springs on the following quality of life aspects?



City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey


Resident Satisfaction of “Day to Day” City Services




Resident Satisfaction of City Services

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the overall value of services for the taxes paid to the City of Glenwood Springs.

Extremely & Somewhat Combined 56%



- Extremely Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Not Satisfied
- Extremely Dissatisfied




- Extremely Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Not Satisfied
- Extremely Dissatisfied
- No Opinion




Resident Satisfaction of City Services

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the overall value of services for the taxes paid to the City of Glenwood Springs.

Extremely & Somewhat Combined 56%




- Extremely Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Not Satisfied
- Extremely Dissatisfied




Resident Satisfaction of City Services

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following City services.




Resident Satisfaction of City Services

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following City services.



Resident Satisfaction of City Services


Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following City services.




Resident Satisfaction of City Services

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following City services.

Residential and commercial permitting, land planning and development



Street repair



- Extremely Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Not Satisfied
- Extremely Dissatisfied
- No Opinion




City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey

Resident Satisfaction of
Public Safety, Parks & Community City
Services




Resident Satisfaction of City Services

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following City services.



Resident Satisfaction of City Services

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following City services.




City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey

Budget Priorities



The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority. **Ranked by #1 Choice**




City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey

Opinion of Residential and Commercial Development




How would you rate the speed of the development of **new housing for sale** over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?




- Total Too Fast
- Total Too Slow
- Right Amount
- No Opinion

Much Too Fast	22%
Somewhat Too Fast	19%
Much Too Slow	12%
Somewhat Too Slow	18%




- Total Too Fast
- Total Too Slow
- Right Amount
- Don't Know

How would you rate the speed of the development of **new housing for sale** over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?




- Total Too Fast
- Total Too Slow
- Right Amount
- No Opinion

Much Too Fast	22%
Somewhat Too Fast	19%
Much Too Slow	12%
Somewhat Too Slow	18%




- Total Too Fast
- Total Too Slow
- Right Amount
- Don't Know

How would you rate the speed of the development of **new apartments** over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?



- Total Too Fast
- Total Too Slow
- Right Amount
- No Opinion


Much Too Fast	41%
Somewhat Too Fast	17%
Much Too Slow	11%
Somewhat Too Slow	11%



- Total Too Fast
- Total Too Slow
- Right Amount
- Don't Know




How would you rate the speed of the development of **new apartments** over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?



- Total Too Fast
- Total Too Slow
- Right Amount
- No Opinion


Much Too Fast	41%
Somewhat Too Fast	17%
Much Too Slow	11%
Somewhat Too Slow	11%



- Total Too Fast
- Total Too Slow
- Right Amount
- Don't Know




How would you rate the speed of **retail and commercial growth** over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?



- Total Too Fast
- Total Too Slow
- Right Amount
- No Opinion


Much Too Fast	7%
Somewhat Too Fast	7%
Much Too Slow	15%
Somewhat Too Slow	31%



- Total Too Fast
- Total Too Slow
- Right Amount
- Don't Know




How would you rate the speed of **retail and commercial growth** over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?



- Total Too Fast
- Total Too Slow
- Right Amount
- No Opinion


Much Too Fast	7%
Somewhat Too Fast	7%
Much Too Slow	15%
Somewhat Too Slow	31%




- Total Too Fast
- Total Too Slow
- Right Amount
- Don't Know



How do you rate city government's focus on residents versus tourists?




- City More Focused on Tourists
- City Has a Balanced Focus
- City is More Focused on Residents
- No Opinion




- More Focused on Tourists
- Balanced Focus
- More Focused on Residents
- No Opinion



How do you rate city government's focus on residents versus tourists?



- City More Focused on Tourists
- City Has a Balanced Focus
- City More Focused on Residents
- No Opinion



- More Focused on Tourists
- Balanced Focus
- More Focused on Residents
- No Opinion




City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey

Importance of Land Use and Planning Issues




Importance of Land Use and Planning Issues

Please indicate the importance of the following land use and planning issues.




Importance of Land Use and Planning Issues

Please indicate the importance of the following land use and planning issues.




City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey

Opinion of Potential Redevelopment
in Retail and Commercial Areas



Opinion of Retail and Commercial Redevelopment

Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?




City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey

Police and Public Safety Questions




Police and Public Safety Questions

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of public safety in Glenwood Springs. **The overall performance of the Glenwood Springs Police Department**



- Extremely Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Not Satisfied
- Extremely Dissatisfied
- No Opinion




- Extremely Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Not Satisfied
- Extremely Dissatisfied
- No Opinion


Police and Public Safety Questions

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of public safety in Glenwood Springs.

The overall performance of the Glenwood Springs Police Department



- Extremely Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Not Satisfied
- Extremely Dissatisfied
- No Opinion




- Extremely Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Not Satisfied
- Extremely Dissatisfied
- No Opinion


Police and Public Safety Questions

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of public safety in Glenwood Springs.

The overall performance of the Glenwood Springs Fire Department



- Extremely Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Not Satisfied
- Extremely Dissatisfied
- No Opinion




- Extremely Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Not Satisfied
- Extremely Dissatisfied
- No Opinion




Police and Public Safety Questions

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of public safety in Glenwood Springs.

The overall performance of the Glenwood Springs Fire Department



- Extremely Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Not Satisfied
- Extremely Dissatisfied
- No Opinion




- Extremely Satisfied
- Somewhat Satisfied
- Not Satisfied
- Extremely Dissatisfied
- No Opinion




Police and Public Safety Questions

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of public safety in Glenwood Springs.




Do you agree or disagree with the following statement?
 “The City of Glenwood Springs is well prepared to respond to an emergency situation such as a wildfire or flood.”



■ Total Agree
 ■ Total Disagree
 ■ Unsure or No Opinion


Strongly Agree	16%
Somewhat Agree	38%
Strongly Disagree	21%
Somewhat Disagree	17%



■ Total Agree ■ Total Disagree ■ Unsure or No Opinion




Do you agree or disagree with the following statement?
 “The City of Glenwood Springs is well prepared to respond to an emergency situation such as a wildfire or flood.”



- Total Agree
- Total Disagree
- Unsure or No Opinion

Strongly Agree	16%
Somewhat Agree	38%
Strongly Disagree	21%
Somewhat Disagree	17%



- Total Agree
- Total Disagree
- Unsure or No Opinion


City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey

Questions About City Communications




Do you agree or disagree with the following statement?

“The City of Glenwood Springs takes resident input into consideration when making decisions.”



- Total Agree
- Total Disagree
- Unsure or No Opinion

Strongly Agree	7%
Somewhat Agree	30%
Strongly Disagree	30%
Somewhat Disagree	26%




- Total Agree
- Total Disagree
- Unsure or No Opinion




Do you agree or disagree with the following statement?

“The City of Glenwood Springs takes resident input into consideration when making decisions.”



- Total Agree
- Total Disagree
- Unsure or No Opinion


Strongly Agree	7%
Somewhat Agree	30%
Strongly Disagree	30%
Somewhat Disagree	26%



- Total Agree
- Total Disagree
- Unsure or No Opinion



How are you currently receiving information about the City of Glenwood Springs? (Choose all that apply)



Opinions of How City Can Improve Information Dissemination and Public Engagement

How can the city improve their efforts to disseminate information and provide opportunities for public engagement?

Common Themes

Post meeting announcements in the local paper & write a regular column

Text and email notifications

Use social media more

Repetition of announcements using multiple media channels

“Radio, mail out the information on important issues they are working on. Also email this information to residents. Listen when people talk to them at meetings.” -**Female, 65-74, resident 20 years, Ward 1**

“There is plenty of opportunity to participate if one wants to. The city is doing a good job of getting the information out there.” – **Male, 50-54, resident 20 years, Ward 1**

“Hold meetings, meet and greet at locations that people gather. Extended Table Two Rivers Community Center Parks.” – **Female, 65-74, resident 20 years, Ward 2**

“Reach out to local Spanish speakers through school and church.” – **Male, 50-54, resident 16-20 years, Ward 3**

“I am an older resident and think you should have a column in the post independent.” – **Female, 55-64, resident 16-20 years, Ward 3**

City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey

Comparison to Similar Colorado Communities






How Glenwood Springs Quality of Life Measurements Compare to Similar Colorado Communities (% Excellent or Good Rating)

Quality of Life Aspect	Glenwood Springs	Steamboat Springs	Estes Park	Rifle	Monument	Cañon City	Wellington	Fruita
Overall Quality of Life	74%	91%	75%	58%	N/A	59%	N/A	93%
A Place to Live	80%	94%	79%	N/A	87%	70%	71%	N/A
A Place to Raise a Family	70%	90%	62%	N/A	83%	N/A	69%	N/A
A Place to Retire	49%	80%	73%	N/A	68%	69%	43%	N/A
A Place to Work	47%	52%	42%	N/A	23%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall Value of Services	56%	74%	56%	37%	64%	26%	N/A	65%




Survey Demographics



Living in Glenwood Springs



- Female
- Male
- Less than a Year
- 1-5 Years
- White, Non-Hispanic
- Hispanic, All Races
- Non-Binary
- Identify Differently
- 6-10 Years
- 11-15 Years
- Black, African American
- Asian, Asian American
- 16-20 Years
- More than 20 Years
- Multiple Races
- Other



Survey Demographics





David Flaherty | Courtney Sievers | Ryan Winger
1685 Boxelder Street, Suite 300 | Louisville, CO 80027
www.MagellanStrategies.com | 303-861-8585



Magellan Strategies

City of Glenwood Springs 2021 Community Survey Topline Results

Magellan Strategies are pleased to present the topline results of an online survey of 861 respondents 18 and older who live in the City of Glenwood Springs, Colorado. The interviews were conducted from September 7th to 22nd, 2021. This survey has a margin of error of +/- 3.15% at the 95 percent confidence interval. The survey data was weighted to be representative of the demographics of Glenwood Springs, CO.

S1. Before we begin, could you please verify for us that you are at least 18 years old and a resident of Glenwood Springs, Colorado?

Yes..... 100%

Glenwood Springs Resident Top Issue Priority

T1. Please describe the top issues, priorities, or problems that you think the City of Glenwood Springs should address in the next three years.

**Verbatim responses attached in separate document.*

Quality of Life Questions

How would you rate the City of Glenwood Springs on the following quality of life aspects?

T2. Overall quality of life in Glenwood Springs

Excellent and Good Combined	74%
Excellent	22%
Good	52%
Fair	20%
Poor	6%
No Opinion	0%

T3. Your neighborhood as a place to live

Excellent and Good Combined	78%
Excellent	34%
Good	44%
Fair	16%
Poor	5%
No Opinion	1%

T4. Glenwood Springs as a place to live

Excellent and Good Combined	80%
Excellent	29%
Good	51%
Fair	14%
Poor	6%
No Opinion	0%

T5. Glenwood Springs as a place to raise a family

Excellent and Good Combined	70%
Excellent	28%
Good	42%
Fair	20%
Poor	5%
No Opinion	5%

T6. Glenwood Springs as a place to retire

Excellent and Good Combined	49%
Excellent	20%
Good	29%
Fair	24%
Poor	15%
No Opinion	12%



T7. Glenwood Springs as a place to work and find a job

Excellent and Good Combined	47%
Excellent	9%
Good	38%
Fair	32%
Poor	17%
No Opinion	4%

T8. Glenwood Springs as a place for dining and restaurant options

Excellent and Good Combined	73%
Excellent	27%
Good	46%
Fair	18%
Poor	9%
No Opinion	0%

T9. Glenwood Springs as a place to start a business

Excellent and Good Combined	28%
Excellent	5%
Good	23%
Fair	30%
Poor	20%
No Opinion	22%

T10. Ease of bus travel in Glenwood Springs

Excellent and Good Combined	57%
Excellent	22%
Good	35%
Fair	18%
Poor	8%
No Opinion	17%



T11. Ease of bicycle travel in Glenwood Springs

Excellent and Good Combined	66%
Excellent	23%
Good	43%
Fair	22%
Poor	5%
No Opinion	7%

T12. Ease of walking Glenwood Springs

Excellent and Good Combined	75%
Excellent	25%
Good	50%
Fair	19%
Poor	5%
No Opinion	1%

T13. Availability of paths and walking trails in Glenwood Springs

Excellent and Good Combined	81%
Excellent	32%
Good	49%
Fair	14%
Poor	4%
No Opinion	1%

T14. Traffic flow on major streets

Excellent and Good Combined	16%
Excellent	1%
Good	15%
Fair	32%
Poor	52%
No Opinion	0%



T15. Availability of housing choice

Excellent and Good Combined	12%
Excellent	3%
Good	9%
Fair	20%
Poor	63%
No Opinion	5%

T16. Availability of affordable quality childcare

Excellent and Good Combined	7%
Excellent	3%
Good	5%
Fair	9%
Poor	42%
No Opinion	41%

T17. Availability of affordable quality health care

Excellent and Good Combined	25%
Excellent	4%
Good	21%
Fair	28%
Poor	42%
No Opinion	5%

T18. Glenwood Springs as a place for recreation

Excellent and Good Combined	84%
Excellent	53%
Good	31%
Fair	10%
Poor	6%
No Opinion	0%



T19. Glenwood Springs as a sustainable community

Excellent and Good Combined	35%
Excellent	7%
Good	28%
Fair	39%
Poor	19%
No Opinion	7%

Satisfaction with City Services

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following City services.

T20. Overall value of services for the taxes paid to the City of Glenwood Springs

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	56%
Extremely Satisfied	6%
Somewhat Satisfied	50%
Not Satisfied	25%
Extremely Dissatisfied	13%
No Opinion	6%

T21. Utility billing

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	60%
Extremely Satisfied	16%
Somewhat Satisfied	44%
Not Satisfied	18%
Extremely Dissatisfied	12%
No Opinion	10%

T22. Public meetings of local elected officials or other local public meetings

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	48%
Extremely Satisfied	6%
Somewhat Satisfied	42%
Not Satisfied	14%
Extremely Dissatisfied	13%
No Opinion	25%



T23. Water and wastewater treatment

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	74%
Extremely Satisfied	23%
Somewhat Satisfied	51%
Not Satisfied	9%
Extremely Dissatisfied	6%
No Opinion	11%

T24. Street repair

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	35%
Extremely Satisfied	4%
Somewhat Satisfied	31%
Not Satisfied	29%
Extremely Dissatisfied	35%
No Opinion	1%

T25. Snow removal

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	79%
Extremely Satisfied	30%
Somewhat Satisfied	49%
Not Satisfied	14%
Extremely Dissatisfied	5%
No Opinion	2%

T26. Street lighting

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	76%
Extremely Satisfied	20%
Somewhat Satisfied	56%
Not Satisfied	12%
Extremely Dissatisfied	5%
No Opinion	7%



T27. Landfill/Recycling Center

Extremely and Somewhat Combined..... 75%

Extremely Satisfied 27%

Somewhat Satisfied 48%

Not Satisfied 11%

Extremely Dissatisfied 5%

No Opinion 9%

T28. Electric services

Extremely and Somewhat Combined..... 85%

Extremely Satisfied 33%

Somewhat Satisfied 52%

Not Satisfied 4%

Extremely Dissatisfied 2%

No Opinion 9%

T29. Broadband (Community Broadband Network)

Extremely and Somewhat Combined..... 39%

Extremely Satisfied 12%

Somewhat Satisfied 27%

Not Satisfied 14%

Extremely Dissatisfied 7%

No Opinion 40%

T30. Ride Glenwood Springs

Extremely and Somewhat Combined..... 51%

Extremely Satisfied 21%

Somewhat Satisfied 30%

Not Satisfied 9%

Extremely Dissatisfied 4%

No Opinion 36%



T31. City parks, pedestrian trails, and bicycle trails

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	90%
Extremely Satisfied	42%
Somewhat Satisfied	48%
Not Satisfied	7%
Extremely Dissatisfied	2%
No Opinion	1%

T32. Community Center (including programs or classes)

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	65%
Extremely Satisfied	23%
Somewhat Satisfied	42%
Not Satisfied	9%
Extremely Dissatisfied	5%
No Opinion	21%

T33. Community outreach and communications

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	54%
Extremely Satisfied	12%
Somewhat Satisfied	42%
Not Satisfied	22%
Extremely Dissatisfied	7%
No Opinion	17%

T34. Bilingual outreach efforts

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	37%
Extremely Satisfied	13%
Somewhat Satisfied	24%
Not Satisfied	9%
Extremely Dissatisfied	6%
No Opinion	48%



T35. Community events, gatherings, concerts, and holiday celebrations

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	67%
Extremely Satisfied	20%
Somewhat Satisfied	47%
Not Satisfied	19%
Extremely Dissatisfied	6%
No Opinion	8%

T36. Residential and commercial permitting, land planning and development

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	28%
Extremely Satisfied	5%
Somewhat Satisfied	23%
Not Satisfied	25%
Extremely Dissatisfied	21%
No Opinion	26%

T37. Economic development

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	43%
Extremely Satisfied	7%
Somewhat Satisfied	36%
Not Satisfied	27%
Extremely Dissatisfied	11%
No Opinion	19%

T38. Police services and crime prevention

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	70%
Extremely Satisfied	24%
Somewhat Satisfied	46%
Not Satisfied	11%
Extremely Dissatisfied	12%
No Opinion	7%



T39. Fire and emergency medical services

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	88%
Extremely Satisfied	48%
Somewhat Satisfied	40%
Not Satisfied	2%
Extremely Dissatisfied	2%
No Opinion	8%

T40. Emergency preparedness (including fire and flood preparation)

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	60%
Extremely Satisfied	21%
Somewhat Satisfied	39%
Not Satisfied	16%
Extremely Dissatisfied	14%
No Opinion	10%

T41. Municipal court

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	36%
Extremely Satisfied	12%
Somewhat Satisfied	24%
Not Satisfied	4%
Extremely Dissatisfied	3%
No Opinion	57%

T42. Services for people experiencing homelessness (unhoused)

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	20%
Extremely Satisfied	6%
Somewhat Satisfied	14%
Not Satisfied	31%
Extremely Dissatisfied	26%
No Opinion	23%



City Budget Priorities

T43. The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.

Roadway maintenance, resurfacing, snow plowing, and street sweeping

Ranked #1	28%
Ranked #2	18%
Ranked #3	17%
Ranked #4	12%
Ranked #5	9%
Ranked #6	6%
Ranked #7	2%
Ranked #8	6%
Ranked #9	2%

Parks planning and management

Ranked #1	4%
Ranked #2	4%
Ranked #3	8%
Ranked #4	8%
Ranked #5	14%
Ranked #6	15%
Ranked #7	18%
Ranked #8	19%
Ranked #9	10%

Police services

Ranked #1	8%
Ranked #2	13%
Ranked #3	10%
Ranked #4	14%
Ranked #5	13%
Ranked #6	9%
Ranked #7	9%
Ranked #8	8%
Ranked #9	16%



Fire and emergency medical services

Ranked #1	16%
Ranked #2	22%
Ranked #3	15%
Ranked #4	12%
Ranked #5	8%
Ranked #6	10%
Ranked #7	8%
Ranked #8	5%
Ranked #9	4%

Emergency preparedness (including fire and flood preparation)

Ranked #1	16%
Ranked #2	17%
Ranked #3	16%
Ranked #4	14%
Ranked #5	13%
Ranked #6	9%
Ranked #7	7%
Ranked #8	5%
Ranked #9	3%

Water/wastewater treatment, wastewater, and water billing services

Ranked #1	11%
Ranked #2	10%
Ranked #3	16%
Ranked #4	17%
Ranked #5	14%
Ranked #6	10%
Ranked #7	9%
Ranked #8	7%
Ranked #9	6%

Residential and commercial permitting, land planning and development

Ranked #1	12%
Ranked #2	9%
Ranked #3	7%
Ranked #4	9%
Ranked #5	10%
Ranked #6	13%
Ranked #7	13%
Ranked #8	12%
Ranked #9	15%



Community outreach and communication

Ranked #1	4%
Ranked #2	3%
Ranked #3	5%
Ranked #4	7%
Ranked #5	11%
Ranked #6	13%
Ranked #7	18%
Ranked #8	19%
Ranked #9	20%

City events, gatherings, concerts, and holiday celebrations

Ranked #1	2%
Ranked #2	5%
Ranked #3	5%
Ranked #4	6%
Ranked #5	9%
Ranked #6	14%
Ranked #7	16%
Ranked #8	20%
Ranked #9	23%

Rate of Residential and Commercial Development

T44. How would you rate the speed of the development of new housing for sale over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?

Total Too Slow	30%
Total Too Fast	41%
Right Amount	18%
Don't Know	11%
Much Too Slow	12%
Somewhat Too Slow	18%
Somewhat Too Fast	19%
Much Too Fast	22%



T45. How would you rate the speed of the development of new apartments over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?

Total Too Slow.....	22%
Total Too Fast.....	58%
Right Amount.....	15%
Don't Know	5%
Much Too Slow	11%
Somewhat Too Slow	11%
Somewhat Too Fast.....	17%
Much Too Fast.....	41%

T46. How would you rate the speed of retail and commercial growth over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?

Total Too Slow.....	46%
Total Too Fast.....	14%
Right Amount.....	32%
Don't Know	8%
Much Too Slow	15%
Somewhat Too Slow	31%
Somewhat Too Fast.....	7%
Much Too Fast.....	7%

T47. How do you rate city government's focus on residents versus tourists?

City is more focused on tourists than residents	56%
City has a balanced focus	27%
City is more focused on residents than tourists	7%
No Opinion	10%



Importance of Land Use/Planning Issues

Please indicate the importance of the following land use and planning issues.

T48. Affordable/employee housing

Extremely and Very Combined.....	72%
Extremely Important.....	49%
Very Important.....	23%
Somewhat Important.....	16%
Not Important at All	11%
No Opinion	1%

T49. Retail opportunities in the downtown core

Extremely and Very Combined.....	60%
Extremely Important.....	19%
Very Important.....	41%
Somewhat Important.....	34%
Not Important at All	4%
No Opinion	2%

T50. Water use/conservation

Extremely and Very Combined.....	83%
Extremely Important.....	49%
Very Important.....	34%
Somewhat Important.....	13%
Not Important at All	3%
No Opinion	1%

T51. Economic development

Extremely and Very Combined.....	62%
Extremely Important.....	25%
Very Important.....	37%
Somewhat Important.....	29%
Not Important at All	7%
No Opinion	2%



T52. Small town character/community

Extremely and Very Combined.....	76%
Extremely Important.....	49%
Very Important.....	27%
Somewhat Important.....	16%
Not Important at All.....	7%
No Opinion	1%

T53. Tourism

Extremely and Very Combined.....	58%
Extremely Important.....	17%
Very Important.....	41%
Somewhat Important.....	33%
Not Important at All.....	8%
No Opinion	1%

T54. Social diversity

Extremely and Very Combined.....	59%
Extremely Important.....	28%
Very Important.....	31%
Somewhat Important.....	21%
Not Important at All.....	17%
No Opinion	3%

T55. Transportation options

Extremely and Very Combined.....	72%
Extremely Important.....	28%
Very Important.....	44%
Somewhat Important.....	22%
Not Important at All.....	5%
No Opinion	1%



T56. Parks, trails, and open space

Extremely and Very Combined.....	82%
Extremely Important.....	42%
Very Important.....	40%
Somewhat Important.....	12%
Not Important at All.....	6%
No Opinion.....	0%

T57. Historic preservation/cultural resources

Extremely and Very Combined.....	68%
Extremely Important.....	31%
Very Important.....	37%
Somewhat Important.....	29%
Not Important at All.....	3%
No Opinion.....	0%

Redevelopment

T58. Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?

8th Street Crossing/Confluence Development (former wastewater treatment site on 7th Street)

Total Support.....	64%
Total Oppose.....	27%
No Opinion.....	9%
Strongly Support.....	34%
Somewhat Support.....	30%
Somewhat Oppose.....	9%
Strongly Oppose.....	18%



6th Street

Total Support 69%
Total Oppose 19%
No Opinion 12%

Strongly Support 39%
Somewhat Support..... 30%

Somewhat Oppose..... 8%
Strongly Oppose 11%

Safeway

Total Support 88%
Total Oppose 8%
No Opinion 4%

Strongly Support 72%
Somewhat Support..... 16%

Somewhat Oppose..... 2%
Strongly Oppose 6%

Roaring Fork Marketplace

Total Support 70%
Total Oppose 14%
No Opinion 16%

Strongly Support 38%
Somewhat Support..... 32%

Somewhat Oppose..... 6%
Strongly Oppose 8%



West Glenwood Mall

Total Support	82%
Total Oppose	16%
No Opinion	2%
Strongly Support	64%
Somewhat Support.....	18%
Somewhat Oppose.....	4%
Strongly Oppose	12%

Police and Public Safety Questions

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of public safety in Glenwood Springs.

T59. The overall performance of the Glenwood Springs Police Department.

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	67%
Extremely Satisfied	28%
Somewhat Satisfied	39%
Not Satisfied	10%
Extremely Dissatisfied	11%
No Opinion	12%

T60. The visibility and presence of patrol cars

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	64%
Extremely Satisfied	24%
Somewhat Satisfied	40%
Not Satisfied	15%
Extremely Dissatisfied	7%
No Opinion	14%



T61. Police coverage and presence

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	64%
Extremely Satisfied	23%
Somewhat Satisfied	41%
Not Satisfied	15%
Extremely Dissatisfied	6%
No Opinion	15%

T62. Police response times to calls

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	51%
Extremely Satisfied	22%
Somewhat Satisfied	29%
Not Satisfied	5%
Extremely Dissatisfied	3%
No Opinion	41%

T63. Enforcement of traffic regulations

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	54%
Extremely Satisfied	18%
Somewhat Satisfied	36%
Not Satisfied	20%
Extremely Dissatisfied	12%
No Opinion	14%

T64. Enforcement of municipal codes (dogs, reducing party noise).

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	53%
Extremely Satisfied	17%
Somewhat Satisfied	36%
Not Satisfied	12%
Extremely Dissatisfied	10%
No Opinion	25%



T65. The overall performance of the Glenwood Springs Fire Department.

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	84%
Extremely Satisfied	56%
Somewhat Satisfied	28%
Not Satisfied	1%
Extremely Dissatisfied	2%
No Opinion	13%

T66. Fire and emergency response times to calls

Extremely and Somewhat Combined.....	65%
Extremely Satisfied	37%
Somewhat Satisfied	28%
Not Satisfied	2%
Extremely Dissatisfied	1%
No Opinion	32%

T67. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The City of Glenwood Springs is well prepared to respond to an emergency situation such as a wildfire or flood.

Total Agree	54%
Total Disagree.....	38%
Unsure/No Opinion	9%
Strongly Agree	16%
Somewhat Agree.....	38%
Strongly Disagree.....	21%
Somewhat Disagree	17%



Questions About City Communications

T68. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The City of Glenwood Springs takes resident input into consideration when making decisions.

Total Agree	37%
Total Disagree.....	56%
Unsure/No Opinion	7%
Strongly Agree	7%
Somewhat Agree.....	30%
Strongly Disagree.....	30%
Somewhat Disagree	26%

T69. How are you currently receiving information about the City of Glenwood Springs? Select all that apply.

Local Newspaper	73%
Word of Mouth	50%
City Text Alerts	44%
Facebook	42%
City Website	35%
City Email Alerts	33%
Local Radio.....	25%
Utility Bill Stuffers.....	12%
Nextdoor	11%
Community Newsletters.....	7%
Twitter	3%
Information Posted at City Hall	1%
YouTube	1%
Other	4%

T70. How can the city improve their efforts to disseminate information and provide opportunities for public engagement?

**Verbatim responses attached in separate document.*

Additional Feedback

T71. How can the city improve their efforts to disseminate information and provide opportunities for public engagement?

**Verbatim responses attached in separate document.*



Demographic Questions

The following questions are for statistical purposes only.

D1. How long have you lived in Glenwood Springs?

Less than a Year.....	2%
1 to 5 Years.....	18%
6 to 10 Years.....	13%
11 to 15 Years.....	10%
16 to 20 Years.....	13%
More than 20 Years.....	44%

D2. Are you between the ages of:

18-24.....	10%
25-29.....	10%
30-39.....	20%
40-49.....	16%
50-54.....	7%
55-64.....	16%
65-74.....	14%
75 or Older.....	7%

D3. Last year, what was your total family income before taxes?

Less than \$30,000.....	6%
\$31,000-\$49,000.....	13%
\$50,000-\$74,000.....	17%
\$75,000-\$99,000.....	15%
\$100,000-\$124,000.....	15%
\$125,000-\$150,000.....	6%
More than \$150,000.....	14%
Refused.....	14%



D4. Which race or ethnicity do you most identify with?

White, Non-Hispanic.....	70%
Hispanic, All Races.....	25%
Black or African American.....	1%
Asian or Asian American.....	1%
American Indian or Alaskan Native.....	0%
Multiple Races, Non-Hispanic.....	1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander.....	0%
Race or ethnicity not listed.....	2%

D5. Are you:

Male.....	50%
Female.....	50%
You Identify Differently.....	0%

D6. To ensure that we have a statistically representative sample of the Glenwood Springs community, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and indicate which neighborhood or area of town you live in?

Ward 1.....	24%
Ward 2.....	20%
Ward 3.....	19%
Ward 4.....	19%
Ward 5.....	18%

Survey Data Collection Methodology

This survey was conducted by sending an MMS text message to 3,478 residents in the City of Glenwood Springs, CO. Data collection also included a link posted on the City’s website and social media profiles and a QR code posted at City Hall and the Community Center. The survey response data was weighted to reflect the demographics of the City of Glenwood Springs.

The interviews were conducted from September 7th to 22nd, 2021. This survey has a margin of error of +/- 3.15% at the 95 percent confidence interval. Any questions regarding the survey findings or methodology should be directed to David Flaherty or Ryan Winger. They can be reached by phone at 303-861-8585 or by email at DFlaherty@magellanstrategies.com or RWinger@magellanstrategies.com.



Table of Contents

S1. Before we begin, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and a resident of Glenwood Springs, Colorado? 8

S1. Before we begin, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and a resident of Glenwood Springs, Colorado? 9

S1. Before we begin, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and a resident of Glenwood Springs, Colorado? 10

How would you rate the City of Glenwood Springs on the following quality of life aspects? 11

How would you rate the City of Glenwood Springs on the following quality of life aspects? 12

How would you rate the City of Glenwood Springs on the following quality of life aspects? 13

T3. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Your neighborhood as a place to live 14

T3. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Your neighborhood as a place to live 15

T3. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Your neighborhood as a place to live 16

T4. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to live 17

T4. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to live 18

T4. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to live 19

T5. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to raise a family 20

T5. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to raise a family 21

T5. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to raise a family 22

T6. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to retire 23

T6. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to retire 24

T6. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to retire 25

T7. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to work and find a job 26

T7. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to work and find a job 27

T7. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to work and find a job 28

T8. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place for dining and restaurant options 29

T8. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place for dining and restaurant options 30

T8. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place for dining and restaurant options 31

T9. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to start a business 32

T9. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to start a business 33

T9. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to start a business 34

T10. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of bus travel in Glenwood Springs 35

T10. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of bus travel in Glenwood Springs 36

T10. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of bus travel in Glenwood Springs 37

T11. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of bicycle travel in Glenwood Springs 38

T11. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of bicycle travel in Glenwood Springs 39

T11. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of bicycle travel in Glenwood Springs 40

T12. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of walking in Glenwood Springs 41

T12. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of walking in Glenwood Springs 42

T12. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of walking in Glenwood Springs 43

T13. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of paths and walking trails in Glenwood Springs 44

T13. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of paths and walking trails in Glenwood Springs 45

T13. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of paths and walking trails in Glenwood Springs 46

T14. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Traffic flow on major streets 47

T14. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Traffic flow on major streets	48
T14. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Traffic flow on major streets	49
T15. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of housing choice.....	50
T15. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of housing choice.....	51
T15. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of housing choice.....	52
T16. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of affordable quality childcare.....	53
T16. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of affordable quality childcare.....	54
T16. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of affordable quality childcare.....	55
T17. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of affordable quality health care	56
T17. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of affordable quality health care	57
T17. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of affordable quality health care	58
T18. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place for recreation.....	59
T18. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place for recreation.....	60
T18. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place for recreation.....	61
T19. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a sustainable community.....	62
T19. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a sustainable community.....	63
T19. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a sustainable community.....	64
Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following City services	65
Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following City services	66
Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following City services	67
T21. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Utility billing.....	68
T21. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Utility billing.....	69
T21. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Utility billing.....	70
T22. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Public meetings of local elected officials or other local public meetings.....	71
T22. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Public meetings of local elected officials or other local public meetings.....	72
T22. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Public meetings of local elected officials or other local public meetings.....	73
T23. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Water and wastewater treatment.....	74
T23. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Water and wastewater treatment.....	75
T23. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Water and wastewater treatment.....	76
T24. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Street repair	77
T24. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Street repair	78
T24. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Street repair	79
T25. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Snow removal.....	80
T25. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Snow removal.....	81
T25. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Snow removal.....	82
T26. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Street lighting.....	83
T26. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Street lighting.....	84
T26. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Street lighting.....	85
T27. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Landfill and Recycling Center	86
T27. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Landfill and Recycling Center	87
T27. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Landfill and Recycling Center	88
T28. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Electric services.....	89
T28. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Electric services.....	90

T28. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Electric services	91
T29. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Broadband (Community Broadband Network).....	92
T29. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Broadband (Community Broadband Network).....	93
T29. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Broadband (Community Broadband Network).....	94
T30. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Ride Glenwood Springs	95
T30. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Ride Glenwood Springs	96
T30. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Ride Glenwood Springs	97
T31. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] City parks, pedestrian trails, and bicycle trails.....	98
T31. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] City parks, pedestrian trails, and bicycle trails.....	99
T31. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] City parks, pedestrian trails, and bicycle trails.....	100
T32. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community Center (including programs or classes).....	101
T32. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community Center (including programs or classes).....	102
T32. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community Center (including programs or classes).....	103
T33. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community outreach and communications.....	104
T33. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community outreach and communications.....	105
T33. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community outreach and communications.....	106
T34. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Bilingual outreach efforts	107
T34. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Bilingual outreach efforts	108
T34. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Bilingual outreach efforts	109
T35. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community events, gatherings, concerts, and holiday celebrations.....	110
T35. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community events, gatherings, concerts, and holiday celebrations.....	111
T35. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community events, gatherings, concerts, and holiday celebrations.....	112
T36. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Residential and commercial permitting, land planning and development.....	113
T36. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Residential and commercial permitting, land planning and development.....	114
T36. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Residential and commercial permitting, land planning and development.....	115
T37. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Economic development	116
T37. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Economic development	117
T37. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Economic development	118
T38. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Police services and crime prevention	119
T38. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Police services and crime prevention	120
T38. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Police services and crime prevention	121
T39. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Fire and emergency medical services	122
T39. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Fire and emergency medical services	123
T39. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Fire and emergency medical services	124
T40. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Emergency preparedness (including fire and flood preparation).....	125
T40. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Emergency preparedness (including fire and flood preparation).....	126
T40. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Emergency preparedness (including fire and flood preparation).....	127
T41. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Municipal court.....	128
T41. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Municipal court.....	129
T41. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Municipal court.....	130
T42. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Services for people experiencing homelessness (unhoused)	131
T42. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Services for people experiencing homelessness (unhoused)	132
T42. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Services for people experiencing homelessness (unhoused)	133

T43.9 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.	159
T43.9 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.	160
Rate of Residential and Commercial Development	161
Rate of Residential and Commercial Development	162
Rate of Residential and Commercial Development	163
T45. How would you rate the speed of the development of new apartments over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?.....	164
T45. How would you rate the speed of the development of new apartments over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?.....	165
T45. How would you rate the speed of the development of new apartments over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?.....	166
T46. How would you rate the speed of retail and commercial growth over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?	167
T46. How would you rate the speed of retail and commercial growth over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?	168
T46. How would you rate the speed of retail and commercial growth over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?	169
T47. How do you rate city government’s focus on residents versus tourists?	170
T47. How do you rate city government’s focus on residents versus tourists?	171
T47. How do you rate city government’s focus on residents versus tourists?	172
Please indicate the importance of the following land use and planning issues	173
Please indicate the importance of the following land use and planning issues	174
Please indicate the importance of the following land use and planning issues	175
T49. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Retail opportunities in the downtown core.....	176
T49. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Retail opportunities in the downtown core.....	177
T49. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Retail opportunities in the downtown core.....	178
Police and Public Safety Questions	179
Police and Public Safety Questions	180
Police and Public Safety Questions	181
T51. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Economic development	182
T51. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Economic development	183
T51. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Economic development	184
T52. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Small town character and community	185
T52. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Small town character and community	186
T52. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Small town character and community	187
T53. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Tourism	188
T53. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Tourism	189
T53. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Tourism	190
T54. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Social diversity	191
T54. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Social diversity	192
T54. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Social diversity	193
T55. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Transportation options.....	194
T55. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Transportation options.....	195
T55. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Transportation options.....	196
T56. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Parks, trails, and open space	197
T56. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Parks, trails, and open space	198
T56. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Parks, trails, and open space	199

T57. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Historic preservation and cultural resources	200
T57. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Historic preservation and cultural resources	201
T57. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Historic preservation and cultural resources	202
T58.1 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?	203
T58.1 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?	204
T58.1 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?	205
T58.2 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?	206
T58.2 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?	207
T58.2 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?	208
T58.3 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?	209
T58.3 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?	210
T58.3 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?	211
T58.4 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?	212
T58.4 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?	213
T58.4 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?	214
T58.5 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?	215
T58.5 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?	216
T58.5 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?	217
Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of public safety in Glenwood Springs:	218
Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of public safety in Glenwood Springs:	219
Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of public safety in Glenwood Springs:	220
T60. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] The visibility and presence of patrol cars in town	221
T60. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] The visibility and presence of patrol cars in town	222
T60. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] The visibility and presence of patrol cars in town	223
Questions About the Town Planning Department.....	224
Questions About the Town Planning Department.....	225
Questions About the Town Planning Department.....	226
T62. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Police response times to calls	227
T62. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Police response times to calls	228
T62. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Police response times to calls	229
T63. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Enforcement of traffic regulations	230
T63. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Enforcement of traffic regulations	231
T63. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Enforcement of traffic regulations	232
T64. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Enforcement of municipal codes (dogs, reducing party noise)	233
T64. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Enforcement of municipal codes (dogs, reducing party noise)	234
T64. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Enforcement of municipal codes (dogs, reducing party noise)	235
T65. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] The overall performance of the Glenwood Springs Fire Department	236
T65. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] The overall performance of the Glenwood Springs Fire Department	237
T65. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] The overall performance of the Glenwood Springs Fire Department	238
T66. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Fire and emergency response times to calls	239
T66. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Fire and emergency response times to calls	240
T66. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Fire and emergency response times to calls	241
T67. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The City of Glenwood Springs is well prepared to respond to an emergency situation such as a wildfire or flood.	242

T67. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The City of Glenwood Springs is well prepared to respond to an emergency situation such as a wildfire or flood.	243
T67. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The City of Glenwood Springs is well prepared to respond to an emergency situation such as a wildfire or flood.	244
City Communications	245
City Communications	246
City Communications	247
T69. How are you currently receiving information about the City of Glenwood Springs? Select all that apply.....	248
T69. How are you currently receiving information about the City of Glenwood Springs? Select all that apply.....	249
T69. How are you currently receiving information about the City of Glenwood Springs? Select all that apply.....	250
Demographic Questions	251
Demographic Questions	252
Demographic Questions	253
D2. Are you between the ages of:	254
D2. Are you between the ages of:	255
D2. Are you between the ages of:	256
D3. Last year, what was your total family income before taxes?	257
D3. Last year, what was your total family income before taxes?	258
D3. Last year, what was your total family income before taxes?	259
D4. Which race or ethnicity do you most identify with?	260
D4. Which race or ethnicity do you most identify with?	261
D4. Which race or ethnicity do you most identify with?	262
D5. Are you:	263
D5. Are you:	264
D5. Are you:	265
To ensure that we have a statistically representative sample of the Glenwood Springs community, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and indicate which neighborhood or area of town you live in?	266
To ensure that we have a statistically representative sample of the Glenwood Springs community, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and indicate which neighborhood or area of town you live in?	267
To ensure that we have a statistically representative sample of the Glenwood Springs community, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and indicate which neighborhood or area of town you live in?	268

Table 1

S1. Before we begin, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and a resident of Glenwood Springs, Colorado?

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Table 1

S1. Before we begin, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and a resident of Glenwood Springs, Colorado?

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Table 1

S1. Before we begin, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and a resident of Glenwood Springs, Colorado?

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Yes	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Table 2

How would you rate the City of Glenwood Springs on the following quality of life aspects?

T2. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Overall quality of life in Glenwood Springs

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	636	339	295	46	187	94	92	153	81	71	204	104	100	45	233	267	136	483	129	24
Good Combined	73.8	77.9	69.6	53.6	72.3	73.8	70.6	77.4	76.7	78.3	79.1	79.1	78.9	75.3	67.6	79.6	75.0	80.2	60.0	54.8
Excellent	188	97	90	7	54	25	29	50	30	20	54	27	27	23	60	86	42	148	30	10
	21.8	22.4	21.2	7.6	20.8	19.6	22.0	25.5	28.3	21.8	21.1	20.7	21.3	38.2	17.5	25.5	23.4	24.5	14.0	24.0
Good	448	241	205	40	133	69	64	103	51	51	150	77	73	22	173	182	93	336	99	13
	52.0	55.5	48.5	46.1	51.5	54.2	48.7	52.0	48.5	56.6	58.0	58.4	57.6	37.1	50.2	54.1	51.6	55.7	46.0	30.9
Fair	174	62	112	36	53	19	35	35	18	16	43	20	23	7	89	56	30	90	67	17
	20.3	14.2	26.5	41.3	20.7	14.6	26.7	17.7	17.2	18.1	16.6	15.2	18.0	12.4	25.9	16.6	16.3	15.0	31.1	40.1
Poor	51	35	16	4	18	15	3	10	6	3	11	7	4	7	22	13	16	29	19	2
	5.9	7.9	3.8	5.0	7.0	11.6	2.6	4.9	6.1	3.5	4.4	5.6	3.1	12.3	6.5	3.8	8.6	4.9	9.0	5.1
No Opinion																				

Table 2

How would you rate the City of Glenwood Springs on the following quality of life aspects?

T2. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Overall quality of life in Glenwood Springs

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	636	13	117	89	71	86	259	219	416	29	83	107	99	93	46	111	112	206	250	41	215	314
Good Combined	73.8	91.4	75.9	80.3	76.5	77.9	68.6	78.4	71.6	60.2	77.6	72.3	74.6	71.3	84.2	89.8	72.2	73.4	81.0	72.9	91.1	64.9
Excellent	188	8	39	28	24	20	70	75	113	11	15	25	27	30	12	44	26	52	86	25	78	61
	21.8	52.6	25.4	25.4	25.3	17.7	18.5	26.8	19.4	23.1	14.2	17.2	20.3	23.1	22.3	35.5	16.9	18.6	27.9	45.4	33.3	12.6
Good	448	6	78	61	48	67	189	144	303	18	68	81	72	63	34	67	86	153	164	15	136	253
	52.0	38.8	50.5	54.9	51.2	60.2	50.1	51.6	52.2	37.1	63.3	55.2	54.3	48.3	61.9	54.3	55.3	54.8	53.1	27.5	57.8	52.3
Fair	174	1	23	16	15	19	99	41	134	9	11	38	31	28	9	10	20	69	47	5	21	132
	20.3	8.6	15.0	14.8	16.4	17.2	26.3	14.6	23.0	19.5	10.1	25.9	23.0	21.3	15.8	8.3	13.0	24.5	15.1	9.5	8.9	27.2
Poor	51		14	5	7	6	19	20	31	10	13	3	3	10		2	23	6	12	10		38
	5.9		9.1	4.9	7.1	5.0	5.1	7.0	5.4	20.3	12.3	1.8	2.4	7.4		1.9	14.8	2.1	3.9	17.6		7.9
No Opinion																						

Table 2

How would you rate the City of Glenwood Springs on the following quality of life aspects?

T2. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Overall quality of life in Glenwood Springs

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	636	200	128	232	147	112	340	264	233	77	166	135	131	89	116
Good Combined	73.8	76.3	82.4	65.8	77.5	86.1	68.3	66.6	84.8	66.2	80.3	78.1	79.9	54.4	74.6
Excellent	188	51	54	58	35	45	96	58	88	24	45	35	34	33	40
	21.8	19.6	34.6	16.4	18.3	34.5	19.2	14.5	32.3	20.2	21.9	20.3	20.9	20.3	26.1
Good	448	148	74	175	112	67	244	206	144	54	121	100	96	56	75
	52.0	56.8	47.8	49.5	59.2	51.6	49.1	52.1	52.6	46.0	58.4	57.9	59.0	34.0	48.6
Fair	174	53	21	88	35	15	120	109	36	21	35	31	30	46	33
	20.3	20.3	13.8	24.8	18.2	11.5	24.1	27.5	13.3	18.5	16.9	18.1	18.1	27.9	21.3
Poor	51	9	6	33	8	3	38	23	5	18	6	6	3	29	6
	5.9	3.4	3.8	9.3	4.3	2.4	7.6	5.9	1.9	15.3	2.8	3.7	2.1	17.8	4.0
No Opinion															

Table 3

T3. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Your neighborhood as a place to live

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	674	335	336	51	203	97	105	162	83	78	208	100	108	49	254	275	145	483	162	29
Good Combined	78.2	77.0	79.4	59.8	78.5	76.2	80.6	81.8	78.7	85.4	80.7	75.9	85.6	81.3	73.8	81.8	80.0	80.1	75.4	66.4
Excellent	296	146	149	19	86	41	44	76	42	33	95	49	46	21	105	127	64	217	66	14
	34.4	33.5	35.2	22.1	33.3	32.5	33.9	38.2	39.9	35.9	36.9	37.6	36.0	34.1	30.5	37.9	35.5	36.0	30.6	31.6
Good	377	189	187	32	117	56	61	86	41	45	113	50	63	28	149	147	81	266	96	15
	43.8	43.5	44.2	37.7	45.2	43.7	46.7	43.6	38.8	49.5	43.8	38.4	49.5	47.2	43.3	43.9	44.6	44.1	44.8	34.8
Fair	138	64	73	35	39	18	21	25	16	9	34	19	15	5	74	46	18	94	31	13
	16.0	14.8	17.2	40.2	15.1	14.4	15.9	12.5	15.2	9.5	13.1	14.6	11.6	8.6	21.4	13.7	9.9	15.6	14.4	29.6
Poor	46	34	12		16	12	4	10	6	3	15	11	4	6	16	14	17	24	20	2
	5.4	7.9	2.8		6.1	9.4	2.9	4.9	6.1	3.3	5.7	8.6	2.8	10.1	4.6	4.0	9.5	4.0	9.5	4.1
No Opinion	4	1	2		1		1	2		2	1	1			1	2	1	2	2	
	0.4	0.3	0.6		0.3		0.6	0.8		1.8	0.4	0.9			0.2	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.8	

Table 3

T3. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Your neighborhood as a place to live

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	674	12	112	88	78	88	296	212	461	33	84	118	96	107	49	112	117	214	268	41	211	360
Good Combined	78.2	82.3	73.0	79.2	83.4	78.9	78.4	76.0	79.3	68.5	78.2	79.9	72.3	81.8	90.5	90.7	75.2	76.3	86.9	72.7	89.6	74.3
Excellent	296	9	58	40	38	38	113	108	189	11	26	60	33	54	26	56	36	93	137	35	94	137
	34.4	63.0	38.1	36.0	40.7	34.0	29.9	38.5	32.4	22.7	23.7	40.8	24.6	41.6	48.1	45.5	23.4	33.1	44.3	62.7	39.8	28.3
Good	377	3	54	48	40	50	183	105	272	22	59	57	63	52	23	56	80	121	131	6	117	223
	43.8	19.3	35.0	43.2	42.7	44.9	48.5	37.4	46.9	45.8	54.5	39.0	47.7	40.2	42.4	45.2	51.9	43.1	42.6	9.9	49.8	46.0
Fair	138	3	30	18	12	15	60	50	87	13	11	23	29	15	5	10	24	52	30	5	23	93
	16.0	17.7	19.4	16.0	12.7	13.7	16.0	18.0	15.0	27.8	10.3	15.6	21.9	11.4	8.3	8.5	15.7	18.6	9.7	9.8	9.7	19.1
Poor	46		12	5	4	7	19	17	29	2	12	4	8	9	1	1	14	12	11	10		30
	5.4		7.6	4.8	3.9	5.9	5.1	6.1	5.1	3.6	11.5	2.9	5.7	6.8	1.2	0.8	9.1	4.2	3.4	17.6		6.1
No Opinion	4					2	2		4				2					2			2	2
	0.4					1.5	0.5		0.6				1.6					0.9			0.7	0.4

Table 3

T3. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Your neighborhood as a place to live

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Excellent	674	211	131	252	155	106	377	287	241	83	172	147	128	94	133
Good Combined	78.2	80.8	84.4	71.5	81.8	81.6	75.7	72.4	88.1	71.6	83.2	85.1	78.0	57.6	85.9
Excellent	296 34.4	78 29.7	61 39.1	119 33.8	62 32.6	60 46.1	161 32.4	118 29.8	117 42.9	37 31.6	77 37.2	61 35.5	48 29.5	40 24.4	70 45.3
Good	377 43.8	134 51.1	70 45.3	133 37.6	93 49.3	46 35.6	216 43.4	169 42.6	124 45.2	47 40.1	95 46.1	85 49.6	79 48.5	54 33.2	63 40.6
Fair	138 16.0	43 16.3	14 9.3	73 20.6	30 15.6	22 16.8	80 16.0	81 20.5	30 11.1	17 14.7	31 14.8	16 9.2	31 19.0	43 26.3	17 10.9
Poor	46 5.4	8 2.9	8 5.3	26 7.4	5 2.6	0.3	39 7.9	26 6.6	2 0.9	15 12.7	3 1.6	8 4.8	4 2.2	26 16.1	5 3.2
No Opinion	4 0.4		2 1.1	2 0.5		2 1.3	2 0.4	2 0.6		1 1.0	1 0.4	2 1.0	1 0.7		

Table 4

T4. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to live

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	684	349	333	79	204	110	94	155	80	74	200	100	100	45	284	263	138	475	185	24
Good Combined	79.5	80.4	78.5	92.0	79.1	86.5	71.8	78.1	75.3	81.4	77.6	76.0	79.1	75.5	82.4	78.2	76.3	78.8	86.1	54.8
Excellent	247	128	118	7	83	44	38	56	31	24	80	37	42	22	89	104	54	181	52	14
	28.7	29.5	27.8	7.6	32.1	35.0	29.4	28.1	29.6	25.9	31.0	28.4	33.5	36.9	26.0	30.8	30.0	30.0	24.2	32.6
Good	437	221	215	73	122	66	55	99	48	50	120	63	58	23	194	159	84	294	133	10
	50.8	50.9	50.7	84.5	47.0	51.6	42.4	50.0	45.7	55.4	46.6	47.7	45.6	38.6	56.4	47.4	46.3	48.8	61.9	22.2
Fair	124	59	65	4	35	14	22	35	20	15	39	18	21	11	40	56	29	97	11	17
	14.5	13.6	15.3	5.0	13.6	10.7	16.6	17.7	18.6	16.6	15.1	13.5	16.7	18.2	11.5	16.6	16.1	16.1	5.0	39.2
Poor	51	26	25	3	19	4	15	8	6	2	18	14	4	4	21	17	14	30	19	3
	6.0	6.0	6.0	2.9	7.2	2.8	11.6	4.2	6.1	2.0	7.0	10.4	3.5	6.3	6.2	4.9	7.6	5.0	8.8	6.0
No Opinion	1		1								1		1		1		1			
	0.1		0.2								0.3		0.7		0.3		0.1			

Table 4

T4. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to live

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	684	15	123	88	72	91	296	226	458	29	85	120	96	106	47	112	114	217	265	51	219	344
Good Combined	79.5	100.0	79.9	79.3	76.9	81.9	78.5	80.7	78.9	60.2	79.1	81.8	72.3	81.4	85.6	90.9	73.3	77.3	86.0	92.2	93.1	71.2
Excellent	247	8	58	37	28	31	85	103	144	14	37	34	33	37	17	43	51	67	98	40	96	81
	28.7	52.2	37.9	33.2	29.6	28.3	22.6	36.8	24.8	29.6	34.5	23.0	25.0	28.7	31.3	35.0	33.0	24.0	31.7	72.4	40.7	16.7
Good	437	7	64	51	44	59	211	123	314	14	48	87	63	69	30	69	62	149	168	11	123	264
	50.8	47.8	41.9	46.1	47.3	53.6	55.9	43.9	54.0	30.6	44.6	58.7	47.3	52.7	54.3	56.0	40.3	53.3	54.3	19.8	52.4	54.5
Fair	124		21	11	18	15	59	32	92	7	13	23	30	15	8	9	20	53	32	4	16	93
	14.5		13.5	10.3	19.6	13.6	15.6	11.5	15.9	14.2	12.1	15.9	22.6	11.4	14.4	7.2	12.7	19.1	10.3	7.8	6.8	19.1
Poor	51		10	12	3	5	21	22	30	11	9	3	7	9		2	21	10	12		0.1	46
	6.0		6.7	10.4	3.6	4.4	5.7	7.8	5.1	23.8	8.8	2.4	5.1	7.1		1.9	13.4	3.6	3.8			9.5
No Opinion	1						1		1	1							1					1
	0.1						0.2		0.1	1.8							0.6					0.2

Table 4

T4. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to live

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Excellent	684	210	134	268	156	117	374	298	238	86	165	136	128	126	130
Good Combined	79.5	80.3	86.5	76.0	82.3	90.0	75.2	75.3	87.0	73.9	79.8	78.9	78.3	76.8	83.7
Excellent	247 28.7	59 22.5	66 42.5	87 24.5	34 18.0	63 48.3	137 27.6	72 18.2	114 41.4	40 34.8	61 29.5	44 25.3	43 26.0	53 32.7	47 30.1
Good	437 50.8	151 57.8	68 44.0	181 51.4	122 64.3	54 41.8	237 47.6	226 57.0	125 45.6	46 39.1	104 50.3	92 53.6	86 52.3	72 44.1	83 53.7
Fair	124 14.5	41 15.7	17 11.0	53 14.9	24 12.4	12 9.5	84 16.8	64 16.2	29 10.6	25 21.4	31 14.9	25 14.7	30 18.1	17 10.4	22 13.9
Poor	51 6.0	11 4.0	4 2.5	31 8.9	10 5.3	1 0.5	39 7.8	34 8.5	6 2.1	6 4.8	11 5.3	11 6.4	6 3.5	20 12.2	4 2.3
No Opinion	1 0.1			1 0.2			1 0.2		1 0.3					1 0.5	

Table 5

T5. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to raise a family

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	606	313	291	59	182	95	86	144	74	69	175	84	90	46	240	240	126	421	164	21
Good Combined	70.3	72.0	68.7	68.1	70.3	74.8	66.2	72.9	70.1	76.2	67.6	64.1	71.0	77.0	69.8	71.3	69.6	69.8	76.1	49.0
Excellent	243	143	99	34	64	36	28	60	34	25	67	33	34	19	98	97	49	155	75	14
	28.3	33.0	23.3	39.4	24.7	28.2	21.3	30.1	31.9	27.7	26.1	25.2	26.9	30.9	28.3	28.8	27.1	25.7	34.6	32.7
Good	362	170	192	25	118	59	59	85	40	44	107	51	56	28	143	143	77	266	89	7
	42.1	39.0	45.4	28.8	45.7	46.5	44.9	42.8	38.2	48.5	41.5	39.0	44.1	46.1	41.5	42.5	42.4	44.2	41.5	16.2
Fair	170	76	94	23	55	22	33	38	23	15	44	24	20	10	78	61	31	106	46	18
	19.8	17.5	22.2	26.8	21.3	17.2	25.3	19.3	21.7	16.7	17.1	18.5	15.8	16.0	22.7	18.2	17.1	17.6	21.3	42.1
Poor	41	25	16	4	8	5	3	9	6	3	19	13	6	1	12	17	12	33	6	3
	4.8	5.7	3.8	5.0	3.0	3.9	2.2	4.5	5.6	3.0	7.2	9.6	4.8	2.3	3.5	5.1	6.5	5.4	2.6	7.0
No Opinion	44	21	22		14	5	8	7	3	4	21	10	11	3	14	18	12	43		1
	5.1	4.9	5.3		5.4	4.2	6.2	3.3	2.6	4.1	8.1	7.8	8.4	4.7	4.0	5.3	6.8	7.2		1.9

Table 5

T5. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to raise a family

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	606	11	107	69	67	84	269	186	420	28	90	109	88	94	40	94	118	197	228	48	196	309
Good Combined	70.3	72.8	69.4	61.8	71.4	75.8	71.3	66.5	72.2	58.5	84.0	74.2	66.1	72.2	73.1	75.9	76.2	70.4	73.8	85.6	83.4	63.8
Excellent	243	5	43	24	28	32	111	72	172	14	50	21	24	45	15	46	64	46	106	39	107	75
	28.3	35.4	27.7	21.5	30.5	28.8	29.5	25.6	29.5	29.8	46.1	14.5	18.4	34.5	28.0	37.2	41.1	16.3	34.4	70.1	45.6	15.6
Good	362	6	64	45	38	52	158	114	248	14	41	88	63	49	25	48	54	151	122	9	89	233
	42.1	37.4	41.7	40.3	40.9	47.0	41.8	40.9	42.6	28.7	37.9	59.7	47.7	37.6	45.1	38.7	35.1	54.0	39.4	15.5	37.8	48.2
Fair	170		26	27	19	21	77	53	117	13	8	30	35	15	9	18	22	65	43	6	19	124
	19.8		17.0	24.3	20.2	18.9	20.5	19.0	20.1	27.9	7.8	20.5	26.5	11.9	16.0	14.9	14.0	23.3	13.8	9.9	8.1	25.7
Poor	41		7	7	3	1	23	15	26	5	3	1	6	14	1	3	9	6	18	1	3	35
	4.8		4.9	6.5	2.9	0.5	6.1	5.3	4.5	11.5	3.0	0.4	4.4	10.6	2.2	2.5	5.6	2.3	5.9	1.1	1.3	7.3
No Opinion	44	4	13	8	5	5	8	26	18	1	6	7	4	7	5	8	7	11	20	2	17	15
	5.1	27.2	8.7	7.4	5.5	4.7	2.1	9.2	3.2	2.1	5.2	4.9	3.0	5.4	8.7	6.8	4.2	4.0	6.5	3.4	7.2	3.2

Table 5

T5. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to raise a family

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Excellent	606	190	113	238	146	96	334	250	218	86	160	122	107	94	122
Good Combined	70.3	72.8	72.7	67.5	76.9	73.7	67.1	63.1	79.6	74.2	77.6	71.0	65.3	57.7	78.5
Excellent	243 28.3	94 35.8	48 30.8	81 23.0	75 39.6	45 34.9	116 23.2	91 22.9	91 33.1	41 35.2	62 30.0	58 33.9	44 26.7	36 21.8	44 28.1
Good	362 42.1	97 37.1	65 41.9	157 44.5	71 37.3	50 38.8	218 43.9	160 40.2	127 46.5	45 39.1	98 47.6	64 37.2	63 38.6	59 35.9	78 50.4
Fair	170 19.8	48 18.5	28 18.2	80 22.7	28 14.8	24 18.3	115 23.2	101 25.4	38 14.0	21 17.9	32 15.3	39 22.6	35 21.5	43 26.3	21 13.8
Poor	41 4.8	11 4.2	2 1.3	25 7.2	7 3.7	1 0.5	30 6.1	23 5.9	7 2.7	8 6.9	4 1.9	6 3.7	6 3.8	20 12.1	5 3.2
No Opinion	44 5.1	12 4.5	12 7.9	9 2.5	9 4.6	10 7.5	18 3.6	22 5.6	10 3.7	1 1.0	11 5.2	5 2.6	15 9.5	6 3.8	7 4.5

Table 6

T6. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to retire

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	419	225	192	32	131	61	70	87	48	38	128	65	62	40	163	151	105	286	120	13
Good Combined	48.6	51.7	45.4	37.2	50.7	48.0	53.5	44.2	45.7	42.1	49.6	49.9	49.3	66.4	47.3	44.8	58.1	47.4	55.9	29.3
Excellent	171	104	66	22	45	29	16	28	13	15	55	29	26	19	68	57	46	99	64	7
	19.8	23.9	15.5	25.9	17.5	23.1	12.2	14.3	12.1	16.2	21.4	21.9	20.6	32.3	19.6	16.8	25.7	16.5	29.9	15.8
Good	248	121	127	10	86	32	54	59	36	23	73	37	36	21	95	94	59	186	56	6
	28.8	27.9	29.9	11.2	33.2	24.9	41.3	29.9	33.6	25.8	28.3	27.9	28.7	34.1	27.7	28.0	32.5	30.9	26.0	13.5
Fair	212	97	115	22	46	17	29	49	24	25	86	45	41	8	68	99	44	150	48	14
	24.6	22.2	27.1	25.6	18.0	13.6	21.9	24.9	22.9	27.6	33.3	34.4	32.1	13.6	19.9	29.6	24.4	24.8	22.4	32.7
Poor	128	70	58	4	38	23	16	35	17	18	38	20	18	12	43	55	30	97	20	11
	14.9	16.1	13.7	5.0	14.9	17.9	12.0	17.7	15.6	19.9	14.8	15.2	14.5	20.0	12.4	16.3	16.8	16.1	9.2	26.4
No Opinion	102	44	59	28	42	26	16	26	17	10	6	1	5	70	31	1	71	27	5	
	11.9	10.0	13.9	32.2	16.4	20.5	12.5	13.3	15.8	10.5	2.3	0.5	4.1	20.4	9.2	0.6	11.7	12.5	11.5	

Table 6

T6. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to retire

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	419	8	82	48	42	63	175	139	280	25	71	64	62	56	28	66	96	127	150	51	165	164
Good Combined	48.6	56.8	53.6	43.5	45.0	56.8	46.3	49.7	48.1	52.8	65.7	43.5	47.0	43.0	51.5	53.6	61.8	45.2	48.7	90.6	70.0	34.0
Excellent	171	4	48	12	16	19	72	63	107	10	50	23	20	17	9	20	61	43	47	38	76	45
	19.8	25.3	31.1	10.7	17.6	17.3	19.0	22.7	18.4	21.5	46.8	15.7	15.2	13.4	17.1	16.1	39.1	15.5	15.1	68.4	32.2	9.3
Good	248	5	35	36	26	44	103	76	173	15	20	41	42	39	19	46	35	83	104	12	89	120
	28.8	31.5	22.5	32.7	27.4	39.5	27.3	27.0	29.7	31.3	19.0	27.8	31.7	29.6	34.4	37.5	22.7	29.7	33.6	22.2	37.8	24.7
Fair	212		29	32	30	24	97	61	151	11	18	46	34	34	12	31	29	80	77	3	37	149
	24.6		18.7	28.7	32.4	21.5	25.8	21.7	26.0	24.1	16.3	31.3	25.4	25.8	22.8	24.8	18.7	28.5	24.9	4.8	15.9	30.9
Poor	128		17	16	9	13	72	33	95	9	9	20	28	22	7	15	18	47	44	1	13	103
	14.9		10.8	14.8	10.1	11.9	19.2	11.8	16.4	18.1	8.5	13.4	20.8	16.9	12.3	12.1	11.5	16.9	14.2	0.9	5.6	21.2
No Opinion	102	6	26	15	12	11	33	47	55	2	10	17	9	19	7	12	12	26	38	2	20	67
	11.9	43.2	17.0	13.1	12.6	9.7	8.7	16.8	9.5	5.0	9.4	11.8	6.8	14.3	13.3	9.6	8.0	9.5	12.2	3.8	8.5	13.9

Table 6

T6. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to retire

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Excellent	419	126	77	163	92	76	226	166	158	66	99	96	85	78	60
Good Combined	48.6	48.3	49.4	46.3	48.6	58.6	45.3	42.0	57.5	57.0	48.1	55.7	51.8	47.7	39.0
Excellent	171 19.8	52 19.7	29 18.5	67 18.9	54 28.8	28 21.7	83 16.7	51 12.8	67 24.5	41 35.1	48 23.0	44 25.7	26 15.6	29 17.7	24 15.6
Good	248 28.8	75 28.5	48 30.9	96 27.3	38 19.9	48 36.9	143 28.7	116 29.2	90 33.0	25 21.8	52 25.1	52 30.0	59 36.2	49 30.0	36 23.5
Fair	212 24.6	56 21.2	45 28.9	92 26.0	39 20.8	25 19.0	138 27.8	108 27.2	67 24.3	19 16.1	57 27.8	39 22.5	43 26.0	28 16.8	46 29.4
Poor	128 14.9	42 15.9	20 12.9	59 16.8	30 15.7	10 7.9	84 17.0	72 18.1	29 10.5	21 18.2	31 14.9	24 14.0	21 12.7	29 17.5	24 15.3
No Opinion	102 11.9	38 14.6	14 8.7	39 10.9	28 14.9	19 14.5	49 9.9	51 12.8	21 7.6	10 8.7	19 9.2	13 7.8	15 9.4	29 17.9	25 16.2

Table 7

T7. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to work and find a job

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	400	186	213	29	127	54	73	96	47	50	129	66	63	19	156	171	73	297	83	20
Good Combined	46.5	42.8	50.3	34.2	49.0	42.5	55.6	48.7	44.0	54.7	50.0	50.5	49.4	30.8	45.3	50.9	40.6	49.3	38.6	45.9
Excellent	78	33	44		21	7	15	23	11	12	29	14	15	4	21	39	17	62	12	4
	9.0	7.6	10.4		8.2	5.3	11.1	11.5	10.4	12.9	11.3	10.4	12.0	7.3	6.2	11.7	9.5	10.2	5.7	8.6
Good	323	153	169	29	105	47	58	74	36	38	100	53	47	14	135	132	56	236	71	16
	37.5	35.3	39.9	34.2	40.8	37.2	44.5	37.2	33.5	41.8	38.7	40.1	37.4	23.5	39.1	39.2	31.1	39.1	33.0	37.3
Fair	278	132	144	50	63	26	37	61	37	23	79	38	41	25	113	101	64	179	87	12
	32.3	30.5	33.9	57.6	24.6	20.6	28.1	30.8	34.7	25.7	30.5	29.0	32.1	41.2	32.8	30.1	35.1	29.7	40.4	27.3
Poor	148	95	52	7	63	44	18	38	21	17	31	15	16	9	70	56	22	95	43	10
	17.2	21.9	12.4	8.2	24.3	34.7	14.2	19.4	19.9	19.0	11.9	11.3	12.6	15.2	20.2	16.7	12.2	15.7	20.0	24.4
No Opinion	35	21	14		6	3	3	2	2	1	20	12	7	8	6	8	22	32	2	1
	4.1	4.7	3.4		2.2	2.2	2.2	1.1	1.5	0.6	7.6	9.2	5.9	12.8	1.6	2.3	12.0	5.3	1.0	2.4

Table 7

T7. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to work and find a job

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	400	5	57	60	43	64	172	122	279	15	41	77	60	62	34	70	56	137	166	30	122	216
Good Combined	46.5	32.3	37.3	53.5	45.7	57.3	45.7	43.5	47.9	31.7	37.8	52.2	45.5	47.5	62.5	56.7	35.9	49.0	53.9	53.1	51.9	44.7
Excellent	78	1	9	21	11	8	28	31	47	5	14	8	10	7	7	16	19	18	30	10	38	25
	9.0	3.9	6.1	18.5	11.5	7.4	7.5	10.9	8.1	10.1	12.9	5.4	7.8	5.5	13.2	12.9	12.0	6.5	9.8	18.5	16.1	5.2
Good	323	4	48	39	32	55	144	91	231	10	27	69	50	55	27	54	37	119	136	19	84	191
	37.5	28.4	31.2	35.1	34.1	49.8	38.3	32.6	39.8	21.5	24.9	46.8	37.7	42.1	49.3	43.9	23.9	42.5	44.1	34.6	35.8	39.4
Fair	278	5	45	30	29	26	143	80	198	25	42	33	46	36	12	37	66	79	85	9	85	156
	32.3	35.4	29.2	26.9	31.4	23.0	37.9	28.6	34.0	52.1	38.6	22.4	35.0	27.6	22.8	30.0	42.7	28.4	27.7	16.6	36.1	32.3
Poor	148		46	17	18	18	49	63	85	6	22	33	24	24	7	11	29	57	42	16	16	101
	17.2		29.7	15.5	19.7	16.1	13.0	22.5	14.6	13.4	20.6	22.4	18.4	18.6	12.8	8.9	18.4	20.5	13.7	28.3	6.7	20.8
No Opinion	35	5	6	4	3	4	13	15	20	1	3	4	2	8	1	5	5	6	15	1	12	11
	4.1	32.3	3.8	4.0	3.2	3.6	3.4	5.4	3.4	2.8	3.0	3.0	1.2	6.3	1.9	4.4	2.9	2.1	4.8	2.0	5.3	2.3

Table 7

T7. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to work and find a job

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Excellent	400	108	97	154	75	68	242	151	163	55	90	74	78	70	89
Good Combined	46.5	41.4	62.5	43.7	39.5	52.6	48.6	38.0	59.5	47.6	43.5	42.9	47.4	42.7	57.4
Excellent	78 9.0	16 6.2	20 12.6	31 8.7	8 4.0	19 14.7	48 9.6	18 4.5	35 12.6	22 18.9	21 10.2	15 8.4	14 8.6	17 10.5	11 7.0
Good	323 37.5	92 35.1	78 50.0	124 35.0	67 35.5	49 37.9	194 39.0	133 33.6	128 46.8	33 28.7	69 33.3	59 34.5	63 38.8	53 32.2	78 50.5
Fair	278 32.3	95 36.4	41 26.4	112 31.8	64 33.7	44 33.6	159 31.9	152 38.3	72 26.4	36 31.0	55 26.7	66 38.4	41 25.0	71 43.4	45 28.8
Poor	148 17.2	55 21.0	13 8.7	70 19.8	50 26.3	14 10.9	77 15.4	85 21.4	27 9.9	21 18.2	51 24.8	22 12.6	36 22.3	21 12.8	18 11.4
No Opinion	35 4.1	3 1.3	4 2.4	17 4.7	1 0.5	4 2.8	21 4.1	9 2.3	12 4.3	4 3.2	10 5.0	11 6.2	9 5.3	2 1.1	4 2.3

Table 8

T8. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place for dining and restaurant options

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	621	317	303	31	208	109	98	140	75	64	199	93	105	45	239	243	140	461	133	28
Good Combined	72.2	72.8	71.5	35.8	80.4	85.7	75.3	70.5	70.4	70.6	76.9	70.7	83.2	74.0	69.3	72.3	77.4	76.5	61.6	64.2
Excellent	230	111	117	7	79	43	36	54	29	24	76	30	45	14	86	96	47	160	59	11
	26.7	25.6	27.6	8.3	30.6	33.8	27.7	27.1	27.2	26.6	29.3	22.9	35.7	23.2	25.0	28.7	25.9	26.5	27.2	26.3
Good	392	205	186	24	129	66	62	86	46	40	123	63	60	31	152	146	93	301	74	16
	45.5	47.2	43.9	27.5	49.8	51.9	47.6	43.4	43.2	44.0	47.6	47.7	47.6	50.7	44.2	43.6	51.5	50.0	34.3	37.9
Fair	158	72	86	22	35	14	21	42	19	23	48	31	17	10	58	69	31	108	42	8
	18.3	16.5	20.3	26.1	13.6	11.4	15.8	21.2	17.8	25.3	18.5	23.5	13.3	17.4	16.7	20.5	17.2	17.9	19.5	18.6
Poor	80	45	35	33	16	4	12	16	12	4	11	6	4	5	48	24	8	32	41	7
	9.3	10.3	8.3	38.1	6.0	2.9	8.9	8.1	11.4	4.1	4.1	4.8	3.4	8.6	14.0	7.0	4.6	5.4	18.9	16.1
No Opinion	2	2						0.2	0.4		1	1			0.1	1	1			1.1
	0.2	0.4									0.5	1.1				0.8	0.2			

Table 8

T8. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place for dining and restaurant options

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	621	11	120	80	64	91	254	212	409	34	73	101	100	104	42	101	107	201	247	48	176	336
Good Combined	72.2	77.9	78.2	72.2	68.8	82.3	67.3	75.8	70.4	71.0	68.2	68.7	75.3	80.0	77.2	81.6	69.0	71.8	80.2	85.3	74.7	69.4
Excellent	230	5	44	37	30	28	86	86	143	15	47	35	30	22	17	39	63	65	79	35	74	98
	26.7	36.2	28.8	33.0	31.6	25.2	22.8	30.9	24.7	32.6	44.0	23.4	22.9	16.7	31.9	31.9	40.5	23.2	25.5	62.2	31.6	20.3
Good	392	6	76	44	35	63	168	126	266	18	26	67	70	83	25	61	44	136	169	13	102	238
	45.5	41.7	49.4	39.2	37.2	57.1	44.6	44.9	45.8	38.4	24.2	45.3	52.3	63.3	45.4	49.7	28.5	48.6	54.7	23.1	43.2	49.1
Fair	158	2	20	21	25	16	73	43	115	1	10	27	27	21	11	16	12	54	48	6	36	98
	18.3	15.5	13.0	18.7	26.6	14.8	19.5	15.4	19.7	3.1	9.5	18.1	20.4	16.1	20.5	12.9	7.6	19.2	15.6	10.7	15.2	20.3
Poor	80	1	13	10	4	3	48	24	56	12	24	19	5	5	1	6	36	25	12	2	24	48
	9.3	6.6	8.5	9.1	4.6	2.9	12.8	8.6	9.6	26.0	22.3	13.2	3.9	3.9	2.3	4.9	23.4	8.8	4.0	3.9	10.0	9.9
No Opinion	2		0.3				1	0.2	1				0.3			1		0.2	1			2
	0.2						0.4		0.2							0.6			0.2			0.4

Table 8

T8. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place for dining and restaurant options

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Excellent	621	196	118	244	138	103	351	250	230	87	172	108	126	104	111
Good Combined	72.2	74.8	75.7	69.1	73.1	79.3	70.4	63.2	83.8	74.5	83.4	62.6	77.1	63.4	71.9
Excellent	230 26.7	63 24.2	38 24.5	95 26.9	49 26.1	38 29.5	134 27.0	58 14.7	101 37.0	52 44.6	73 35.1	42 24.6	44 26.7	39 23.5	32 20.9
Good	392 45.5	133 50.7	80 51.2	149 42.1	89 47.0	65 49.7	216 43.4	192 48.5	128 46.8	35 29.9	100 48.3	65 38.0	82 50.4	65 39.9	79 50.9
Fair	158 18.3	33 12.6	29 18.4	77 22.0	19 10.1	21 16.2	107 21.5	81 20.3	39 14.2	26 22.4	26 12.5	36 20.9	28 16.8	46 27.8	23 14.7
Poor	80 9.3	33 12.6	9 5.8	30 8.4	31 16.5	6 4.5	39 7.9	66 16.5	4 1.6	4 3.1	8 4.1	28 16.1	10 6.1	14 8.5	20 13.0
No Opinion	2 0.2			2 0.5	1 0.4		1 0.2		1 0.4			1 0.4		0.3	1 0.5

Table 9

T9. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to start a business

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	242	127	114	3	81	41	40	68	37	31	80	42	38	10	83	112	47	173	61	8
Good Combined	28.1	29.3	27.0	3.0	31.3	32.0	30.7	34.3	35.3	33.6	31.0	32.0	30.1	17.1	24.2	33.2	25.9	28.6	28.3	19.2
Excellent	43	17	26		15	6	10	7	3	4	19	8	11	2	15	18	10	31	11	1
	5.0	3.8	6.2		6.0	4.3	7.6	3.7	3.0	4.6	7.3	6.1	8.6	2.6	4.5	5.4	5.3	5.1	5.3	2.3
Good	199	111	88	3	65	35	30	61	34	26	61	34	27	9	68	93	37	142	50	7
	23.1	25.4	20.8	3.0	25.3	27.6	23.2	30.6	32.3	29.0	23.7	26.0	21.5	14.5	19.7	27.8	20.6	23.5	23.1	16.9
Fair	255	150	104	38	57	27	31	59	34	24	76	41	36	24	96	100	60	189	51	15
	29.6	34.4	24.6	44.5	22.2	20.9	23.4	29.9	32.4	26.5	29.5	30.9	28.1	39.9	27.8	29.7	32.9	31.4	23.6	35.0
Poor	172	84	86	15	69	36	33	44	23	20	36	19	16	7	84	64	23	98	63	11
	19.9	19.4	20.3	17.4	26.9	28.3	25.3	22.2	21.7	22.5	13.9	14.6	12.9	12.3	24.5	19.1	12.8	16.2	29.2	26.0
No Opinion	193	74	119	30	51	24	27	27	11	16	66	29	37	18	81	60	51	144	40	9
	22.4	17.0	28.0	35.0	19.7	18.9	20.6	13.7	10.6	17.5	25.6	22.4	28.9	30.7	23.5	17.9	28.4	23.8	18.8	19.8

Table 9

T9. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to start a business

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	242	3	35	32	32	36	103	71	171	12	25	35	33	53	16	43	37	68	112	10	90	118
Good Combined	28.1	22.0	23.1	29.0	34.1	32.1	27.4	25.4	29.4	25.9	23.0	24.0	24.8	41.0	28.6	35.1	23.9	24.4	36.4	18.7	38.2	24.4
Excellent	43	1	13	6	5	5	12	20	23	4	6	3	7	8	2	10	10	10	21	0.9	23	16
	5.0	3.9	8.6	5.7	5.8	4.8	3.3	7.2	4.0	8.7	5.7	2.2	5.0	6.0	4.4	8.4	6.6	3.5	6.7		9.9	3.4
Good	199	3	22	26	26	30	91	51	148	8	19	32	26	46	13	33	27	58	92	10	67	102
	23.1	18.1	14.5	23.3	28.3	27.3	24.1	18.2	25.4	17.2	17.3	21.8	19.8	35.0	24.2	26.7	17.3	20.8	29.7	17.8	28.3	21.0
Fair	255	3	38	30	17	40	126	72	183	15	43	43	44	32	14	38	58	86	84	6	79	152
	29.6	22.5	24.7	27.3	18.7	36.0	33.4	25.6	31.5	30.9	40.3	28.9	32.9	24.5	25.5	30.8	37.4	30.8	27.2	10.4	33.4	31.4
Poor	172		49	20	23	21	59	69	102	7	21	43	35	10	8	18	29	78	36	35	13	109
	19.9		31.8	18.3	24.3	18.6	15.6	24.8	17.6	15.1	20.0	29.1	26.1	7.7	14.7	14.4	18.5	27.7	11.6	63.4	5.6	22.5
No Opinion	193	8	31	28	21	15	89	68	125	13	18	27	21	35	17	24	31	48	76	4	54	105
	22.4	55.5	20.3	25.4	22.9	13.3	23.5	24.2	21.5	28.1	16.8	18.0	16.2	26.7	31.3	19.7	20.2	17.1	24.7	7.6	22.9	21.8

Table 9

T9. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place to start a business

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Excellent	242	86	58	77	60	57	119	99	109	21	60	46	53	34	49
Good Combined	28.1	32.9	37.1	21.8	31.4	43.9	23.8	24.9	39.6	18.0	29.2	26.6	32.3	20.8	31.5
Excellent	43 5.0	9 3.6	10 6.7	15 4.3	11 6.0	10 8.0	21 4.3	10 2.6	26 9.3	5 4.7	10 4.8	11 6.2	11 6.8	5 3.3	6 3.9
Good	199 23.1	76 29.3	47 30.3	62 17.5	48 25.4	47 35.8	97 19.5	88 22.2	83 30.3	16 13.4	50 24.4	35 20.4	42 25.5	29 17.5	43 27.6
Fair	255 29.6	91 34.8	48 30.6	88 25.1	59 31.1	36 27.6	146 29.3	126 31.9	72 26.3	32 27.3	53 25.7	60 34.7	53 32.2	48 29.4	41 26.7
Poor	172 19.9	41 15.6	17 10.7	102 29.0	37 19.5	14 10.7	115 23.2	80 20.3	35 12.8	49 41.9	49 23.7	30 17.2	25 15.5	35 21.5	33 21.0
No Opinion	193 22.4	44 16.8	33 21.6	85 24.1	34 17.9	23 17.8	118 23.7	91 23.0	58 21.2	15 12.8	44 21.5	37 21.6	33 20.0	46 28.3	32 20.7

Table 10

T10. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of bus travel in Glenwood Springs

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	492	232	258	75	147	65	81	100	52	46	133	62	71	38	221	174	97	310	162	20
Good Combined	57.2	53.4	60.8	86.6	56.7	51.0	62.1	50.2	49.1	51.1	51.6	46.9	56.3	63.6	64.2	51.9	53.4	51.4	75.2	46.9
Excellent	187	97	89	43	50	23	27	33	19	14	47	23	24	14	93	65	30	94	83	10
	21.7	22.3	20.9	49.9	19.3	17.9	20.4	16.8	17.5	15.6	18.1	17.5	18.6	23.6	26.9	19.3	16.5	15.6	38.8	22.5
Good	305	135	169	32	97	42	54	66	34	32	86	39	48	24	128	110	67	216	79	11
	35.4	31.1	39.9	36.7	37.4	33.1	41.7	33.4	31.6	35.5	33.4	29.4	37.7	40.0	37.3	32.7	37.0	35.8	36.5	24.4
Fair	158	90	68	10	54	30	24	48	27	21	40	24	16	7	63	69	26	113	32	13
	18.4	20.7	16.2	11.2	20.8	23.2	18.5	24.2	25.1	23.3	15.6	18.5	12.6	11.3	18.4	20.7	14.1	18.7	15.0	31.1
Poor	66	40	25	2	21	14	7	19	13	6	17	9	9	6	23	27	15	57	6	3
	7.6	9.2	6.0	2.2	8.3	11.1	5.6	9.7	12.0	7.1	6.7	6.6	6.7	9.8	6.8	8.0	8.5	9.5	2.8	5.8
No Opinion	145	73	72		37	19	18	32	15	17	68	37	31	9	37	65	43	123	15	7
	16.8	16.7	17.0		14.2	14.7	13.8	15.9	13.8	18.4	26.2	27.9	24.3	15.4	10.6	19.4	23.9	20.4	6.9	16.2

Table 10

T10. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of bus travel in Glenwood Springs

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	492	9	83	62	57	60	220	155	338	38	86	82	72	65	35	49	124	154	149	49	140	253
Good Combined	57.2	62.4	54.2	55.8	61.6	54.4	58.3	55.3	58.1	81.0	79.5	55.7	54.0	49.5	64.9	39.5	80.0	54.9	48.2	87.6	59.5	52.4
Excellent	187	7	26	20	25	12	96	53	134	14	50	20	16	19	14	20	64	36	52	35	61	72
	21.7	49.3	17.2	17.6	27.3	11.0	25.5	19.1	23.0	29.8	46.3	13.5	11.9	14.6	25.1	16.0	41.2	12.7	17.0	62.9	25.7	14.9
Good	305	2	57	42	32	48	123	101	204	24	36	62	56	46	22	29	60	118	96	14	80	181
	35.4	13.1	37.0	38.1	34.3	43.4	32.7	36.2	35.0	51.2	33.2	42.2	42.1	34.9	39.9	23.5	38.7	42.1	31.2	24.7	33.8	37.4
Fair	158	1	31	16	20	27	62	48	110	3	12	34	24	27	9	26	16	58	62	3	40	101
	18.4	8.7	19.9	14.8	21.7	24.8	16.5	17.3	18.9	6.9	11.5	22.7	18.3	21.0	17.1	20.8	10.1	20.6	20.2	6.3	17.0	20.8
Poor	66	1	11	12	7	7	27	24	42	2	5	11	11	10	2	13	7	22	25	2	12	48
	7.6	8.6	7.0	10.4	8.0	6.6	7.2	8.5	7.2	3.9	4.7	7.2	8.3	8.0	2.8	10.6	4.5	7.7	8.1	3.4	5.2	9.9
No Opinion	145	3	29	21	8	16	68	53	92	4	5	21	26	28	8	36	8	47	72	2	43	82
	16.8	20.2	18.8	19.0	8.7	14.2	18.0	19.0	15.8	8.1	4.3	14.4	19.4	21.5	15.1	29.1	5.5	16.8	23.4	2.8	18.3	17.0

Table 10

T10. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of bus travel in Glenwood Springs

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Excellent	492	138	80	220	107	76	285	229	154	78	111	100	91	115	75
Good Combined	57.2	52.8	51.5	62.4	56.7	58.8	57.2	57.8	56.0	67.1	53.9	58.2	55.6	70.1	48.3
Excellent	187 21.7	50 19.3	27 17.5	96 27.3	36 18.7	33 25.4	112 22.5	75 18.9	57 20.7	44 37.5	39 18.7	50 29.2	26 16.1	55 33.6	17 11.1
Good	305 35.4	88 33.5	53 34.1	124 35.0	72 37.9	43 33.4	173 34.8	154 38.8	97 35.3	35 29.7	73 35.2	50 29.0	65 39.5	60 36.5	58 37.2
Fair	158 18.4	66 25.3	36 23.2	44 12.6	55 29.1	25 19.1	73 14.7	61 15.3	69 25.1	10 8.7	44 21.3	23 13.1	37 22.3	20 12.4	35 22.6
Poor	66 7.6	22 8.5	13 8.2	24 6.7	10 5.5	14 10.5	38 7.6	32 7.9	15 5.4	13 11.2	18 8.5	12 6.9	9 5.5	8 5.1	19 12.2
No Opinion	145 16.8	35 13.4	27 17.1	65 18.3	17 8.8	15 11.6	102 20.4	75 19.0	37 13.5	15 12.9	34 16.3	38 21.8	27 16.6	20 12.4	26 16.9

Table 11

T11. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of bicycle travel in Glenwood Springs

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	563	274	287	44	171	85	86	144	74	70	176	93	83	28	215	241	107	401	131	31
Good Combined	65.4	63.1	67.8	50.8	66.4	66.5	66.1	72.8	69.6	77.0	68.2	70.6	65.5	46.4	62.5	71.7	59.4	66.5	60.9	72.7
Excellent	197	100	97	7	66	33	32	58	33	26	54	24	30	12	73	98	27	139	45	13
	22.9	22.9	22.8	8.4	25.4	26.2	24.3	29.5	30.8	28.3	20.9	18.5	23.3	20.1	21.2	29.1	14.8	23.0	21.0	30.9
Good	366	175	191	36	106	51	55	86	41	44	122	68	53	16	142	143	81	262	86	18
	42.5	40.2	45.0	42.4	41.0	40.2	41.9	43.3	38.8	48.7	47.2	52.1	42.2	26.3	41.3	42.6	44.6	43.5	39.9	41.8
Fair	192	100	91	42	53	21	32	38	24	13	44	19	24	15	95	64	32	121	61	10
	22.3	22.9	21.5	49.2	20.6	16.4	24.7	19.1	22.3	14.7	17.0	14.9	19.3	24.2	27.7	19.0	17.9	20.0	28.5	22.1
Poor	43	24	19		13	8	4	9	6	3	16	6	10	6	13	14	16	36	6	1
	5.0	5.6	4.4		4.9	6.6	3.3	4.3	5.6	2.9	6.1	4.6	7.5	9.8	3.7	4.1	9.1	6.0	2.8	2.3
No Opinion	63	36	27		21	13	8	8	3	5	23	13	10	12	21	18	25	45	17	1
	7.3	8.4	6.3		8.1	10.5	5.8	3.9	2.5	5.4	8.8	9.9	7.6	19.6	6.1	5.2	13.6	7.5	7.8	2.9

Table 11

T11. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of bicycle travel in Glenwood Springs

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	563	6	116	80	67	66	229	201	362	41	68	96	82	75	43	79	109	178	196	51	163	297
Good Combined	65.4	41.3	75.3	71.6	72.0	59.4	60.7	72.0	62.3	86.9	63.4	65.5	61.5	57.3	78.9	63.6	70.6	63.6	63.6	91.1	69.2	61.5
Excellent	197	6	41	29	26	23	72	76	122	8	33	34	23	28	18	35	41	57	81	40	54	87
	22.9	37.4	26.7	26.3	28.2	21.2	19.1	27.1	20.9	16.2	31.1	23.1	17.2	21.3	33.7	27.9	26.5	20.3	26.1	71.3	22.8	18.0
Good	366	1	75	50	41	42	157	126	240	34	35	62	59	47	25	44	68	121	116	11	109	210
	42.5	3.9	48.6	45.2	43.8	38.2	41.6	44.9	41.3	70.7	32.3	42.3	44.3	36.0	45.2	35.7	44.0	43.3	37.5	19.8	46.4	43.5
Fair	192	4	21	16	17	36	97	41	150	4	33	37	33	33	9	29	37	70	71	3	60	111
	22.3	24.3	14.0	14.6	18.4	32.4	25.8	14.8	25.9	7.7	30.7	25.2	25.0	25.1	17.3	23.2	23.6	25.1	23.0	4.8	25.3	23.0
Poor	43	2	9	11	3	1	18	21	21	2	2	7	7	3	1	11	4	14	15		4	34
	5.0	11.9	5.6	10.0	3.3	0.8	4.7	7.7	3.7	4.1	1.7	4.7	5.2	2.0	2.2	9.2	2.4	4.9	5.0		1.8	7.0
No Opinion	63	3	8	4	6	8	34	16	48	1	5	7	11	20	1	5	5	18	26	2	9	41
	7.3	22.5	5.2	3.8	6.3	7.4	8.9	5.6	8.2	1.3	4.3	4.6	8.2	15.5	1.5	3.9	3.4	6.4	8.4	4.1	3.7	8.5

Table 11

T11. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of bicycle travel in Glenwood Springs

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Excellent	563	150	110	242	112	92	332	235	201	78	139	85	111	125	103
Good Combined	65.4	57.5	70.5	68.7	59.1	70.9	66.6	59.3	73.3	67.4	67.4	49.1	67.7	76.6	66.7
Excellent	197 22.9	50 19.1	37 24.1	88 24.9	42 22.2	34 26.0	112 22.5	55 13.8	80 29.2	47 40.1	49 23.7	34 19.7	35 21.6	42 25.8	37 23.9
Good	366 42.5	100 38.3	72 46.4	154 43.8	70 36.9	59 45.0	220 44.1	180 45.5	121 44.1	32 27.3	90 43.7	51 29.5	75 46.1	83 50.8	66 42.8
Fair	192 22.3	77 29.6	25 16.3	74 21.1	54 28.7	26 19.8	105 21.1	106 26.8	52 19.1	18 15.8	35 17.0	59 34.4	37 22.5	27 16.4	34 21.8
Poor	43 5.0	11 4.3	9 5.7	17 5.0	6 3.1	4 3.0	30 6.1	21 5.2	8 2.9	11 9.3	6 3.0	14 8.2	6 3.7	7 4.5	9 6.0
No Opinion	63 7.3	23 8.6	12 7.5	19 5.3	17 9.1	8 6.3	31 6.2	35 8.7	13 4.7	9 7.5	26 12.6	14 8.3	10 6.1	4 2.5	9 5.5

Table 12

T12. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of walking in Glenwood Springs

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	644	314	328	69	183	81	101	148	77	71	199	98	100	45	252	257	135	456	164	24
Good Combined	74.8	72.3	77.5	80.4	70.8	63.9	77.7	74.8	72.2	78.3	76.9	74.7	79.2	74.1	73.2	76.5	74.6	75.7	76.1	54.8
Excellent	219	100	117	12	62	22	39	59	29	29	67	30	36	20	74	101	44	162	42	14
	25.4	23.1	27.7	13.6	23.9	17.6	30.2	29.6	27.3	32.4	25.8	23.1	28.4	32.9	21.4	30.1	24.3	26.9	19.6	33.4
Good	425	214	211	58	121	59	62	90	48	42	132	68	64	25	179	156	91	294	122	9
	49.4	49.2	49.8	66.8	46.9	46.3	47.5	45.2	45.0	46.0	51.2	51.6	50.8	41.2	51.9	46.4	50.2	48.8	56.6	21.4
Fair	160	74	85	17	48	20	27	34	17	16	49	27	22	12	65	58	36	113	31	15
	18.5	16.9	20.0	19.6	18.5	15.8	21.0	17.3	16.2	17.9	18.9	20.4	17.3	19.7	18.8	17.4	20.2	18.7	14.6	35.8
Poor	47	37	10		18	16	2	16	12	3	10	6	4	4	18	20	9	33	10	3
	5.5	8.4	2.4		6.9	12.6	1.3	7.8	11.6	3.6	3.9	4.6	3.1	6.2	5.1	6.0	5.0	5.5	4.7	8.1
No Opinion	11	10	1		10	10		0.1		0.2	1	0.3	0.3		10	1	0.2	0.1	10	1
	1.3	2.3	0.1		3.8	7.7					0.3				2.8	0.2			4.6	1.3

Table 12

T12. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of walking in Glenwood Springs

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	644	13	97	86	73	78	297	196	448	41	80	101	99	96	44	94	121	200	233	43	196	341
Good Combined	74.8	88.1	62.9	77.5	78.0	70.6	78.7	70.0	77.0	86.3	74.0	68.6	74.5	73.3	81.3	75.6	77.7	71.4	75.6	76.8	83.2	70.5
Excellent	219	6	37	31	31	36	77	75	144	15	23	30	40	36	17	33	38	70	87	30	71	88
	25.4	41.0	24.4	27.9	33.1	32.4	20.4	26.7	24.8	32.4	21.2	20.3	30.0	27.9	32.0	26.7	24.7	24.9	28.2	53.4	30.2	18.2
Good	425	7	59	55	42	42	220	121	304	26	57	71	59	59	27	60	82	130	147	13	125	253
	49.4	47.2	38.5	49.6	44.9	38.2	58.2	43.4	52.3	53.9	52.7	48.3	44.4	45.4	49.3	48.9	53.1	46.4	47.5	23.4	53.0	52.3
Fair	160	2	42	13	12	23	68	57	102	6	15	38	28	24	6	21	21	67	51	3	30	105
	18.5	11.9	27.4	11.9	12.7	20.4	18.0	20.4	17.6	11.8	14.3	26.1	21.2	18.7	10.7	16.8	13.6	23.8	16.5	5.7	12.9	21.7
Poor	47		5	12	8	10	12	17	30	1	3	8	5	10	4	9	4	13	24		9	37
	5.5		3.3	10.6	9.1	8.9	3.1	6.1	5.2	1.9	2.6	5.4	4.0	7.8	8.0	7.4	2.4	4.7	7.6		3.9	7.6
No Opinion	11		10		0.2		1	10	1		10		0.3	0.3		0.1	10	0.1	1	10		1
	1.3		6.4				0.2	3.5	0.2		9.1					6.3		0.2	17.6			0.2

Table 12

T12. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Ease of walking in Glenwood Springs

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	644	196	117	262	152	95	361	270	227	82	158	133	134	117	102
Good Combined	74.8	74.9	75.4	74.4	80.4	72.8	72.6	68.2	82.9	70.8	76.5	77.3	81.7	71.3	66.0
Excellent	219	53	47	89	39	44	121	72	85	39	54	40	58	34	33
	25.4	20.4	30.4	25.3	20.5	33.8	24.4	18.2	31.0	33.1	26.3	23.0	35.5	20.7	21.1
Good	425	142	70	173	114	51	240	198	142	44	104	93	76	83	70
	49.4	54.5	45.0	49.1	59.9	39.0	48.2	50.0	51.9	37.7	50.2	54.3	46.2	50.7	44.9
Fair	160	47	27	69	27	25	103	100	39	13	45	32	25	20	38
	18.5	18.1	17.4	19.5	14.2	19.4	20.6	25.3	14.3	11.4	21.7	18.4	15.3	12.2	24.4
Poor	47	18	11	11	10	10	23	26	8	10	4	7	5	17	14
	5.5	7.1	7.2	3.1	5.4	7.8	4.6	6.5	2.9	9.0	1.8	4.1	3.0	10.4	9.2
No Opinion	11			11			11	0.1		10		0.2		10	1
	1.3			3.0			2.1			8.8				6.0	0.4

Table 13

T13. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of paths and walking trails in Glenwood Springs

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	696	331	362	84	199	94	105	157	76	80	213	102	111	44	282	275	139	491	178	27
Good Combined	80.9	76.2	85.6	97.1	77.0	73.6	80.1	79.4	72.0	88.0	82.4	77.6	87.3	72.8	82.0	81.8	77.0	81.5	82.5	63.5
Excellent	279	132	145	14	81	39	41	67	30	37	94	43	51	22	95	126	58	217	48	14
	32.4	30.4	34.2	16.6	31.3	30.8	31.6	34.1	28.1	40.7	36.5	32.5	40.6	35.9	27.6	37.4	32.1	36.0	22.2	32.6
Good	418	199	218	69	118	55	63	90	46	43	118	59	59	22	187	149	81	274	130	13
	48.5	45.8	51.4	80.4	45.7	42.9	48.5	45.3	43.9	47.2	45.8	45.1	46.7	36.9	54.4	44.4	44.9	45.5	60.3	30.9
Fair	120	68	52	3	35	11	24	33	25	8	38	24	14	11	38	50	32	88	18	14
	13.9	15.5	12.3	2.9	13.7	9.0	18.3	16.8	23.6	9.0	14.7	18.3	10.9	17.6	11.0	14.9	17.6	14.6	8.2	32.2
Poor	31	24	8		14	12	2	7	5	3	5	3	2	5	14	10	7	20	10	1
	3.6	5.4	1.8		5.5	9.7	1.5	3.7	4.4	3.0	1.8	2.3	1.2	8.3	4.1	2.9	4.0	3.3	4.7	2.1
No Opinion	14	12	2		10	10	0.2	0.1			3	2	1	1	10	1	3	3	10	1
	1.6	2.8	0.4		3.9	7.7					1.2	1.8	0.6	1.4	2.9	0.4	1.5	0.6	4.6	2.1

Table 13

T13. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of paths and walking trails in Glenwood Springs

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	696	13	120	91	74	86	312	224	472	43	92	120	99	105	46	97	134	219	248	45	203	382
Good Combined	80.9	90.2	77.9	82.1	79.7	77.1	82.8	80.2	81.2	90.1	85.1	81.5	74.4	80.4	83.8	78.5	86.7	78.1	80.2	79.8	86.2	79.0
Excellent	279	7	53	44	36	43	96	104	174	16	29	52	44	40	24	45	45	96	108	34	95	126
	32.4	49.2	34.7	39.4	38.2	38.5	25.4	37.4	30.0	34.0	26.7	35.1	33.1	30.3	44.5	36.1	28.9	34.2	35.1	60.0	40.1	26.1
Good	418	6	66	47	39	43	216	120	298	27	63	68	55	65	21	52	90	123	139	11	109	256
	48.5	41.0	43.1	42.7	41.5	38.7	57.3	42.8	51.2	56.2	58.5	46.4	41.3	50.1	39.3	42.4	57.8	44.0	45.1	19.8	46.1	52.9
Fair	120	1	19	12	17	18	53	32	87	4	3	18	31	21	9	17	7	49	47	1	31	70
	13.9	9.8	12.6	10.4	18.3	15.8	13.9	11.6	15.0	8.8	3.0	12.3	23.5	15.8	15.9	14.1	4.8	17.6	15.1	2.6	13.3	14.5
Poor	31		5	8	2	7	9	13	18	1	2	8	2	4		9	2	10	13		1	27
	3.6		3.2	7.5	2.0	6.2	2.4	4.7	3.1	1.1	1.7	5.5	1.5	3.2		7.4	1.5	3.6	4.3		0.3	5.7
No Opinion	14		10			1	3	10	4		11	1	1	1	0.3		11	2	1	10	0.2	4
	1.6		6.4			0.9	0.9	3.5	0.7		10.2	0.8	0.6	0.6			7.0	0.7	0.3	17.6		0.8

Table 13

T13. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of paths and walking trails in Glenwood Springs

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Excellent	696	206	129	284	159	105	393	307	239	83	173	131	146	115	132
Good Combined	80.9	78.7	82.9	80.6	83.8	80.9	79.0	77.4	87.2	71.0	83.7	76.2	89.0	70.0	85.1
Excellent	279 32.4	68 26.1	53 34.2	126 35.8	61 31.9	51 39.4	152 30.4	94 23.7	111 40.6	46 39.2	71 34.4	53 30.7	63 38.7	39 23.6	53 34.2
Good	418 48.5	137 52.6	76 48.8	158 44.8	98 51.8	54 41.5	242 48.6	213 53.6	128 46.5	37 31.8	102 49.3	78 45.5	82 50.3	76 46.4	79 51.0
Fair	120 13.9	43 16.4	21 13.2	47 13.2	20 10.3	24 18.2	74 14.9	70 17.5	32 11.6	16 13.8	28 13.7	34 19.6	12 7.3	30 18.2	16 10.2
Poor	31 3.6	12 4.8	5 3.3	9 2.7	11 5.6	1 0.9	17 3.4	16 4.1	4 1.3	7 6.4	5 2.6	5 2.9	5 2.8	10 5.8	7 4.3
No Opinion	14 1.6	1 0.2	1 0.5	12 3.5	1 0.3		14 2.7	4 1.0		10 8.8		2 1.3	1 0.9	10 6.0	1 0.4

Table 14

T14. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Traffic flow on major streets

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	136	81	55	25	47	23	24	17	9	8	32	18	14	15	72	39	25	81	50	4
Good Combined	15.8	18.6	13.1	29.0	18.2	18.2	18.4	8.6	8.0	9.3	12.4	13.6	11.2	25.4	20.9	11.6	13.9	13.5	23.5	10.1
Excellent	6	0.1	5		1		1	3	0.4	2	2		2	0.4	1	5	0.1	3	2	1
	0.7		1.2		0.3		0.6	1.4		2.5	0.8		1.6		0.2	1.4		0.5	0.8	2.5
Good	130	80	50	25	46	23	23	14	8	6	30	18	12	15	71	34	25	78	49	3
	15.2	18.5	11.9	29.0	17.9	18.2	17.8	7.2	7.6	6.8	11.6	13.6	9.5	25.0	20.7	10.2	13.8	13.0	22.7	7.7
Fair	279	130	149	44	75	34	42	66	38	28	77	34	43	17	120	104	55	217	52	10
	32.4	30.0	35.1	51.5	29.2	26.5	31.8	33.4	36.2	30.6	29.7	25.9	33.8	27.6	34.7	31.0	30.6	36.1	24.0	23.2
Poor	443	224	217	17	135	70	65	113	59	53	149	79	69	28	152	190	100	303	111	29
	51.4	51.5	51.1	19.5	52.4	55.4	49.5	57.2	55.8	58.3	57.6	60.5	54.4	47.0	44.2	56.6	55.5	50.2	51.7	66.7
No Opinion	3		3		0.2		0.4	2		2	1		1	0.1	2		1	2		
	0.3		0.7					0.8		1.8	0.3		0.7			0.7		0.2	0.8	

Table 14

T14. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Traffic flow on major streets

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	136	3	25	26	12	10	60	54	82	10	31	22	14	24	13	15	41	37	52	8	64	51
Good Combined	15.8	21.7	16.5	23.1	12.4	9.0	16.0	19.4	14.1	21.9	28.4	15.2	10.7	18.7	24.0	11.9	26.4	13.1	16.9	14.1	27.2	10.5
Excellent	6		3	3		0.3	0.1	5	1	0.8	1	2			1	2	1	2	3		3	2
	0.7		1.6	2.4				1.9	0.1		0.5	1.1			1.4	1.6	0.6	0.6	0.9		1.2	0.3
Good	130	3	23	23	12	10	60	49	81	10	30	21	14	24	12	13	40	35	49	8	61	49
	15.2	21.7	14.8	20.7	12.4	8.6	16.0	17.5	14.0	21.1	27.9	14.1	10.7	18.7	22.5	10.3	25.8	12.5	16.0	14.1	26.0	10.1
Fair	279	5	36	33	37	47	121	74	205	10	34	34	36	46	12	58	44	71	115	9	82	145
	32.4	36.6	23.2	30.0	40.1	42.1	32.0	26.6	35.2	21.2	32.0	23.2	27.5	35.2	21.2	46.6	28.7	25.2	37.3	16.3	35.0	30.0
Poor	443	6	92	51	44	54	194	150	293	27	41	91	82	60	29	51	68	173	140	39	89	285
	51.4	41.7	60.0	46.1	47.5	48.9	51.5	53.5	50.4	56.9	38.1	61.6	61.8	45.8	53.3	41.6	43.8	61.7	45.4	69.6	37.9	59.0
No Opinion	3		0.3	1			2	1	2		2			0.4	1		2		1			3
	0.3		0.7				0.4	0.5	0.3		1.5				1.5		1.1		0.4			0.6

Table 14

T14. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Traffic flow on major streets

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	136	55	31	31	48	31	48	73	44	9	32	41	13	28	22
Good Combined	15.8	21.2	20.1	8.8	25.3	23.8	9.6	18.5	16.0	7.3	15.4	23.8	7.8	17.4	14.3
Excellent	6	2	2	0.1	2	1	1	2	3	1	0.2	2	2	2	
	0.7	0.9	1.4		1.2	0.9	0.3	0.4	0.9	0.6		1.0	1.0	1.3	
Good	130	53	29	31	46	30	47	72	41	8	31	39	11	26	22
	15.2	20.3	18.6	8.8	24.1	22.9	9.3	18.0	15.0	6.7	15.2	22.9	6.8	16.1	14.3
Fair	279	94	54	98	59	49	160	128	95	24	60	50	60	57	52
	32.4	35.9	34.9	27.9	31.3	37.4	32.0	32.4	34.7	20.4	28.8	28.8	36.8	35.1	33.8
Poor	443	112	70	221	82	50	289	194	135	84	114	80	91	78	80
	51.4	42.7	45.1	62.8	43.2	38.1	58.0	48.9	49.1	72.3	55.4	46.4	55.3	47.6	51.6
No Opinion	3	0.2		2	0.3	1	2	1	0.2		1	2			0.3
	0.3			0.5		0.6	0.3	0.2			0.4	1.0			

Table 15

T15. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of housing choice

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	105	51	52		37	12	24	24	16	8	35	18	17	8	37	45	23	67	30	7
Good Combined	12.2	11.8	12.4		14.3	9.8	18.7	12.1	14.8	8.3	13.7	13.6	13.7	14.1	10.7	13.4	12.7	11.1	14.1	17.1
Excellent	28	15	12		6	5	1	12	7	4	9	4	5	2	6	18	4	16	7	5
	3.2	3.5	2.8		2.2	3.6	0.9	5.9	6.7	4.8	3.4	2.8	3.9	2.7	1.7	5.4	2.3	2.6	3.5	10.6
Good	77	36	40		31	8	23	12	9	3	27	14	12	7	31	27	19	51	23	3
	8.9	8.2	9.6		12.1	6.2	17.8	6.2	8.1	3.5	10.3	10.8	9.8	11.4	9.0	8.0	10.4	8.5	10.6	6.5
Fair	175	96	79	5	38	24	14	44	26	17	66	34	33	22	43	73	59	155	12	9
	20.3	22.0	18.7	5.4	14.8	18.8	11.0	22.1	24.8	19.1	25.7	25.6	25.9	36.5	12.5	21.6	32.8	25.6	5.4	20.4
Poor	538	267	270	81	167	80	87	122	62	60	140	72	69	28	248	198	92	356	157	26
	62.5	61.3	63.8	94.6	64.6	62.7	66.3	61.4	58.2	65.6	54.3	54.6	54.2	45.8	72.1	58.8	50.9	59.0	72.8	59.3
No Opinion	43	22	22		16	11	5	9	2	6	16	8	8	2	16	21	7	25	17	1
	5.0	5.0	5.1		6.3	8.8	4.0	4.4	2.1	7.0	6.3	6.2	6.3	3.6	4.8	6.1	3.6	4.2	7.7	3.2

Table 15

T15. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of housing choice

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	105	2	21	9	19	11	43	32	73	3	8	11	20	15	12	20	11	32	48	26	35	30
Good Combined	12.2	12.7	13.7	8.0	20.6	9.8	11.4	11.4	12.6	6.2	7.6	7.5	15.4	11.7	22.9	16.2	7.2	11.3	15.5	46.2	15.0	6.2
Excellent	28		3	3	5	5	11	6	22	2	1	3	3	1	4	7	3	5	12	22	5	
	3.2		2.0	3.0	5.9	4.9	2.8	2.3	3.7	4.7	1.2	1.8	1.9	1.1	7.9	5.3	2.3	1.9	4.0	38.8	2.3	
Good	77	2	18	6	14	5	32	26	51	1	7	8	18	14	8	13	8	26	36	4	30	30
	8.9	12.7	11.7	5.1	14.7	4.9	8.5	9.1	8.8	1.4	6.5	5.7	13.5	10.6	15.0	10.9	4.9	9.4	11.5	7.4	12.7	6.2
Fair	175	8	31	19	20	29	68	58	117	9	14	24	23	41	10	30	23	47	81	10	65	80
	20.3	53.7	19.9	17.2	21.0	26.5	18.1	20.6	20.2	19.3	12.9	16.3	17.5	31.2	18.7	24.6	14.8	16.9	26.4	17.1	27.7	16.6
Poor	538	5	89	75	52	68	248	169	369	35	73	109	86	68	29	67	108	195	165	9	123	359
	62.5	33.6	57.9	67.1	56.2	61.7	65.9	60.3	63.5	73.0	67.9	73.9	64.6	52.5	52.6	54.5	69.4	69.5	53.3	16.2	52.3	74.3
No Opinion	43		13	8	2	2	18	22	22	1	13	3	3	6	3	6	13	7	15	11	12	14
	5.0		8.6	7.6	2.2	1.9	4.7	7.8	3.7	1.5	11.6	2.3	2.5	4.5	5.9	4.7	8.5	2.4	4.8	20.5	4.9	2.8

Table 15

T15. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of housing choice

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	105	14	25	53	14	13	76	27	45	30	26	23	17	20	19
Good Combined	12.2	5.3	16.2	15.2	7.1	10.1	15.2	6.8	16.3	25.8	12.4	13.3	10.6	12.1	12.4
Excellent	28	1	3	24		1	27	3	4	21	10	3	3	8	3
	3.2	0.5	1.8	6.7		1.0	5.3	0.7	1.6	17.8	4.8	2.0	2.0	5.1	1.9
Good	77	13	22	30	14	12	49	24	40	9	16	19	14	11	16
	8.9	4.8	14.4	8.4	7.1	9.1	9.9	6.1	14.7	8.0	7.6	11.3	8.6	7.0	10.5
Fair	175	36	53	62	13	45	101	64	80	14	35	39	30	32	39
	20.3	13.9	34.4	17.5	6.8	34.6	20.3	16.0	29.0	12.0	17.0	22.8	18.3	19.4	25.0
Poor	538	210	70	211	162	67	288	299	135	58	136	101	108	99	95
	62.5	80.2	45.2	59.9	85.7	51.9	57.9	75.4	49.3	50.0	65.8	58.4	65.8	60.4	61.1
No Opinion	43	1	7	26	1	4	33	7	15	14	10	10	8	13	2
	5.0	0.6	4.3	7.4	0.3	3.4	6.6	1.8	5.3	12.2	4.8	5.5	5.2	8.1	1.5

Table 16

T16. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of affordable quality childcare

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861 100.0	435 100.0	424 100.0	86 100.0	258 100.0	127 100.0	131 100.0	198 100.0	106 100.0	91 100.0	258 100.0	131 100.0	127 100.0	60 100.0	344 100.0	336 100.0	181 100.0	603 100.0	215 100.0	43 100.0
Excellent	61	31	30	3	19	6	13	25	17	8	14	8	6	0.4	22	34	5	28	28	6
Good Combined	7.1	7.1	7.1	3.0	7.5	4.7	10.3	12.8	16.2	9.0	5.4	5.9	4.5		6.4	10.2	3.0	4.6	13.1	12.8
Excellent	22 2.6	11 2.5	11 2.6		3 1.3	1 1.0	2 1.7	12 6.3	7 6.9	5 5.5	6 2.4	2 1.6	4 3.0	0.4	3 1.0	18 5.2	1 0.7	9 1.5	10 4.5	4 8.6
Good	39 4.5	20 4.6	19 4.5	3 3.0	16 6.2	5 3.7	11 8.6	13 6.6	10 9.3	3 3.5	8 2.9	6 4.3	2 1.5		19 5.4	17 4.9	4 2.3	19 3.1	19 8.6	2 4.1
Fair	79 9.2	33 7.7	46 10.8		15 5.7	8 6.2	7 5.3	30 15.2	12 11.0	18 20.3	28 10.9	12 9.5	16 12.3	6 10.5	15 4.3	42 12.4	23 12.6	64 10.6	11 5.0	5 11.3
Poor	364 42.3	159 36.6	204 48.2	47 54.6	132 51.0	53 41.4	78 60.0	92 46.5	51 47.9	41 45.5	76 29.3	33 25.2	43 33.7	18 29.8	179 51.9	134 39.8	52 28.9	249 41.4	95 44.3	20 45.5
No Opinion	356 41.3	211 48.6	143 33.8	37 42.4	93 35.8	61 47.7	32 24.4	50 25.5	26 24.9	23 25.3	141 54.4	78 59.3	63 49.4	36 59.3	129 37.5	126 37.6	100 55.5	262 43.4	81 37.6	13 30.4

Table 16

T16. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of affordable quality childcare

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	61	1	12	8	11	13	17	20	41	3	9	2	10	14	6	9	12	12	30	23	20	12
Good Combined	7.1	4.0	7.7	7.0	12.1	12.1	4.4	7.2	7.1	5.4	8.7	1.3	7.7	11.1	11.7	7.5	7.7	4.3	9.8	42.0	8.7	2.5
Excellent	22		0.3	3	5	7	7	4	18	1	4	1	1	1	3	6	5	3	10	17	4	1
	2.6			3.1	4.9	6.5	1.8	1.4	3.2	1.5	3.7	0.9	1.0	0.5	6.3	4.8	3.0	1.0	3.2	30.5	1.8	0.1
Good	39	1	12	4	7	6	10	16	23	2	5	1	9	14	3	3	7	10	20	6	16	11
	4.5	4.0	7.5	3.9	7.2	5.6	2.6	5.9	3.9	3.9	5.0	0.4	6.7	10.6	5.5	2.8	4.7	3.4	6.5	11.5	6.9	2.3
Fair	79	1	6	10	5	15	42	17	63	5	7	14	12	8	8	15	12	26	31	5	34	32
	9.2	3.9	4.1	8.9	5.8	13.8	11.1	6.0	10.8	10.2	6.4	9.4	9.2	6.4	14.5	12.2	7.6	9.3	10.1	8.3	14.5	6.6
Poor	364	2	56	49	45	46	167	107	258	19	20	90	59	49	23	58	38	149	130	8	67	259
	42.3	14.4	36.4	43.7	47.8	41.8	44.2	38.2	44.3	39.2	18.1	60.9	44.7	37.4	42.5	46.8	24.6	53.2	42.1	14.7	28.6	53.6
No Opinion	356	11	80	45	32	36	152	136	220	21	72	42	51	59	17	41	93	93	117	20	114	181
	41.3	77.7	51.7	40.4	34.3	32.3	40.3	48.6	37.8	45.2	66.7	28.3	38.4	45.1	31.2	33.5	60.1	33.1	38.0	35.1	48.2	37.3

Table 16

T16. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of affordable quality childcare

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Excellent	61	8	14	32	9	14	36	10	28	20	17	11	7	15	12
Good Combined	7.1	3.1	9.2	9.2	5.0	11.0	7.3	2.6	10.2	16.9	8.2	6.4	4.1	9.0	7.9
Excellent	22 2.6	3 1.3	1 0.6	18 5.1	1 0.3	2 1.7	20 3.9	1 0.3	5 2.0	16 13.4	8 4.0	3 1.7	4 2.4	4 2.7	3 1.7
Good	39 4.5	5 1.8	13 8.5	14 4.1	9 4.7	12 9.3	17 3.4	9 2.3	22 8.2	4 3.5	9 4.1	8 4.7	3 1.7	10 6.3	9 6.1
Fair	79 9.2	21 7.9	20 12.8	31 8.9	11 5.8	19 14.8	46 9.3	30 7.4	36 13.1	11 9.3	18 8.5	15 8.7	18 10.8	17 10.3	12 8.0
Poor	364 42.3	129 49.2	50 32.2	159 45.1	88 46.6	44 33.6	222 44.7	198 49.9	103 37.4	38 32.5	82 39.9	72 41.5	63 38.3	77 46.8	71 45.9
No Opinion	356 41.3	104 39.8	71 45.9	130 36.9	81 42.6	53 40.7	193 38.7	159 40.0	108 39.3	48 41.3	90 43.4	75 43.4	77 46.8	55 33.9	59 38.3

Table 17

T17. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of affordable quality health care

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	218	128	89	5	40	24	16	39	22	17	94	58	36	40	45	81	92	184	25	9
Good Combined	25.3	29.5	21.1	5.4	15.6	19.0	12.4	19.7	21.0	18.3	36.6	44.1	28.7	65.9	13.1	24.3	50.7	30.5	11.7	20.4
Excellent	39	24	15		6	3	3	4	2	2	18	11	7	11	6	15	19	35	2	2
	4.5	5.5	3.5		2.2	2.2	2.2	2.0	2.3	1.7	7.0	8.5	5.2	18.8	1.7	4.3	10.4	5.8	1.0	4.6
Good	179	104	75	5	35	21	13	35	20	15	76	47	30	28	39	67	73	149	23	7
	20.8	24.0	17.6	5.4	13.4	16.8	10.1	17.7	18.7	16.7	29.6	35.6	23.5	47.0	11.4	19.9	40.3	24.7	10.7	15.8
Fair	239	134	105	23	76	38	38	55	32	23	70	33	37	14	99	93	46	180	51	8
	27.7	30.7	24.8	26.6	29.5	30.1	29.1	27.9	30.5	25.1	27.2	25.0	29.4	23.6	28.8	27.7	25.7	29.9	23.6	17.9
Poor	357	154	201	50	123	58	65	97	49	47	83	37	46	4	173	147	37	206	128	23
	41.5	35.4	47.5	57.6	47.7	45.6	49.6	49.1	46.7	51.4	32.2	28.2	36.4	6.1	50.2	43.7	20.6	34.1	59.5	54.1
No Opinion	47	19	28	9	18	7	12	7	2	5	11	3	7	3	27	14	6	33	11	3
	5.5	4.4	6.6	10.4	7.1	5.3	9.0	3.4	1.8	5.1	4.1	2.7	5.6	4.4	7.9	4.3	3.1	5.4	5.2	7.6

Table 17

T17. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of affordable quality health care

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	218	5	33	27	20	28	105	65	153	13	25	24	28	45	16	38	38	52	99	15	91	92
Good Combined	25.3	34.5	21.5	24.6	21.2	24.8	27.9	23.4	26.3	27.9	23.1	16.1	21.0	34.6	29.3	30.6	24.6	18.4	32.1	26.6	38.6	19.0
Excellent	39	1	5	5	5	5	18	11	28	0.8	8	2	6	11	4	5	8	8	19	3	20	11
	4.5	5.1	3.3	4.4	5.0	4.7	4.9	3.9	4.9		7.1	1.3	4.2	8.1	7.1	3.7	5.2	2.7	6.2	4.7	8.5	2.3
Good	179	4	28	22	15	22	87	55	124	13	17	22	22	35	12	33	30	44	80	12	71	80
	20.8	29.4	18.2	20.2	16.2	20.1	23.0	19.5	21.4	27.1	16.0	14.8	16.8	26.5	22.2	26.9	19.4	15.8	25.9	21.9	30.1	16.6
Fair	239	7	46	33	25	27	100	87	152	12	41	42	33	36	20	28	53	76	83	10	74	132
	27.7	49.5	30.0	29.9	27.3	23.9	26.5	31.0	26.1	26.3	38.1	28.8	25.0	27.2	36.0	22.6	34.5	27.0	26.9	17.5	31.2	27.3
Poor	357	1	60	44	41	50	161	105	252	18	40	74	57	43	15	55	58	131	114	31	53	246
	41.5	7.2	38.9	39.9	44.2	44.8	42.7	37.6	43.3	37.2	37.0	50.1	42.8	33.3	28.1	44.7	37.1	46.7	37.0	55.9	22.6	50.8
No Opinion	47	1	15	6	7	7	11	22	25	4	2	7	15	6	4	3	6	22	12		18	14
	5.5	8.7	9.6	5.6	7.4	6.5	2.9	8.0	4.3	8.6	1.7	5.0	11.1	4.8	6.6	2.1	3.8	7.9	4.0		7.6	3.0

Table 17

T17. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Availability of affordable quality health care

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Excellent	218	59	50	83	38	43	123	94	86	18	50	54	38	28	48
Good Combined	25.3	22.6	32.0	23.4	20.2	32.8	24.7	23.7	31.3	15.6	24.2	31.3	23.4	17.0	31.0
Excellent	39 4.5	10 3.7	11 7.1	15 4.2	4 2.3	7 5.6	26 5.2	16 4.0	19 6.8	3 3.0	5 2.3	11 6.4	8 5.0	9 5.5	6 4.1
Good	179 20.8	49 18.9	39 25.0	68 19.2	34 17.9	35 27.1	97 19.5	78 19.8	67 24.5	15 12.6	45 21.9	43 24.9	30 18.4	19 11.6	42 26.9
Fair	239 27.7	94 35.9	39 25.2	85 24.1	72 37.8	43 33.0	112 22.6	112 28.1	73 26.6	37 31.5	54 26.3	53 30.7	48 29.1	47 29.0	36 23.5
Poor	357 41.5	103 39.3	55 35.3	171 48.5	76 40.0	34 25.9	236 47.4	175 44.1	96 35.0	60 51.7	87 41.9	56 32.5	61 37.2	86 52.8	67 43.3
No Opinion	47 5.5	6 2.3	12 7.5	14 4.0	4 2.0	11 8.3	26 5.3	16 4.0	20 7.1	1 1.3	16 7.5	10 5.5	17 10.3	2 1.2	3 2.2

Table 18

T18. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place for recreation

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	723	380	341	69	211	117	94	168	91	75	224	109	114	51	280	295	148	531	157	34
Good Combined	83.9	87.3	80.4	80.5	81.7	91.8	71.8	84.6	86.1	82.8	86.8	83.4	90.2	83.9	81.4	87.7	81.6	88.2	73.0	78.8
Excellent	452	245	206	53	135	85	49	95	56	38	142	65	77	28	187	178	88	320	112	20
	52.5	56.2	48.7	61.2	52.1	66.7	37.7	47.8	52.6	42.2	55.0	49.3	60.8	47.2	54.4	52.9	48.4	53.0	52.3	46.9
Good	270	135	134	17	76	32	44	73	36	37	82	45	37	22	93	117	60	212	45	14
	31.4	31.1	31.7	19.3	29.6	25.1	34.0	36.8	33.6	40.6	31.8	34.0	29.4	36.7	27.0	34.9	33.2	35.1	20.8	31.9
Fair	85	38	47		27	3	24	23	12	12	29	18	11	6	27	33	25	52	28	4
	9.8	8.7	11.1		10.3	2.5	18.0	11.7	10.9	12.8	11.2	14.0	8.4	9.8	7.8	9.9	13.7	8.7	13.0	10.4
Poor	51	15	36	17	21	7	13	7	3	4	2	1	1	4	37	8	6	16	30	5
	5.9	3.5	8.4	19.5	7.9	5.6	10.2	3.7	3.0	4.5	1.0	0.9	1.0	6.2	10.8	2.3	3.2	2.7	14.0	10.8
No Opinion	3	2	0.1					0.1			3	2	0.3				3	3		
	0.3	0.5									1.0	1.7					1.5	0.5		

Table 18

T18. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place for recreation

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	723	15	137	94	82	85	310	246	477	35	90	116	113	113	53	108	125	229	273	52	212	385
Good Combined	83.9	100.0	89.4	84.4	87.4	76.6	82.2	88.0	82.0	73.0	83.6	78.9	84.8	86.4	96.6	87.1	80.3	81.7	88.5	93.4	90.0	79.5
Excellent	452	13	87	57	50	43	202	157	295	19	73	66	55	77	33	65	92	122	175	44	153	212
	52.5	88.1	56.7	51.1	54.0	38.5	53.6	56.1	50.8	40.4	67.6	45.1	41.7	59.3	60.9	52.3	59.3	43.5	56.8	78.7	64.8	43.8
Good	270	2	50	37	31	42	108	89	181	15	17	50	57	35	20	43	33	107	98	8	59	173
	31.4	11.9	32.7	33.3	33.4	38.1	28.6	31.9	31.2	32.6	15.9	33.7	43.1	27.1	35.7	34.8	21.0	38.2	31.7	14.6	25.2	35.7
Fair	85		11	8	8	15	43	19	66	2	14	10	15	14	2	13	16	25	29	1	15	58
	9.8		7.2	7.3	8.2	13.6	11.3	6.9	11.3	3.8	13.1	6.7	11.4	10.4	3.1	10.8	10.3	8.9	9.3	2.6	6.3	12.0
Poor	51		5	9	4	10	22	14	36	11	2	21	5	4		3	13	26	6	2	8	39
	5.9		3.4	8.3	4.4	9.2	5.8	5.2	6.3	23.2	2.3	14.5	3.7	2.9		2.1	8.7	9.4	2.1	4.0	3.5	8.0
No Opinion	3					1	2		3			1		0.3	0.3		1		1		0.2	2
	0.3					0.5	0.6		0.5			1.0					0.7		0.2			0.5

Table 18

T18. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a place for recreation

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Excellent	723	230	132	279	169	116	398	303	251	99	179	139	151	128	125
Good Combined	83.9	88.0	85.2	79.0	89.1	89.6	79.9	76.3	91.7	85.4	86.4	80.9	92.4	78.3	81.0
Excellent	452 52.5	134 51.1	88 57.0	177 50.2	108 56.8	83 63.6	237 47.7	177 44.5	171 62.3	65 55.6	121 58.4	75 43.8	82 50.1	96 58.8	78 50.5
Good	270 31.4	96 36.9	44 28.2	102 28.8	61 32.3	34 26.0	161 32.3	126 31.8	81 29.4	35 29.9	58 28.1	64 37.1	69 42.3	32 19.4	47 30.5
Fair	85 9.8	17 6.4	18 11.8	43 12.1	8 4.4	10 7.5	63 12.7	50 12.5	21 7.8	10 8.7	23 10.9	24 13.8	10 6.2	14 8.5	14 9.3
Poor	51 5.9	14 5.4	5 3.0	29 8.3	12 6.2	4 3.0	34 6.9	41 10.4	1 0.5	7 5.8	5 2.6	9 5.1		22 13.2	15 9.7
No Opinion	3 0.3	1 0.2		2 0.6	1 0.3		2 0.5	3 0.7				0.2	2 1.4		0.1

Table 19

T19. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a sustainable community

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	296	160	136	32	79	32	47	67	36	30	95	50	45	23	111	115	70	199	84	13
Good Combined	34.4	36.7	32.1	37.2	30.5	25.1	35.9	33.6	34.3	33.1	36.9	38.4	35.3	38.8	32.2	34.3	38.8	33.1	39.1	29.5
Excellent	57	24	32		15	6	9	16	8	7	22	8	13	4	15	31	11	29	21	7
	6.6	5.5	7.7		5.9	4.9	6.9	7.9	7.9	7.9	8.3	5.9	10.7	7.2	4.4	9.1	6.0	4.9	9.5	15.8
Good	239	136	103	32	63	26	38	51	28	23	74	43	31	19	95	85	59	170	64	6
	27.8	31.3	24.4	37.2	24.6	20.2	28.9	25.8	26.5	25.2	28.6	32.4	24.7	31.6	27.7	25.2	32.8	28.2	29.6	13.7
Fair	338	161	177	29	104	45	58	80	44	35	98	49	50	27	133	137	68	261	62	15
	39.3	37.0	41.7	33.9	40.2	35.6	44.6	40.2	42.0	38.4	38.1	37.0	39.4	45.0	38.6	40.9	37.5	43.3	29.0	34.7
Poor	164	88	77	4	59	35	23	43	21	22	50	24	26	8	63	65	36	115	36	13
	19.1	20.1	18.1	5.0	22.7	27.8	17.7	21.9	20.1	24.1	19.3	18.2	20.5	14.0	18.3	19.4	20.1	19.2	16.7	30.4
No Opinion	62	27	34	21	17	15	2	8	4	4	15	8	6	1	38	18	6	27	33	2
	7.2	6.2	8.1	23.9	6.6	11.6	1.9	4.3	3.6	4.4	5.6	6.4	4.8	2.2	11.0	5.3	3.6	4.5	15.2	5.4

Table 19

T19. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a sustainable community

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Excellent	296	6	53	47	37	39	115	105	191	17	49	36	49	44	21	51	66	85	115	35	122	110
Good Combined	34.4	38.2	34.5	42.1	39.5	35.3	30.4	37.7	32.8	35.6	45.3	24.3	36.9	33.4	37.7	41.5	42.3	30.2	37.4	63.1	51.9	22.7
Excellent	57	1	16	10	11	8	12	26	31	4	3	6	8	11	4	13	7	14	27	19	21	7
	6.6	4.0	10.3	8.8	11.7	6.8	3.2	9.3	5.3	8.7	3.0	4.0	5.9	8.1	7.0	10.2	4.7	4.9	8.7	34.9	8.8	1.5
Good	239	5	37	37	26	32	102	79	160	13	46	30	41	33	17	39	58	71	88	16	102	103
	27.8	34.2	24.2	33.3	27.8	28.5	27.2	28.4	27.5	26.8	42.4	20.3	31.0	25.3	30.7	31.2	37.6	25.4	28.6	28.1	43.1	21.2
Fair	338	4	70	39	34	47	144	114	225	18	34	77	56	51	21	47	52	133	120	5	88	210
	39.3	30.0	45.6	35.1	35.9	42.2	38.3	40.6	38.7	36.9	31.8	52.4	41.9	39.2	38.9	38.4	33.3	47.4	38.8	8.9	37.3	43.5
Poor	164	1	16	25	16	19	87	42	122	13	12	31	25	28	10	19	25	55	56	2	15	134
	19.1	8.6	10.5	22.3	17.5	16.9	23.1	15.1	21.0	27.6	11.3	20.8	18.6	21.3	18.5	15.0	16.3	19.7	18.3	3.5	6.2	27.8
No Opinion	62	3	15	0.4	7	6	31	18	44		12	4	4	8	3	6	12	7	17	14	11	29
	7.2	23.2	9.5		7.0	5.5	8.2	6.6	7.5		11.6	2.6	2.7	6.1	4.9	5.1	8.0	2.6	5.5	24.6	4.5	6.0

Table 19

T19. [QUALITY OF LIFE] Glenwood Springs as a sustainable community

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Excellent	296	85	65	109	69	59	151	123	108	45	79	67	56	42	52
Good Combined	34.4	32.4	42.0	31.0	36.3	45.1	30.4	31.0	39.5	38.8	38.3	39.0	34.0	25.8	33.6
Excellent	57 6.6	8 3.0	12 7.9	29 8.1	11 5.7	11 8.3	33 6.6	7 1.8	23 8.4	23 19.4	23 11.2	12 6.7	7 4.3	9 5.6	6 3.8
Good	239 27.8	77 29.4	53 34.1	81 23.0	58 30.7	48 36.8	118 23.7	116 29.2	85 31.1	23 19.4	56 27.1	56 32.2	49 29.7	33 20.2	46 29.8
Fair	338 39.3	121 46.1	54 34.7	128 36.4	82 43.2	49 37.5	190 38.2	154 38.9	119 43.4	30 26.0	87 42.1	67 39.1	65 39.8	49 30.2	70 44.9
Poor	164 19.1	48 18.4	30 19.5	77 21.7	32 16.9	19 14.4	108 21.8	82 20.6	41 15.0	28 24.4	34 16.4	31 18.0	32 19.6	39 23.7	29 18.6
No Opinion	62 7.2	8 3.1	6 3.7	38 10.8	7 3.5	4 3.0	48 9.6	38 9.5	6 2.1	13 10.8	7 3.3	7 3.9	11 6.7	33 20.3	4 2.9

Table 20

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following City services

T20. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Overall value of services for the taxes paid to the City of Glenwood Springs

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	479	240	239	39	152	68	84	97	46	51	153	75	79	37	191	176	112	377	91	11
Somewhat Combined	55.6	55.1	56.4	45.2	58.9	53.1	64.7	49.2	43.3	56.5	59.4	57.1	62.0	61.4	55.5	52.3	62.0	62.6	42.1	25.6
Extremely Satisfied	52	23	28		17	7	11	13	7	6	14	5	9	7	17	23	11	42	8	1
	6.0	5.3	6.7		6.8	5.3	8.2	6.5	6.5	6.5	5.6	4.2	7.1	11.3	5.1	6.8	6.2	7.0	3.8	2.4
Somewhat Satisfied	427	217	210	39	135	61	74	85	39	45	139	69	70	30	174	153	101	335	82	10
	49.6	49.8	49.6	45.2	52.1	47.8	56.5	42.7	36.8	50.0	53.8	52.9	54.9	50.2	50.4	45.5	55.8	55.6	38.3	23.2
Not Satisfied	214	119	94	20	59	27	31	60	43	17	61	32	29	14	79	91	43	141	51	21
	24.8	27.4	22.1	22.7	22.9	21.6	24.0	30.1	40.2	18.6	23.6	24.7	22.6	23.5	22.9	27.2	23.9	23.5	23.6	49.6
Extremely Dissatisfied	112	70	41	3	35	30	5	35	17	17	34	21	12	6	37	56	19	62	41	9
	13.0	16.1	9.6	2.9	13.4	23.3	3.7	17.5	15.6	18.8	13.1	16.3	9.5	10.6	10.7	16.5	10.8	10.3	19.1	20.5
No Opinion	57	6	51	25	12	3	10	6	1	5	10	3	7	3	37	13	6	22	33	2
	6.6	1.4	11.9	29.2	4.8	2.0	7.5	3.3	0.9	6.0	3.9	1.9	5.9	4.5	10.9	3.9	3.3	3.6	15.2	4.4

Table 20

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following City services

T20. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Overall value of services for the taxes paid to the City of Glenwood Springs

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	479	13	95	64	45	58	203	172	307	31	77	76	67	66	36	81	109	143	183	18	172	239
Somewhat Satisfied	55.6	89.4	61.6	57.6	48.6	52.6	53.9	61.5	52.8	65.7	71.9	51.9	50.2	50.6	65.6	65.7	70.0	51.1	59.3	33.0	73.1	49.3
Not Satisfied	52		9	11	10	6	16	20	31	3	3	7	10	3	3	19	6	17	26	2	29	20
	6.0		6.0	9.8	10.4	5.0	4.3	7.2	5.4	5.8	2.8	4.6	7.5	2.4	5.6	15.7	3.7	6.0	8.3	3.2	12.3	4.1
Extremely Dissatisfied	427	13	85	53	36	53	187	152	275	28	74	70	57	63	33	62	103	126	157	17	143	219
Somewhat Dissatisfied	49.6	89.4	55.6	47.8	38.2	47.6	49.6	54.3	47.4	59.9	69.2	47.2	42.7	48.2	60.0	50.0	66.3	45.1	51.0	29.8	60.8	45.2
No Opinion	214	1	30	25	33	35	90	56	158	9	7	56	48	35	10	19	16	104	64	3	46	141
	24.8	4.0	19.4	22.7	35.2	31.3	24.0	19.9	27.2	19.3	6.6	38.0	35.8	27.2	18.8	15.0	10.5	37.0	20.8	5.7	19.6	29.2
Extremely Dissatisfied	112		19	18	12	15	49	37	75	5	14	9	16	24	8	18	19	25	50	32	5	68
	13.0		12.5	15.8	12.5	13.4	12.9	13.2	12.9	10.8	12.9	6.0	12.4	18.1	14.9	14.3	12.3	9.0	16.0	56.6	2.1	14.0
No Opinion	57	1	10	4	3	3	35	15	41	2	9	6	2	5	0.6	6	11	8	12	3	12	36
	6.6	6.6	6.5	3.9	3.7	2.7	9.3	5.4	7.1	4.2	8.6	4.1	1.6	4.1		5.0	7.2	2.9	3.8	4.7	5.2	7.5

Table 20

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following City services

T20. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Overall value of services for the taxes paid to the City of Glenwood Springs

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	479	168	92	163	119	92	242	205	191	40	118	111	100	70	80
Somewhat Satisfied	55.6	64.2	59.2	46.3	62.9	70.4	48.7	51.7	69.6	34.7	57.1	64.7	60.9	42.9	51.4
Not Satisfied	214	58	46	91	42	25	136	108	61	26	41	42	43	41	48
Extremely Dissatisfied	24.8	22.3	29.6	25.7	22.3	19.2	27.3	27.2	22.4	22.4	19.8	24.2	26.0	24.8	30.8
No Opinion	112	25	12	67	21	8	80	50	11	46	34	11	14	31	21
	13.0	9.7	8.0	18.9	11.1	6.0	16.0	12.5	4.0	39.3	16.7	6.4	8.6	19.2	13.6
	6.6	3.7	3.1	9.1	3.8	4.4	8.0	8.6	4.0	3.6	6.4	4.7	4.5	13.1	4.2

Table 21

T21. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Utility billing

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	139	67	72		37	19	17	29	15	14	56	23	33	16	37	64	37	116	14	9
Somewhat Satisfied	380	187	192	3	137	63	73	91	47	43	129	64	65	21	139	154	87	291	75	15
Not Satisfied	151	81	70	39	25	11	14	41	23	17	34	21	13	12	64	56	32	85	56	10
Extremely Dissatisfied	104	64	38		43	29	14	27	16	10	24	13	11	10	43	41	19	55	43	5
No Opinion	87	36	51	45	17	5	12	11	5	6	14	10	4	1	61	20	6	56	28	4
	10.2	8.2	12.1	51.8	6.5	3.9	9.1	5.3	4.4	6.3	5.5	7.7	3.2	2.3	17.8	6.0	3.3	9.3	13.0	8.5

Table 21

T21. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Utility billing

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	139	2	27	23	20	10	57	52	87	7	20	17	18	15	17	29	27	34	62	3	59	64
	16.1	13.0	17.5	21.1	21.1	8.8	15.2	18.7	14.9	14.8	19.0	11.2	13.3	11.7	32.0	23.5	17.7	12.2	20.0	5.8	25.0	13.2
Somewhat Satisfied	380	6	75	47	37	50	166	127	253	13	32	68	74	78	18	54	45	142	151	12	100	225
	44.2	40.5	48.5	42.1	39.2	45.2	44.0	45.5	43.5	28.2	29.7	46.0	55.6	59.9	33.6	44.1	29.3	50.5	48.9	20.7	42.5	46.6
Not Satisfied	151	2	23	9	22	11	84	34	117	9	25	29	24	25	7	11	34	53	42	4	57	75
	17.5	15.6	14.9	7.9	23.2	10.3	22.2	12.2	20.1	18.0	23.7	20.0	18.1	19.0	12.3	8.6	21.9	19.1	13.6	7.7	24.3	15.6
Extremely Dissatisfied	104	1	21	15	12	17	37	37	66	7	21	22	7	6	9	18	27	29	32	31	5	59
	12.0	5.1	13.9	13.8	12.9	15.7	9.7	13.4	11.4	14.2	19.2	15.0	5.4	4.6	15.8	14.3	17.7	10.4	10.5	56.2	2.0	12.2
No Opinion	87	4	8	17	3	22	33	29	59	12	9	12	10	6	3	12	21	22	22	5	15	60
	10.2	25.8	5.1	15.1	3.6	19.9	8.9	10.2	10.1	24.8	8.4	7.9	7.6	4.8	6.3	9.6	13.4	7.7	7.0	9.6	6.2	12.5

Table 21

T21. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Utility billing

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Satisfied	139 16.1	35 13.6	34 21.8	48 13.7	23 12.3	27 21.0	83 16.6	58 14.6	56 20.4	13 11.5	37 17.7	37 21.4	26 15.8	23 13.9	17 10.9
Somewhat Satisfied	380 44.2	128 49.0	66 42.3	144 40.9	90 47.6	68 52.0	203 40.7	160 40.3	151 55.0	43 36.7	102 49.3	78 45.1	83 50.6	58 35.3	60 38.8
Not Satisfied	151 17.5	58 22.3	19 12.3	57 16.1	45 23.8	14 11.0	82 16.4	84 21.1	42 15.5	14 11.8	29 13.9	42 24.4	18 11.2	23 14.0	39 25.1
Extremely Dissatisfied	104 12.0	17 6.3	24 15.6	58 16.3	12 6.5	10 7.6	76 15.3	44 11.1	8 2.9	42 36.4	31 14.9	8 4.9	13 7.8	32 19.4	20 12.8
No Opinion	87 10.2	23 8.8	12 8.0	46 13.0	18 9.7	11 8.4	55 11.0	51 12.8	17 6.3	4 3.6	9 4.2	7 4.2	24 14.6	28 17.3	19 12.5

Table 22

T22. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Public meetings of local elected officials or other local public meetings

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	415	224	191	20	124	60	63	98	58	40	138	66	72	35	144	170	102	285	114	17
Somewhat Satisfied	48.3	51.5	45.0	23.6	47.9	47.3	48.3	49.6	54.8	43.9	53.6	50.5	57.0	57.7	41.8	50.5	56.3	47.3	53.0	38.4
Not Satisfied	55	26	29		8	6	3	15	7	8	25	11	14	7	8	30	17	46	6	3
	6.4	6.0	6.8		3.3	4.3	2.2	7.4	6.5	8.5	9.7	8.6	10.9	11.7	2.5	8.9	9.4	7.6	2.8	7.5
Extremely Dissatisfied	360	198	162	20	115	55	60	83	51	32	113	55	58	28	136	140	85	239	108	13
Somewhat Dissatisfied	41.8	45.5	38.1	23.6	44.6	42.9	46.0	42.2	48.3	35.4	43.9	41.9	46.1	46.0	39.4	41.6	47.0	39.6	50.2	30.9
No Opinion	117	59	57	5	27	12	15	29	15	14	47	27	20	8	33	51	33	98	14	5
	13.5	13.7	13.5	6.0	10.6	9.5	11.8	14.8	14.6	15.2	18.3	20.8	15.6	12.5	9.5	15.2	18.2	16.2	6.5	11.2
Extremely Dissatisfied	112	62	49	21	30	25	6	28	14	13	28	19	9	6	51	48	14	58	47	8
Somewhat Dissatisfied	13.1	14.3	11.5	23.9	11.8	19.5	4.3	14.0	13.4	14.1	10.7	14.3	6.7	10.1	14.8	14.2	7.6	9.7	21.6	17.6
No Opinion	216	89	127	40	77	30	47	43	18	24	45	19	26	12	117	67	32	162	41	14
	25.1	20.5	30.0	46.5	29.7	23.7	35.7	21.6	17.2	26.8	17.4	14.4	20.6	19.7	33.9	20.0	17.9	26.8	18.9	32.8

Table 22

T22. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Public meetings of local elected officials or other local public meetings

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	415	8	63	55	52	38	199	126	289	25	59	71	50	71	25	76	84	120	172	11	159	222
Somewhat Satisfied	48.3	55.7	40.9	49.8	56.0	34.2	52.7	45.2	49.7	53.3	54.9	47.9	37.3	54.7	46.0	61.4	54.4	42.9	55.8	19.5	67.5	46.0
Not Satisfied	117	14	14	11	20	57	28	88	2	6	19	30	20	10	8	8	49	38	5	14	86	
	13.5	9.2	12.8	11.3	18.3	15.2	10.2	15.2	4.2	5.3	12.9	22.6	15.1	17.6	6.8	5.0	17.5	12.2	9.0	5.8	17.9	
Extremely Dissatisfied	112	19	11	9	13	61	31	82	2	16	17	13	5	8	15	18	30	28	32	6	67	
No Opinion	13.1	12.6	10.0	9.1	11.5	16.1	10.9	14.1	3.9	14.7	11.3	9.9	3.7	14.1	12.4	11.4	10.6	9.0	57.8	2.5	13.9	
	216	7	57	30	22	40	60	94	122	18	27	41	40	34	12	24	45	81	71	8	57	107
	25.1	44.3	37.3	27.4	23.5	36.0	16.0	33.7	21.0	38.6	25.1	27.9	30.2	26.4	22.3	19.5	29.2	29.0	22.9	13.6	24.2	22.2

Table 22

T22. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Public meetings of local elected officials or other local public meetings

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Satisfied	55 6.4	17 6.6	13 8.1	16 4.4	7 3.6	14 11.0	34 6.8	22 5.5	26 9.4	4 3.0	13 6.2	7 4.2	16 10.0	12 7.4	7 4.4
Somewhat Satisfied	360 41.8	121 46.2	74 47.4	136 38.5	95 50.2	60 46.3	192 38.5	169 42.5	135 49.2	39 33.8	95 46.0	94 54.6	54 33.2	54 32.8	63 40.7
Not Satisfied	117 13.5	38 14.4	21 13.8	48 13.7	23 12.3	16 11.9	74 14.8	61 15.3	32 11.7	17 14.3	26 12.4	26 14.9	23 14.4	16 9.9	26 16.5
Extremely Dissatisfied	112 13.1	22 8.6	8 4.9	77 21.8	16 8.5	3 2.3	91 18.4	55 13.9	14 5.2	41 35.1	20 9.6	13 7.4	16 9.6	52 31.6	13 8.1
No Opinion	216 25.1	63 24.2	40 25.9	76 21.5	48 25.3	37 28.5	107 21.5	91 22.9	67 24.4	16 13.7	53 25.9	32 18.8	54 32.8	30 18.3	47 30.3

Table 23

T23. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Water and wastewater treatment

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	203	116	86	5	75	40	35	41	27	14	67	39	28	14	80	81	42	153	41	8
Somewhat Satisfied	438	210	227	58	112	43	68	109	58	51	131	64	67	29	169	179	90	297	119	22
Not Satisfied	74	47	26		24	18	6	19	11	8	25	15	10	6	24	27	22	61	9	3
Extremely Dissatisfied	51	34	17		22	17	5	10	6	3	16	9	7	4	22	18	11	34	16	2
No Opinion	95	27	67	24	25	9	16	19	4	15	19	5	14	8	49	31	15	58	30	8
	11.0	6.3	15.9	27.9	9.7	7.0	12.4	9.7	3.9	16.3	7.4	3.5	11.4	12.7	14.3	9.3	8.0	9.6	13.7	18.3

Table 23

T23. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Water and wastewater treatment

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	203	3	25	26	27	38	85	54	149	10	17	25	30	37	20	44	27	55	101	16	70	95
	23.5	18.9	16.0	23.7	28.4	33.9	22.5	19.2	25.6	21.3	16.0	17.2	22.3	28.4	36.1	35.9	17.6	19.6	32.8	29.5	29.7	19.6
Somewhat Satisfied	438	8	71	46	52	50	212	124	314	26	61	67	63	65	23	65	86	131	152	21	120	259
	50.9	53.6	45.9	41.3	55.7	45.1	56.1	44.5	54.0	53.8	56.3	45.7	47.6	49.6	41.9	52.3	55.5	46.6	49.4	36.8	50.8	53.5
Not Satisfied	74		19	11	5	8	31	30	44	3	6	17	11	13	4	6	9	28	23	4	15	50
	8.6		12.3	9.8	5.8	7.0	8.1	10.7	7.6	6.8	5.3	11.5	8.6	9.6	7.9	5.0	5.7	10.1	7.5	6.6	6.3	10.4
Extremely Dissatisfied	51		16	10	4	4	17	26	26	2	12	13	6	4	2	2	14	19	8	11	5	32
	6.0		10.6	8.7	4.5	4.0	4.5	9.3	4.4	4.0	11.6	8.8	4.8	2.7	3.8	1.9	9.3	6.9	2.6	20.0	1.9	6.5
No Opinion	95	4	23	18	5	11	33	46	49	7	12	25	22	12	6	6	18	47	24	4	27	49
	11.0	27.5	15.2	16.4	5.5	10.0	8.8	16.3	8.5	14.1	10.9	16.7	16.8	9.6	10.3	4.9	11.8	16.7	7.8	7.1	11.3	10.0

Table 23

T23. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Water and wastewater treatment

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Satisfied	203 23.5	74 28.4	49 31.4	63 17.8	42 22.4	42 32.0	110 22.2	93 23.4	78 28.3	20 17.3	53 25.5	47 27.4	39 23.5	32 19.7	32 20.7
Somewhat Satisfied	438 50.9	141 53.9	76 49.2	177 50.2	110 58.1	64 49.3	249 50.0	204 51.5	136 49.7	66 56.6	93 45.2	98 56.8	80 48.8	93 56.9	74 47.8
Not Satisfied	74 8.6	16 6.2	10 6.5	41 11.6	16 8.5	8 5.9	47 9.5	28 7.0	33 12.0	9 7.5	23 11.0	13 7.6	18 11.3	6 3.4	14 9.0
Extremely Dissatisfied	51 6.0	7 2.5	10 6.3	32 9.0	5 2.5	5 4.1	39 7.8	22 5.5	6 2.1	17 14.3	12 5.6	5 2.6	4 2.6	22 13.7	9 5.6
No Opinion	95 11.0	23 8.9	10 6.6	40 11.4	16 8.5	11 8.7	53 10.6	50 12.6	22 7.9	5 4.3	26 12.7	10 5.6	23 13.8	10 6.3	26 16.9

Table 24

T24. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Street repair

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	299	145	153	25	114	45	68	63	28	35	87	46	41	11	138	119	42	200	88	11
Somewhat Satisfied	34.7	33.5	36.0	29.0	43.9	35.6	51.9	31.6	26.3	38.2	33.8	34.9	32.7	17.9	40.2	35.3	23.2	33.2	40.9	25.8
Not Satisfied	35	12	23		18	5	13	10	5	6	5	2	2	2	18	13	4	21	10	4
	4.0	2.8	5.3		7.0	3.8	10.1	5.2	4.4	6.1	1.9	1.9	2.0	2.6	5.3	3.9	2.0	3.5	4.6	8.7
Extremely Dissatisfied	264	134	130	25	95	40	54	52	23	29	82	43	39	9	120	105	38	179	78	7
Somewhat Dissatisfied	30.7	30.7	30.7	29.0	36.9	31.7	41.7	26.4	21.9	32.0	31.8	33.0	30.7	15.3	34.9	31.4	21.2	29.6	36.3	17.0
No Opinion	252	121	131	19	53	22	31	63	35	29	95	46	50	22	71	110	71	208	32	12
	29.3	27.8	31.0	21.5	20.5	17.3	23.7	31.9	32.6	31.7	36.9	34.8	39.3	36.7	20.7	32.7	39.2	34.5	14.7	28.6
Extremely Dissatisfied	305	167	135	43	91	60	31	71	43	27	75	40	35	25	133	106	65	190	96	20
Somewhat Dissatisfied	35.4	38.5	32.0	49.5	35.1	47.2	23.6	35.8	40.2	29.8	29.1	30.3	27.5	41.9	38.7	31.6	36.0	31.4	44.4	45.7
No Opinion	5	1	4		1		1	1	1	0.4	1		1	2	1	1	3	5		
	0.6	0.2	1.0		0.4		0.9	0.7	0.9		0.3		0.5	3.6	0.3	0.4	1.6	0.9		

Table 24

T24. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Street repair

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	35	2	9	5	5	6	8	16	19	0.8	4	3	8	7	3	4	4	11	14	1	21	11
	4.0	13.4	5.9	4.5	5.2	5.7	2.0	5.8	3.2		3.3	2.3	6.0	5.1	6.4	3.5	2.5	4.1	4.7	1.1	8.8	2.2
Somewhat Satisfied	264	9	56	43	23	28	106	108	156	16	49	46	38	39	15	40	66	85	93	10	105	115
	30.7	59.8	36.3	39.0	24.3	24.9	28.1	38.6	26.9	34.2	46.0	31.4	28.9	29.8	27.1	32.1	42.4	30.2	30.2	18.4	44.7	23.8
Not Satisfied	252	1	40	20	34	36	121	61	191	14	26	50	40	32	18	41	40	89	91	6	57	159
	29.3	7.2	26.1	17.8	36.7	32.1	32.1	21.8	32.9	29.3	23.9	33.7	29.8	24.7	33.2	33.2	25.6	31.9	29.6	11.5	24.2	32.9
Extremely Dissatisfied	305	2	47	42	31	41	141	91	214	17	29	46	46	53	18	38	46	92	108	39	53	197
	35.4	13.0	30.9	37.5	33.4	37.3	37.4	32.6	36.7	35.7	26.9	31.2	34.7	40.4	32.6	30.4	29.6	32.9	35.0	69.0	22.3	40.7
No Opinion	5	1	1	1	0.4		1	3	2			2	1		0.6	1		3	1			2
	0.6	6.6	0.8	1.2			0.4	1.2	0.3			1.3	0.5			0.8		0.9	0.4			0.4

Table 24

T24. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Street repair

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	299	97	59	102	83	52	143	120	119	29	82	83	52	36	46
Somewhat Satisfied	34.7	37.0	38.3	29.0	43.9	40.3	28.7	30.3	43.3	25.0	39.5	48.3	31.9	22.2	29.4
Not Satisfied	35	8	4	12	9	9	13	10	18	3	12	8	8	6	2
	4.0	3.1	2.5	3.4	4.8	6.9	2.5	2.5	6.5	2.9	5.6	4.7	4.7	3.6	1.0
Extremely Dissatisfied	264	89	56	90	74	43	131	110	101	26	70	75	44	30	44
Somewhat Dissatisfied	30.7	33.9	35.7	25.6	39.1	33.3	26.2	27.9	36.8	22.1	33.9	43.6	27.2	18.6	28.4
No Opinion	252	88	43	94	55	38	147	99	94	29	57	58	56	35	46
	29.3	33.6	27.5	26.6	29.2	29.2	29.5	24.9	34.2	25.2	27.5	33.6	34.1	21.6	29.7
Extremely Dissatisfied	305	74	53	155	49	40	206	175	61	58	65	30	55	92	63
	35.4	28.2	34.3	44.0	25.8	30.6	41.4	44.0	22.1	49.8	31.3	17.4	33.5	56.2	40.8
No Opinion	5	3		1	2		2	3	1		3	1	1		
	0.6	1.1		0.3	1.1		0.4	0.7	0.4		1.7	0.7	0.4		

Table 25

T25. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Snow removal

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	254	123	130	19	71	29	41	69	40	29	79	36	42	16	89	118	47	196	48	10
	29.5	28.3	30.6	21.5	27.5	23.0	31.8	35.0	37.9	31.8	30.6	27.8	33.3	27.0	26.0	35.0	25.9	32.5	22.3	23.0
Somewhat Satisfied	424	219	204	42	110	49	61	96	50	45	142	70	71	34	153	169	103	313	92	19
	49.3	50.4	48.1	49.3	42.6	38.9	46.5	48.5	46.9	50.0	54.9	53.6	56.5	56.0	44.3	50.3	56.8	51.9	42.8	45.2
Not Satisfied	124	52	71	23	43	19	24	18	10	8	32	22	10	8	66	32	26	64	50	10
	14.4	12.0	16.8	26.8	16.6	14.8	18.1	9.2	9.7	8.6	12.5	16.6	8.2	12.5	19.2	9.6	14.2	10.5	23.4	23.5
Extremely Dissatisfied	39	31	7		25	24	1	10	6	4	3	1	2		25	12	1	16	22	1
	4.5	7.2	1.7		9.8	19.0	0.9	5.2	5.5	4.9	1.2	1.1	1.4		7.4	3.6	0.8	2.7	10.1	2.3
No Opinion	20	9	11	2	9	5	4	4		4	2	1	1	3	11	5	4	14	3	3
	2.3	2.0	2.7	2.4	3.5	4.3	2.7	2.2		4.7	0.8	0.9	0.7	4.4	3.2	1.4	2.4	2.4	1.4	6.1

Table 25

T25. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Snow removal

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	254	2	49	38	25	38	102	89	165	10	36	30	34	47	18	56	46	65	121	22	89	121
	29.5	15.6	32.0	33.9	27.3	33.9	27.0	31.9	28.4	22.0	33.0	20.6	25.7	36.3	32.6	45.5	29.6	23.1	39.3	38.5	37.7	25.1
Somewhat Satisfied	424	3	67	49	50	55	201	119	306	26	52	89	71	53	24	53	77	160	130	20	123	236
	49.3	19.2	43.3	44.2	53.6	49.2	53.3	42.4	52.6	54.2	47.9	60.1	53.6	40.5	44.2	42.9	49.8	57.0	42.1	35.8	52.1	48.8
Not Satisfied	124		22	14	14	11	62	36	88	8	8	16	20	25	11	4	16	36	40	1	18	98
	14.4		14.5	12.6	15.5	9.9	16.5	13.0	15.1	16.4	7.6	10.6	15.3	19.4	19.3	3.6	10.3	12.8	13.1	2.4	7.7	20.3
Extremely Dissatisfied	39		13	9	3	8	6	22	17	1	12	8	3	3	1	7	14	11	11	10	2	24
	4.5		8.4	8.1	2.8	7.0	1.7	7.9	2.9	3.1	11.5	5.1	2.2	2.1	2.4	5.8	8.9	3.8	3.7	17.6	0.9	5.0
No Opinion	20	10	3	1	1		6	14	6	2		5	4	2	1	3	2	9	6	3	4	4
	2.3	65.2	1.7	1.2	0.8		1.5	4.9	1.1	4.3		3.5	3.1	1.7	1.6	2.1	1.3	3.3	1.8	5.7	1.8	0.8

Table 25

T25. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Snow removal

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	678	210	137	264	147	116	389	300	241	84	162	151	138	105	123
Somewhat Satisfied	78.8	80.4	88.1	74.8	77.5	89.1	78.0	75.7	87.9	72.0	78.3	87.7	84.2	63.9	79.6
Extremely Dissatisfied	254	71	60	90	48	57	139	78	117	39	74	45	53	43	38
Somewhat Dissatisfied	29.5	27.3	38.3	25.6	25.4	44.1	28.0	19.8	42.6	33.1	36.0	26.0	32.7	26.4	24.7
Not Satisfied	424	139	77	174	99	59	249	222	124	45	87	106	84	61	85
Extremely Satisfied	49.3	53.1	49.8	49.2	52.1	45.0	50.0	55.9	45.3	38.9	42.3	61.7	51.5	37.5	54.8
Not Satisfied	124	38	9	67	30	8	80	79	23	14	39	16	15	38	17
Extremely Dissatisfied	14.4	14.5	5.8	19.0	15.6	6.4	16.2	19.8	8.2	12.0	18.9	9.4	8.9	23.0	10.7
Extremely Dissatisfied	39	10	5	19	9	1	26	13	4	19	2	4	4	19	11
No Opinion	4.5	3.7	3.5	5.4	4.9	0.8	5.1	3.3	1.3	15.9	1.0	2.1	2.2	11.5	6.9
No Opinion	20	4	4	3	4	5	3	5	7		4	1	8	3	4
	2.3	1.4	2.5	0.7	1.9	3.6	0.7	1.2	2.5		1.9	0.8	4.8	1.5	2.9

Table 26

T26. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Street lighting

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	167	81	86	5	57	21	36	43	21	23	49	28	21	12	62	70	35	124	32	11
	19.4	18.6	20.3	5.4	22.2	16.7	27.7	21.9	19.5	25.0	19.0	21.7	16.3	20.5	18.0	20.7	19.5	20.6	14.8	24.9
Somewhat Satisfied	485	235	248	61	140	73	67	101	58	43	150	73	76	34	201	182	102	348	116	21
	56.3	54.0	58.6	71.0	54.1	57.0	51.0	51.0	54.3	47.0	57.9	55.3	60.4	55.7	58.3	54.2	56.4	57.7	54.0	48.0
Not Satisfied	107	57	49	20	32	11	21	20	14	6	26	10	17	8	53	31	23	65	36	6
	12.4	13.2	11.7	23.6	12.5	9.0	15.9	10.1	12.9	6.7	10.3	7.4	13.3	13.2	15.2	9.3	12.9	10.7	16.7	14.9
Extremely Dissatisfied	43	31	13		20	17	3	14	7	6	7	4	3	2	20	18	5	21	22	1
	5.0	7.0	3.0		7.7	13.3	2.2	6.9	6.7	7.0	2.9	3.2	2.6	3.8	5.8	5.4	2.9	3.5	10.1	1.6
No Opinion	59	31	28		9	5	4	20	7	13	26	16	9	4	9	35	15	45	9	5
	6.8	7.2	6.6		3.6	4.0	3.1	10.1	6.6	14.2	10.0	12.4	7.4	6.8	2.7	10.3	8.3	7.4	4.4	10.7

Table 26

T26. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Street lighting

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	167	3	33	25	18	23	65	61	106	8	23	30	24	18	10	33	31	54	61	18	64	69
	19.4	18.9	21.6	22.2	19.0	21.0	17.3	21.7	18.3	17.6	21.1	20.1	18.2	13.6	17.4	26.9	20.0	19.2	19.6	32.4	27.4	14.3
Somewhat Satisfied	485	9	83	56	50	61	227	147	337	28	44	90	72	88	31	62	72	162	180	20	115	311
	56.3	58.8	53.7	50.5	53.2	54.7	60.2	52.7	58.0	58.4	40.9	61.1	54.2	67.3	56.7	49.9	46.2	57.8	58.4	35.6	48.8	64.3
Not Satisfied	107	3	11	13	13	8	60	26	81	6	25	10	24	11	5	16	31	35	32	1	42	51
	12.4	19.1	7.0	11.5	13.7	7.4	15.8	9.4	13.9	13.0	22.9	6.9	18.4	8.1	9.4	13.0	19.9	12.4	10.3	2.4	18.0	10.5
Extremely Dissatisfied	43		16	6	3	13	6	22	21	1	15	9	4	4	1	3	16	13	8	13	2	24
	5.0		10.6	5.2	2.8	11.7	1.5	7.9	3.7	2.9	13.8	6.1	2.7	3.2	1.1	2.3	10.4	4.5	2.5	22.8	0.7	4.9
No Opinion	59	3.2	11	12	10	6	19	23	36	4	1	9	8	10	8	10	5	17	28	4	12	29
	6.8		7.2	10.5	11.2	5.3	5.1	8.3	6.1	8.1	1.3	5.8	6.4	7.7	15.4	7.9	3.4	6.1	9.1	6.8	5.1	6.0

Table 26

T26. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Street lighting

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Satisfied	652 75.7	181 69.2	123 79.4	278 78.7	129 68.1	110 84.5	382 76.7	294 74.2	229 83.5	74 63.8	167 81.1	108 62.7	127 77.9	130 79.2	119 76.9
Somewhat Satisfied	167 19.4	41 15.6	47 30.1	72 20.3	21 11.3	40 30.6	99 19.9	55 13.8	83 30.5	21 18.0	44 21.3	36 21.1	29 18.0	26 15.9	31 20.1
Not Satisfied	485 56.3	140 53.6	77 49.3	206 58.4	108 56.8	70 53.9	283 56.8	240 60.5	145 53.0	53 45.8	124 59.8	72 41.6	98 59.9	104 63.3	88 56.8
Extremely Dissatisfied	107 12.4	51 19.4	15 9.5	35 9.8	37 19.3	9 7.2	59 11.8	65 16.3	16 5.9	21 18.2	19 9.2	40 23.3	22 13.5	6 3.9	20 12.6
No Opinion	43 5.0	15 5.8	4 2.7	19 5.5	11 5.9	4 3.0	26 5.2	16 4.1	8 3.0	16 13.4	5 2.5	8 4.5	3 1.9	23 13.8	5 3.2
	59 6.8	15 5.6	13 8.4	21 6.0	13 6.6	7 5.2	31 6.3	21 5.3	21 7.6	5 4.6	15 7.3	16 9.6	11 6.7	5 3.2	11 7.3

Table 27

T27. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Landfill and Recycling Center

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	235	123	111	20	65	39	26	55	32	22	80	36	44	16	84	100	50	172	54	9
	27.3	28.4	26.2	22.7	25.1	30.7	19.8	27.7	30.6	24.0	30.9	27.5	34.6	26.7	24.5	29.9	27.9	28.6	24.9	21.8
Somewhat Satisfied	407	219	186	43	97	55	42	102	58	43	137	72	65	29	140	172	95	289	100	18
	47.3	50.5	43.9	49.7	37.7	42.9	32.4	51.3	54.7	47.3	52.9	54.7	51.0	47.5	40.7	51.1	52.7	47.9	46.4	42.5
Not Satisfied	97	40	58	19	31	6	25	25	11	13	19	14	4	4	50	33	15	71	21	5
	11.3	9.1	13.6	22.2	11.8	4.5	19.1	12.4	10.7	14.6	7.2	10.7	3.5	7.3	14.4	9.8	8.1	11.8	9.7	11.8
Extremely Dissatisfied	40	27	13		29	20	9	4	2	2	6	5	1	1	29	8	4	17	22	1
	4.7	6.3	3.1		11.1	15.7	6.7	2.1	2.2	2.0	2.4	3.8	1.0	2.3	8.3	2.4	2.0	2.9	10.1	3.2
No Opinion	81	25	56	5	37	8	29	13	2	11	17	4	12	10	41	23	17	53	19	9
	9.4	5.8	13.1	5.4	14.2	6.3	22.0	6.6	1.9	12.1	6.5	3.3	9.9	16.2	12.0	6.8	9.4	8.8	9.0	20.6

Table 27

T27. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Landfill and Recycling Center

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	235	5	35	31	23	37	105	70	165	6	17	35	39	45	20	46	24	74	110	24	81	112
	27.3	33.8	22.5	27.4	24.9	33.6	27.7	25.1	28.4	13.4	16.2	24.0	29.2	34.1	36.2	37.3	15.4	26.5	35.8	43.4	34.5	23.2
Somewhat Satisfied	407	4	61	51	49	34	208	116	291	18	54	70	61	64	26	48	71	131	138	10	115	249
	47.3	25.2	39.6	46.2	52.8	30.8	55.1	41.5	50.1	37.1	50.0	47.4	45.8	48.8	47.8	38.7	46.0	46.6	44.6	18.1	48.9	51.4
Not Satisfied	97	1	20	9	9	23	36	29	68	8	8	19	18	9	4	17	16	38	31	5	19	62
	11.3	4.0	13.0	7.8	9.8	20.5	9.6	10.5	11.7	17.5	7.5	13.0	13.8	7.3	8.1	13.8	10.6	13.4	10.0	8.1	8.3	12.7
Extremely Dissatisfied	40		17	3	3	10	9	19	21	1	16	8	5	3	2	5	17	13	9	10	5	19
	4.7		10.8	2.3	3.1	8.8	2.3	6.9	3.7	1.1	15.1	5.4	3.8	2.2	3.3	3.8	10.8	4.6	3.0	17.6	2.0	4.0
No Opinion	81	5	22	18	9	7	20	45	36	15	12	15	10	10	2	8	27	25	20	7	15	42
	9.4	37.0	14.0	16.2	9.4	6.3	5.4	16.1	6.2	31.0	11.2	10.2	7.4	7.7	4.6	6.4	17.2	8.9	6.6	12.8	6.3	8.7

Table 27

T27. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Landfill and Recycling Center

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Satisfied	235 27.3	57 21.8	44 28.5	102 29.1	39 20.5	41 31.2	141 28.4	102 25.7	90 33.0	28 23.9	69 33.6	36 20.9	57 34.6	38 23.2	35 22.6
Somewhat Satisfied	407 47.3	126 48.0	76 48.8	168 47.6	86 45.5	64 49.4	240 48.2	203 51.2	122 44.7	55 47.0	105 50.8	83 48.5	66 40.5	79 48.6	73 47.2
Not Satisfied	97 11.3	45 17.3	12 7.9	30 8.4	36 19.1	11 8.4	47 9.5	41 10.2	28 10.2	13 11.1	14 6.6	32 18.4	24 14.4	8 4.9	20 13.1
Extremely Dissatisfied	40 4.7	12 4.7	3 2.0	23 6.5	9 4.6	1 1.1	28 5.7	15 3.7	10 3.6	12 10.7	1 0.4	9 5.3	2 1.4	22 13.7	6 3.8
No Opinion	81 9.4	22 8.2	20 12.8	30 8.4	19 10.3	13 10.0	41 8.2	37 9.2	23 8.5	9 7.3	18 8.7	12 6.9	15 9.1	16 9.7	21 13.3

Table 28

T28. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Electric services

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861 100.0	435 100.0	424 100.0	86 100.0	258 100.0	127 100.0	131 100.0	198 100.0	106 100.0	91 100.0	258 100.0	131 100.0	127 100.0	60 100.0	344 100.0	336 100.0	181 100.0	603 100.0	215 100.0	43 100.0
Extremely Satisfied	280 32.6	141 32.5	138 32.5	2 2.4	81 31.5	41 32.4	40 30.4	67 34.0	41 38.7	26 29.1	103 39.9	46 34.7	57 45.1	27 44.0	83 24.2	122 36.2	75 41.7	202 33.4	60 28.0	19 43.2
Somewhat Satisfied	447 51.9	236 54.3	210 49.7	47 54.3	131 50.8	60 47.0	71 54.6	108 54.3	53 50.0	54 59.8	133 51.6	76 58.0	57 45.0	28 47.3	178 51.7	177 52.8	92 50.9	317 52.6	111 51.4	19 45.1
Not Satisfied	36 4.2	20 4.5	16 3.7	3 2.9	16 6.0	10 7.6	6 4.5	8 4.2	4 3.9	3 3.8	5 2.0	4 2.8	1 1.2	4 7.3	18 5.3	12 3.5	6 3.3	25 4.2	9 4.3	1 3.1
Extremely Dissatisfied	19 2.2	15 3.4	4 1.0		12 4.8	10 8.0	2 1.7	3 1.8	2 2.2	1 1.3	3 1.3	2 1.8	1 0.8		12 3.6	4 1.3	2 1.3	11 1.8	9 4.0	
No Opinion	78 9.1	23 5.2	55 13.1	35 40.4	18 6.9	6 5.1	11 8.8	11 5.7	6 5.3	5 6.0	14 5.3	4 2.7	10 7.9	1 1.4	53 15.3	21 6.1	5 2.9	48 8.0	27 12.3	4 8.6

Table 28

T28. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Electric services

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	280	6	62	35	27	38	113	102	178	17	33	39	45	37	23	53	50	84	112	41	95	121
	32.6	38.3	40.1	31.5	28.7	34.5	30.0	36.6	30.6	35.6	30.6	26.5	33.5	28.2	42.1	42.5	32.1	29.8	36.4	73.4	40.1	25.0
Somewhat Satisfied	447	8	71	56	51	47	214	135	312	25	65	89	64	74	25	57	90	152	156	9	122	266
	51.9	55.2	46.0	50.5	55.0	42.1	56.8	48.2	53.7	52.9	60.1	60.1	48.1	56.8	46.7	45.8	57.9	54.4	50.6	15.9	51.6	55.0
Not Satisfied	36		7	8	2	7	12	15	21		0.1	5	10	7	0.9	8	0.1	14	15	1	3	29
	4.2		4.5	6.9	2.3	6.4	3.2	5.2	3.7			3.2	7.3	5.0		6.6		5.1	4.9	2.7	1.4	5.9
Extremely Dissatisfied	19		3	3	2	7	5	5	14	1	1	9	2	3	1	1	1	11	5			18
	2.2		1.9	2.3	1.6	6.2	1.4	2.0	2.3	1.1	0.8	6.2	1.2	2.2	2.4	0.7	0.8	3.8	1.6			3.8
No Opinion	78	1	11	10	12	12	33	22	56	5	9	6	13	10	4	6	14	19	20	5	16	50
	9.1	6.6	7.4	8.9	12.4	10.8	8.6	8.0	9.7	10.5	8.5	4.0	9.9	7.8	7.9	4.5	9.1	6.8	6.5	8.1	6.9	10.3

Table 28

T28. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Electric services

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	728	225	137	286	163	114	411	319	253	98	180	151	142	129	125
Somewhat Satisfied	84.5	86.0	88.1	81.2	86.3	87.6	82.5	80.5	92.3	84.3	87.1	87.9	87.0	78.7	80.8
Extremely Dissatisfied	280	70	68	111	45	54	171	100	118	45	74	50	50	61	45
Somewhat Dissatisfied	32.6	26.9	43.8	31.5	23.8	41.4	34.3	25.3	43.2	38.9	35.6	28.9	30.7	37.5	29.4
Not Satisfied	447	155	69	175	118	60	240	219	135	53	106	102	92	67	80
Extremely Satisfied	51.9	59.1	44.4	49.7	62.4	46.3	48.2	55.3	49.2	45.3	51.4	59.0	56.4	41.2	51.4
Not Satisfied	36	11	7	13	7	4	25	20	7	7	11	9	4	2	10
Extremely Dissatisfied	4.2	4.2	4.8	3.6	3.5	3.2	5.0	5.0	2.6	5.6	5.2	5.4	2.3	1.3	6.4
Extremely Dissatisfied	19	11	2	5	9	1	7	12	2	1		4	1	10	4
Somewhat Dissatisfied	2.2	4.1	1.1	1.4	4.9	0.4	1.3	3.1	0.8	1.0		2.2	0.7	6.0	2.7
No Opinion	78	15	9	49	10	11	55	45	12	11	16	8	16	23	16
	9.1	5.7	6.0	13.8	5.3	8.8	11.1	11.4	4.3	9.1	7.7	4.5	10.0	14.0	10.1

Table 29

T29. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Broadband (Community Broadband Network)

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	336	172	163	32	101	46	54	89	46	42	91	40	51	23	133	138	65	219	99	18
Somewhat Satisfied	39.1	39.5	38.5	37.7	39.1	36.6	41.4	44.9	43.8	45.9	35.3	30.2	40.4	37.9	38.8	41.2	35.7	36.4	46.1	41.5
Not Satisfied	122	64	58	15	32	16	16	32	19	13	37	26	11	6	47	51	24	99	19	4
Extremely Dissatisfied	14.2	14.8	13.6	17.5	12.5	12.5	12.5	16.1	17.8	14.3	14.2	19.7	8.4	10.7	13.8	15.1	13.3	16.4	8.8	10.0
Extremely Dissatisfied	62	39	23		25	16	9	15	9	5	19	11	8	3	25	23	14	35	21	6
No Opinion	7.2	8.9	5.3		9.6	12.6	6.7	7.5	8.8	5.5	7.5	8.6	6.3	5.1	7.2	6.9	7.7	5.7	10.0	13.6
No Opinion	340	160	180	39	100	49	51	63	31	31	111	54	57	28	139	123	78	250	76	15
	39.5	36.8	42.5	44.7	38.8	38.4	39.4	31.6	29.6	34.3	43.0	41.5	44.8	46.3	40.3	36.7	43.3	41.4	35.1	34.9

Table 29

T29. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Broadband (Community Broadband Network)

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	336	5	62	41	40	48	140	108	229	11	64	43	56	48	23	59	75	99	129	40	109	153
Somewhat Satisfied	39.1	34.7	40.1	36.7	43.2	43.6	37.2	38.4	39.4	24.2	59.4	29.4	41.9	36.9	41.5	47.4	48.6	35.3	41.9	72.5	46.1	31.6
Not Satisfied	105	1	19	17	16	20	33	37	68	2	14	9	11	15	9	33	16	19	56	33	34	36
	12.2	9.1	12.1	15.3	16.7	17.7	8.7	13.2	11.7	4.3	13.1	5.9	8.2	11.4	16.0	26.5	10.4	7.0	18.3	58.5	14.3	7.4
Extremely Dissatisfied	232	4	43	24	25	29	108	71	161	9	50	35	45	33	14	26	59	80	73	8	75	117
Somewhat Dissatisfied	26.9	25.7	28.0	21.4	26.5	25.9	28.5	25.2	27.7	19.9	46.3	23.5	33.8	25.4	25.5	20.9	38.2	28.4	23.6	14.0	31.9	24.3
No Opinion	122		17	15	20	7	63	32	91	6	9	36	21	19	4	19	14	57	42	4	22	88
	14.2		10.7	13.5	21.9	6.7	16.7	11.3	15.6	11.9	7.9	24.2	15.8	14.9	7.6	15.1	9.1	20.2	13.7	7.6	9.2	18.3
Extremely Satisfied	62	1	10	14	5	12	19	25	37	3	7	12	6	11	3	9	10	18	24	2	13	41
Somewhat Satisfied	7.2	6.6	6.6	12.5	5.9	10.8	5.2	8.9	6.3	6.5	6.8	8.1	4.8	8.3	6.3	7.5	6.7	6.5	7.7	3.6	5.5	8.5
Not Satisfied	340	9	65	41	27	43	154	116	225	27	28	56	50	52	24	37	55	106	113	9	92	201
	39.5	58.7	42.6	37.3	29.1	38.9	41.0	41.3	38.7	57.5	25.9	38.3	37.5	40.0	44.6	29.9	35.6	37.9	36.8	16.3	39.2	41.6

Table 29

T29. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Broadband (Community Broadband Network)

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	336	117	56	124	84	55	181	146	103	62	79	87	63	52	56
Somewhat Satisfied	39.1	44.8	36.3	35.3	44.2	42.7	36.4	36.9	37.5	53.1	38.4	50.5	38.2	31.8	35.9
Not Satisfied	105	33	15	51	21	20	59	35	27	34	16	15	30	26	18
	12.2	12.5	10.0	14.5	11.3	15.5	11.9	8.9	9.9	29.6	7.6	8.8	18.2	16.1	11.5
Extremely Dissatisfied	232	85	41	73	62	35	122	111	76	27	64	72	33	26	38
Somewhat Dissatisfied	26.9	32.4	26.4	20.8	32.9	27.2	24.4	27.9	27.7	23.5	30.8	41.7	20.1	15.6	24.4
No Opinion	122	41	16	58	27	14	77	59	37	16	19	28	19	25	31
	14.2	15.6	10.4	16.4	14.0	11.0	15.4	14.9	13.4	13.6	9.2	16.5	11.9	15.0	19.9
Extremely Dissatisfied	62	22	10	22	19	7	35	40	8	11	19	4	4	20	15
	7.2	8.5	6.5	6.3	9.8	5.2	7.0	10.0	2.8	9.8	9.1	2.6	2.2	12.5	9.4
No Opinion	340	81	73	148	61	53	205	152	127	27	89	52	78	67	54
	39.5	31.1	46.8	42.0	32.0	41.1	41.3	38.2	46.2	23.5	43.3	30.4	47.6	40.8	34.8

Table 30

T30. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Ride Glenwood Springs

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	436	195	239	72	116	41	75	101	57	43	115	49	66	32	188	165	83	259	149	28
Somewhat Satisfied	50.7	44.8	56.5	83.5	45.0	32.1	57.4	51.2	54.1	47.5	44.5	37.2	52.0	52.6	54.7	49.2	45.9	43.0	69.2	65.7
Not Satisfied	78	45	33	2	34	19	15	16	9	6	20	12	8	6	36	23	18	52	23	3
	9.0	10.3	7.7	2.2	13.3	15.3	11.4	7.9	8.5	7.1	7.7	8.9	6.4	10.0	10.5	6.9	10.1	8.6	10.5	7.3
Extremely Dissatisfied	35	26	9		17	13	4	11	8	3	6	5	1	1	17	13	5	24	10	1
	4.1	5.9	2.2		6.6	9.9	3.3	5.6	7.6	3.4	2.2	3.9	0.4	2.3	4.9	3.9	2.9	4.1	4.6	1.8
No Opinion	312	169	142	12	91	54	36	70	32	38	118	66	52	21	103	134	74	267	34	11
	36.2	38.9	33.6	14.3	35.1	42.7	27.9	35.3	29.7	41.9	45.7	50.1	41.2	35.1	29.9	40.0	41.2	44.4	15.7	25.1

Table 30

T30. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Ride Glenwood Springs

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	436	8	69	50	60	49	201	127	309	38	83	67	67	49	33	32	121	134	114	47	130	216
Somewhat Satisfied	50.7	55.8	44.9	44.8	63.9	44.0	53.2	45.4	53.2	80.1	77.4	45.4	50.3	37.6	59.6	26.1	78.3	47.7	36.9	84.4	55.3	44.8
Not Satisfied	182	7	27	17	21	14	97	50	132	17	46	17	18	15	11	16	63	36	43	37	62	71
	21.1	45.3	17.3	15.0	22.0	13.0	25.6	17.8	22.6	35.8	42.9	11.8	13.9	11.5	20.6	13.2	40.8	12.8	13.8	66.5	26.1	14.7
Extremely Dissatisfied	255	2	43	33	39	34	104	77	178	21	37	50	48	34	21	16	58	98	71	10	69	145
Somewhat Dissatisfied	29.6	10.6	27.7	29.8	42.0	31.0	27.6	27.6	30.5	44.3	34.5	33.7	36.4	26.1	39.0	12.9	37.5	35.0	23.1	17.9	29.1	30.0
No Opinion	78		10	14	5	12	37	24	54	0.8	3	12	17	20	2	15	3	29	37		20	51
	9.0		6.2	13.0	5.4	10.7	9.7	8.6	9.2		2.9	7.9	13.1	15.6	3.4	12.1	2.2	10.3	12.1		8.5	10.5
Extremely Satisfied	35		4	5	2	13	11	9	27	2	1	13	0.3	5	1	7	3	14	13	1	8	25
Somewhat Satisfied	4.1		2.4	4.5	2.6	11.6	3.0	3.1	4.6	4.0	1.3	8.9		3.8	2.4	5.7	2.1	4.8	4.3	1.2	3.3	5.1
No Opinion	312	7	71	42	26	37	128	120	192	7	20	56	48	56	19	69	27	104	144	8	78	192
	36.2	44.2	46.4	37.8	28.1	33.7	34.1	42.9	33.0	15.0	18.4	37.8	36.4	43.0	34.6	56.0	17.4	37.1	46.7	14.4	32.9	39.6

Table 30

T30. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Ride Glenwood Springs

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Satisfied	436 50.7	121 46.4	62 40.0	209 59.2	99 52.4	64 49.5	257 51.5	200 50.4	133 48.4	76 65.4	92 44.6	99 57.5	79 48.4	101 61.7	65 42.0
Somewhat Satisfied	182 21.1	46 17.7	20 12.7	96 27.1	46 24.2	19 14.8	110 22.1	74 18.7	48 17.5	48 40.9	34 16.7	50 29.0	29 17.5	53 32.3	16 10.0
Not Satisfied	255 29.6	75 28.7	42 27.3	113 32.1	54 28.2	45 34.7	147 29.5	126 31.7	85 30.9	29 24.5	58 27.9	49 28.5	51 30.9	48 29.4	49 31.9
Extremely Dissatisfied	78 9.0	34 12.9	19 12.4	19 5.5	17 8.8	12 8.9	46 9.3	42 10.6	17 6.3	15 12.8	17 8.5	15 8.9	12 7.1	14 8.4	19 12.5
No Opinion	35 4.1	15 5.8	8 5.0	11 3.1	9 4.9	7 5.1	18 3.7	20 5.0	11 4.0	3 2.8	7 3.4	4 2.4	3 2.0	14 8.4	7 4.5
	312 36.2	91 34.9	66 42.6	114 32.2	64 33.9	47 36.5	177 35.5	135 34.0	113 41.3	22 19.1	90 43.6	54 31.2	69 42.5	35 21.5	64 41.0

Table 31

T31. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] City parks, pedestrian trails, and bicycle trails

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	775	377	396	86	235	110	124	177	92	84	226	110	116	51	321	298	156	528	207	40
Somewhat Satisfied	90.0	86.6	93.5	100.0	90.8	86.9	94.7	89.3	86.8	92.6	87.4	83.5	91.4	85.3	93.1	88.6	86.5	87.7	96.0	92.3
Not Satisfied	360	174	185	52	105	58	47	81	43	37	100	39	61	23	157	143	61	232	110	18
	41.8	39.9	43.6	60.1	40.6	45.2	35.7	41.0	41.0	40.8	38.5	29.4	47.9	38.2	45.5	42.5	33.7	38.5	51.1	42.0
Extremely Dissatisfied	414	203	211	34	130	53	77	96	49	47	126	71	55	28	164	155	95	296	97	22
Somewhat Dissatisfied	48.1	46.7	49.9	39.9	50.3	41.6	58.9	48.3	45.8	51.8	48.9	54.1	43.5	47.1	47.7	46.1	52.8	49.1	44.9	50.3
No Opinion	63	45	18		21	16	5	16	12	5	21	14	6	6	21	29	14	53	9	1
	7.3	10.4	4.3		7.9	12.5	3.5	8.2	10.9	5.3	8.0	10.8	5.1	9.6	6.0	8.6	7.7	8.9	4.0	3.2
Extremely Dissatisfied	15	9	6		3	1	2	4	2	2	6	4	3	2	3	7	5	14		1
Somewhat Dissatisfied	1.8	2.0	1.5		1.1	0.6	1.5	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.4	2.8	2.0	3.8	0.8	2.1	2.9	2.4		1.7
No Opinion	8	4	3		0.2		0.3	1	0.4		6	4	2	1	0.1	2	5	7		1
	0.9	1.0	0.7					0.4			2.2	2.9	1.5	1.4		0.7	2.9	1.1		2.8

Table 31

T31. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] City parks, pedestrian trails, and bicycle trails

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	775	13	142	100	86	97	336	256	519	44	102	128	125	116	50	105	146	253	271	54	220	424
Somewhat Satisfied	90.0	91.4	92.2	90.2	92.4	87.4	89.1	91.4	89.3	93.4	94.4	87.0	94.3	89.1	91.5	84.7	94.1	90.5	87.8	96.8	93.6	87.7
Not Satisfied	63	1	7	7	6	10	32	15	48	1	4	16	6	13	4	14	5	21	31	1	15	43
Extremely Dissatisfied	7.3	8.6	4.6	6.2	6.9	8.7	8.5	5.5	8.3	2.8	3.8	10.8	4.2	9.8	6.7	11.7	3.5	7.6	10.0	1.1	6.2	8.9
No Opinion	15		4	4	1	3	3	8	7	1	1	2	2	1	1	3	2	4	4			12
	1.8		2.9	3.6	0.5	2.6	0.9	3.0	1.2	1.9	0.8	1.4	1.2	0.6	1.5	2.3	1.1	1.3	1.4			2.4
	8		0.3		0.2	1	6	0.2	7	1	1	1	0.3	1	0.3	2	2	2	2	1	0.2	5
	0.9					1.3	1.5		1.3	1.9	1.0	0.8		0.5		1.3	1.3	0.6	0.8	2.1		1.0

Table 31

T31. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] City parks, pedestrian trails, and bicycle trails

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Satisfied	360 41.8	97 36.9	69 44.6	149 42.3	82 43.3	62 47.7	201 40.3	146 36.9	132 48.0	53 45.8	88 42.6	67 39.0	62 38.0	85 52.2	58 37.1
Somewhat Satisfied	414 48.1	138 52.8	68 43.7	171 48.6	90 47.6	47 36.2	255 51.2	206 51.9	117 42.8	53 45.2	97 47.1	85 49.5	90 54.9	59 36.0	83 53.8
Not Satisfied	63 7.3	22 8.4	14 9.0	23 6.6	12 6.6	17 12.8	33 6.6	32 8.1	23 8.4	8 6.8	16 7.9	15 8.4	7 4.1	14 8.8	11 7.3
Extremely Dissatisfied	15 1.8	3 1.3	3 1.9	4 1.1	3 1.4	3 2.4	6 1.1	8 2.0	0.1	2 2.0	5 2.4	3 1.5	3 1.7	3 2.0	2 1.2
No Opinion	8 0.9	1 0.5	1 0.8	5 1.4	2 1.1	1 0.9	4 0.8	5 1.2	2 0.6	0.2		3 1.6	2 1.4	2 1.0	1 0.7

Table 32

T32. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community Center (including programs or classes)

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	194	90	103	5	63	35	27	42	25	17	70	23	46	14	68	88	38	132	56	7
Somewhat Satisfied	365	175	190	64	91	28	64	97	57	40	86	43	43	27	155	141	69	247	98	20
Not Satisfied	75	32	43	12	27	13	13	16	4	12	13	8	5	7	39	20	16	52	22	1
Extremely Dissatisfied	43	19	24		25	10	15	10	4	6	8	5	3		25	15	2	20	16	7
No Opinion	184	119	64	5	52	41	11	33	17	16	81	51	30	12	57	72	55	151	24	8
	21.4	27.5	15.1	5.4	20.3	32.3	8.8	16.8	16.1	17.5	31.4	39.2	23.6	20.3	16.6	21.4	30.4	25.1	11.2	19.3

Table 32

T32. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community Center (including programs or classes)

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	194	2.5	53	28	23	21	68	82	112	11	29	24	30	36	15	33	39	54	84	36	66	74
	22.5		34.4	25.4	24.8	19.1	18.1	29.2	19.3	22.5	26.5	16.2	22.3	27.5	27.1	27.1	25.3	19.1	27.3	65.3	28.1	15.3
Somewhat Satisfied	365	2	48	40	50	52	173	90	275	22	56	57	51	46	27	48	78	108	120	7	124	203
	42.4	15.7	31.2	35.9	53.3	46.8	45.9	32.2	47.3	45.5	52.0	38.6	38.3	35.0	49.8	38.5	50.0	38.5	39.0	11.8	52.5	42.0
Not Satisfied	75	2	10	16	6	9	33	28	48	7	3	24	10	9	7	7	10	34	23	1	7	61
	8.8	13.0	6.4	14.5	6.1	7.7	8.8	10.0	8.2	15.7	2.5	16.3	7.3	6.6	13.2	5.5	6.5	12.0	7.3	2.4	3.0	12.5
Extremely Dissatisfied	43		8	4	1	17	13	12	31	1	3	12	11	5	1	8	4	22	14	4	6	24
	5.0		5.3	3.3	1.4	15.1	3.4	4.2	5.3	1.6	2.9	7.9	8.1	3.6	1.9	6.5	2.5	8.0	4.4	7.9	2.7	5.1
No Opinion	184	10	35	23	13	13	89	68	116	7	17	31	32	36	4	28	24	63	68	7	32	122
	21.4	68.9	22.8	20.9	14.5	11.3	23.7	24.5	19.9	14.6	16.1	21.0	23.9	27.3	8.1	22.5	15.7	22.4	22.0	12.7	13.7	25.1

Table 32

T32. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community Center (including programs or classes)

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	559	150	103	250	117	92	332	237	201	78	133	123	112	98	93
Somewhat Satisfied	64.9	57.5	66.5	70.9	61.8	70.8	66.7	59.8	73.4	67.1	64.4	71.2	68.4	59.8	60.3
Extremely Dissatisfied	194	36	42	92	37	37	117	53	90	40	53	38	35	35	32
	22.5	13.9	27.2	26.0	19.3	28.8	23.5	13.4	32.8	34.3	25.6	22.4	21.6	21.7	20.6
Somewhat Dissatisfied	365	114	61	159	81	55	215	184	111	38	80	84	77	62	61
	42.4	43.6	39.3	44.9	42.6	41.9	43.2	46.4	40.5	32.8	38.9	48.8	46.8	38.2	39.6
Not Satisfied	75	23	16	34	10	10	51	49	14	8	6	17	9	22	22
	8.8	8.9	10.0	9.5	5.2	7.4	10.2	12.3	5.1	6.6	2.9	9.6	5.6	13.4	14.1
Extremely Satisfied	43	23	5	11	15	4	22	26	6	9	13	10	2	11	8
	5.0	8.8	3.4	3.1	7.8	3.3	4.5	6.6	2.2	7.8	6.1	5.7	1.0	6.6	5.1
No Opinion	184	65	31	58	48	24	93	85	53	22	55	23	41	33	32
	21.4	24.8	20.1	16.5	25.2	18.6	18.6	21.4	19.3	18.5	26.5	13.5	25.0	20.3	20.5

Table 33

T33. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community outreach and communications

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	466	214	250	13	156	70	85	112	53	58	148	66	83	37	169	191	106	344	100	23
Somewhat Satisfied	54.1	49.3	59.1	15.6	60.3	55.4	64.8	56.5	50.2	63.7	57.4	50.0	65.4	61.0	49.1	56.9	58.6	57.0	46.4	52.7
Not Satisfied	189	114	75	38	57	29	28	42	27	14	42	28	14	11	95	61	34	108	70	11
Extremely Dissatisfied	22.0	26.2	17.8	44.0	22.1	22.9	21.3	21.1	25.8	15.7	16.2	21.0	11.1	18.2	27.6	18.0	18.8	18.0	32.7	24.9
No Opinion	58	20	38	21	11	3	7	10	6	5	14	9	5	3	31	20	7	30	26	2
	6.8	4.5	9.1	23.9	4.1	2.6	5.7	5.2	5.3	5.1	5.3	6.5	3.9	5.1	9.1	5.9	4.0	5.0	11.9	5.2
	147	87	59	14	35	24	11	34	20	14	54	29	25	9	49	64	34	120	19	7
	17.1	20.0	14.0	16.5	13.5	19.1	8.1	17.2	18.6	15.5	21.1	22.5	19.5	15.7	14.3	19.1	18.6	20.0	8.9	17.2

Table 33

T33. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community outreach and communications

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	100	2	34	16	8	11	29	53	48	8	18	7	10	13	11	16	26	17	40	28	34	27
	11.7	16.2	22.1	14.7	8.3	9.7	7.7	18.8	8.2	16.9	16.6	4.4	7.7	9.9	19.9	13.1	16.7	6.0	12.9	50.8	14.6	5.7
Somewhat Satisfied	366	10	64	52	50	52	137	126	239	26	29	66	57	61	26	64	55	123	151	8	115	213
	42.5	68.5	41.7	47.0	53.8	46.7	36.4	45.2	41.2	54.7	27.0	44.8	43.3	46.6	48.1	52.0	35.5	44.1	49.0	15.0	48.6	44.1
Not Satisfied	189		31	21	20	17	100	52	137	8	35	45	28	26	9	22	43	73	57	7	41	125
	22.0		20.4	18.8	21.9	15.4	26.4	18.7	23.6	16.3	32.6	30.6	21.2	20.2	16.8	17.5	27.6	26.2	18.5	12.5	17.6	25.9
Extremely Dissatisfied	58		4	6	4	8	36	10	48	1	3	6	8	4	1	6	3	14	12	3	1	46
	6.8		2.4	5.7	4.1	7.3	9.6	3.6	8.3	1.1	2.5	4.1	6.0	3.0	2.2	5.2	2.1	5.0	3.8	4.6	0.5	9.5
No Opinion	147	2	21	15	11	23	75	38	109	5	23	24	29	26	7	15	28	53	49	10	44	72
	17.1	15.3	13.4	13.8	11.9	20.8	19.8	13.7	18.7	11.0	21.3	16.1	21.8	20.3	13.0	12.1	18.2	18.8	15.7	17.0	18.7	14.8

Table 33

T33. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community outreach and communications

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	466	144	96	184	88	83	269	182	175	68	101	87	94	96	89
Somewhat Satisfied	54.1	55.0	61.5	52.1	46.6	64.1	53.9	45.8	63.9	58.6	48.7	50.4	57.4	58.6	57.4
Extremely Dissatisfied	100	19	23	51	11	25	61	22	44	28	21	17	22	24	17
	11.7	7.3	15.0	14.4	5.6	19.5	12.3	5.4	16.0	23.7	10.3	9.8	13.3	14.6	10.8
Somewhat Dissatisfied	366	125	72	133	78	58	207	160	131	41	79	70	72	72	72
	42.5	47.7	46.5	37.7	41.0	44.6	41.6	40.4	47.9	34.9	38.4	40.6	44.1	44.1	46.6
Not Satisfied	189	69	31	75	67	22	94	104	50	24	55	48	27	23	36
	22.0	26.3	19.7	21.2	35.1	16.8	18.9	26.1	18.3	20.9	26.6	28.1	16.6	13.8	23.4
Extremely Satisfied	58	14	5	37	6	4	47	42	4	8	6	11	7	27	8
	6.8	5.2	3.3	10.4	3.3	3.1	9.4	10.7	1.5	6.7	3.0	6.2	4.0	16.4	5.3
No Opinion	147	35	24	57	28	21	89	69	45	16	45	26	36	18	22
	17.1	13.5	15.5	16.3	15.0	16.0	17.8	17.3	16.3	13.8	21.7	15.3	22.1	11.2	13.9

Table 34

T34. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Bilingual outreach efforts

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	319	153	164	42	102	48	54	74	38	36	76	34	42	25	144	116	60	186	115	18
Somewhat Satisfied	37.1	35.3	38.8	48.4	39.5	37.4	41.4	37.4	35.6	39.3	29.5	25.6	33.4	42.1	41.7	34.4	33.2	30.9	53.5	41.9
Not Satisfied	78	33	45		38	12	26	24	12	12	11	7	5	5	38	29	11	42	27	9
	9.0	7.6	10.6		14.7	9.3	20.0	12.1	11.7	12.7	4.4	5.0	3.7	7.8	11.0	8.7	5.8	6.9	12.5	22.0
Extremely Dissatisfied	55	21	34	21	21	12	9	3	1	2	8	6	2	2	41	6	7	13	39	2
	6.3	4.8	7.9	23.9	8.0	9.2	6.9	1.7	1.0	2.5	3.0	4.5	1.4	3.8	12.0	1.9	3.9	2.2	18.3	5.4
No Opinion	409	228	181	24	98	56	41	97	55	41	163	85	78	28	121	185	103	362	34	13
	47.5	52.3	42.7	27.7	37.8	44.1	31.8	48.8	51.8	45.5	63.1	64.9	61.4	46.4	35.3	55.0	57.1	60.1	15.7	30.7

Table 34

T34. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Bilingual outreach efforts

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	319	6	54	42	36	36	146	101	218	27	56	60	38	51	17	36	83	98	105	39	103	160
Somewhat Satisfied	37.1	39.9	34.9	37.5	38.9	32.5	38.6	36.2	37.5	57.1	52.4	40.6	28.5	39.3	31.1	29.3	53.8	34.8	33.9	69.4	43.9	33.1
Not Satisfied	109	4	20	10	11	12	52	34	75	15	37	10	7	5	7	14	53	17	26	35	40	31
	12.6	26.8	13.1	8.6	12.0	10.5	13.9	12.0	12.9	32.3	34.7	6.6	5.2	3.9	12.5	11.3	34.0	5.9	8.4	63.3	16.9	6.3
Extremely Dissatisfied	210	2	34	32	25	24	93	68	143	12	19	50	31	46	10	22	31	81	79	3	64	130
Somewhat Dissatisfied	24.4	13.1	21.9	28.9	26.9	22.0	24.8	24.2	24.6	24.8	17.6	34.0	23.3	35.4	18.6	18.0	19.8	28.9	25.5	6.2	27.0	26.8
No Opinion	78	1	14	4	19	13	27	19	59	7	8	19	18	11	3	9	14	37	23	4	16	52
	9.0	8.6	8.8	3.7	20.0	11.7	7.3	6.8	10.1	14.1	7.0	13.1	13.2	8.3	4.8	7.5	9.2	13.1	7.3	6.6	6.6	10.7
Extremely Satisfied	55		14	2	3	9	27	16	39	1	3	13	8	3			3	21	3		3	43
Somewhat Satisfied	6.3		9.1	1.7	3.4	8.1	7.1	5.7	6.7	1.1	2.4	8.9	6.3	2.4			2.0	7.6	1.0		1.4	8.8
No Opinion	409	8	73	64	35	53	177	144	266	13	41	55	69	65	35	78	54	124	178	13	113	229
	47.5	51.4	47.2	57.1	37.7	47.7	47.1	51.4	45.7	27.7	38.3	37.5	52.1	50.0	64.1	63.2	35.0	44.4	57.8	24.0	48.1	47.4

Table 34

T34. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Bilingual outreach efforts

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Satisfied	109 12.6	32 12.2	19 12.3	57 16.3	29 15.1	14 11.1	65 13.1	45 11.3	21 7.7	41 34.8	15 7.0	35 20.3	12 7.4	34 20.5	14 8.8
Somewhat Satisfied	210 24.4	63 24.1	42 27.2	84 23.8	38 20.2	39 30.1	127 25.4	110 27.7	78 28.3	13 10.7	50 24.1	39 22.5	36 22.0	35 21.4	51 32.9
Not Satisfied	78 9.0	42 16.1	4 2.5	24 6.7	33 17.5	4 2.8	39 7.8	37 9.3	17 6.2	19 16.5	28 13.6	20 11.5	10 6.2	11 6.5	9 5.9
Extremely Dissatisfied	55 6.3	9 3.5	4 2.4	41 11.5	11 6.1	4 3.4	38 7.6	32 8.0	16 5.8	4 3.8	13 6.3	9 5.0	5 3.3	22 13.7	5 3.4
No Opinion	409 47.5	115 44.1	86 55.7	147 41.7	78 41.2	68 52.6	229 46.0	174 43.8	142 51.9	40 34.1	101 48.9	70 40.7	100 61.1	62 37.9	76 49.1

Table 35

T35. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community events, gatherings, concerts, and holiday celebrations

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	168	82	85	6	57	39	18	31	15	16	61	20	41	12	64	71	33	114	41	12
	19.5	18.8	20.1	7.4	22.2	30.8	14.0	15.8	14.0	17.4	23.5	14.9	32.3	20.0	18.5	21.2	18.2	19.0	19.3	27.8
Somewhat Satisfied	407	212	193	24	124	55	69	102	59	43	126	66	60	31	148	168	91	307	84	15
	47.3	48.8	45.7	27.7	48.0	43.2	52.5	51.6	55.7	47.3	48.7	50.5	47.1	51.1	43.0	49.9	50.5	51.0	39.2	35.7
Not Satisfied	165	83	82	43	45	19	26	38	20	18	27	16	11	13	88	49	28	99	60	6
	19.2	19.1	19.4	50.4	17.2	14.8	19.7	19.1	18.6	20.0	10.4	11.9	8.9	20.7	25.5	14.7	15.4	16.4	27.8	14.5
Extremely Dissatisfied	48	16	32	12	20	6	14	7	3	4	9	7	2		32	12	4	24	18	7
	5.6	3.6	7.6	14.5	7.7	4.4	11.0	3.7	3.0	4.2	3.4	5.3	1.4		9.4	3.5	2.5	3.9	8.4	16.1
No Opinion	73	42	30		12	9	4	19	9	10	36	23	13	5	12	36	24	59	12	3
	8.4	9.7	7.2		4.8	6.8	2.9	9.8	8.7	11.2	14.0	17.5	10.3	8.1	3.6	10.7	13.4	9.7	5.4	5.9

Table 35

T35. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community events, gatherings, concerts, and holiday celebrations

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	168	6	47	24	18	11	62	77	91	20	30	25	16	22	11	28	50	41	61	34	46	74
	19.5	37.5	30.5	21.9	18.8	10.1	16.5	27.4	15.7	42.8	28.0	16.7	12.4	17.0	19.7	22.4	32.5	14.6	19.6	61.1	19.5	15.4
Somewhat Satisfied	407	4	69	49	54	72	158	123	284	14	44	60	65	73	36	66	58	125	175	15	130	225
	47.3	29.1	44.9	44.3	57.8	65.2	41.9	43.9	48.9	29.7	41.0	40.4	49.3	55.7	66.0	53.6	37.5	44.6	56.7	26.4	55.3	46.6
Not Satisfied	165	2	17	22	14	12	98	41	124	10	27	27	26	19	5	17	37	53	41	3	37	108
	19.2	15.6	11.0	19.7	14.8	11.1	26.0	14.7	21.4	20.3	25.0	18.0	19.7	14.2	9.7	13.7	23.6	18.8	13.2	4.5	15.9	22.3
Extremely Dissatisfied	48		9	7	3	7	23	15	33	1	1	18	13	3	2	5	2	31	10	3	9	30
	5.6		5.5	6.0	3.1	6.6	6.1	5.4	5.7	1.8	0.8	12.4	9.7	2.5	3.1	4.4	1.1	11.1	3.4	5.8	3.7	6.1
No Opinion	73	3	12	9	5	8	36	24	49	3	6	18	12	14	1	7	8	30	22	1	13	46
	8.4	17.7	8.1	8.2	5.6	7.0	9.4	8.6	8.4	5.4	5.2	12.5	9.0	10.6	1.5	5.9	5.3	10.8	7.1	2.1	5.5	9.6

Table 35

T35. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Community events, gatherings, concerts, and holiday celebrations

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	575	168	119	227	124	103	325	211	220	92	152	99	122	94	108
Somewhat Satisfied	66.7	64.1	76.4	64.4	65.6	79.1	65.2	53.3	80.2	78.8	73.5	57.4	74.7	57.5	69.5
Not Satisfied	168	33	33	83	29	30	101	44	72	41	46	27	35	37	23
	19.5	12.5	21.1	23.7	15.3	23.4	20.3	11.0	26.1	35.4	22.1	15.7	21.2	22.9	14.8
Extremely Dissatisfied	407	135	86	144	95	72	224	168	148	50	106	72	88	57	85
	47.3	51.7	55.3	40.8	50.3	55.7	44.9	42.3	54.1	43.4	51.4	41.6	53.5	34.6	54.7
No Opinion	165	58	24	73	38	17	104	110	34	16	26	48	24	48	19
	19.2	22.1	15.4	20.6	19.9	13.1	20.8	27.8	12.4	13.6	12.7	27.6	14.8	29.3	12.4
Extremely Dissatisfied	48	19	4	22	14	3	28	37	5	3	13	10	2	5	18
	5.6	7.1	2.7	6.3	7.3	2.3	5.7	9.3	1.9	2.7	6.4	5.7	1.3	3.0	11.8
No Opinion	73	17	9	30	14	7	41	38	15	6	15	16	15	17	10
	8.4	6.6	5.5	8.6	7.2	5.5	8.3	9.7	5.4	4.9	7.5	9.4	9.1	10.1	6.3

City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey, 861n, +/- 3.15% MoE, September 7th - 22nd, 2021, Weighted Crosstabs
 Table 36
 T36. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Residential and commercial permitting, land planning and development

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	42	25	17	2	24	16	9	6	3	3	7	3	4	3	26	11	5	21	17	4
	4.9	5.9	3.9	2.4	9.4	12.3	6.6	3.2	3.1	3.3	2.6	2.3	3.0	5.1	7.6	3.2	3.0	3.5	8.1	8.2
Somewhat Satisfied	199	112	86	20	59	29	31	48	26	21	57	32	25	14	80	78	41	131	65	2
	23.1	25.8	20.4	23.6	23.0	22.5	23.7	24.2	24.9	23.6	21.9	24.3	19.5	23.9	23.2	23.2	22.6	21.7	30.4	5.8
Not Satisfied	216	126	90	7	65	37	28	57	36	20	77	39	38	11	72	95	49	172	31	13
	25.1	28.9	21.2	8.2	25.1	28.8	21.1	28.7	34.4	22.3	29.7	29.9	29.6	18.0	20.9	28.3	27.3	28.5	14.3	31.2
Extremely Dissatisfied	181	95	85	4	46	22	24	44	23	21	66	35	31	21	50	76	55	141	26	14
	21.1	21.8	20.1	5.0	17.8	17.1	18.6	22.4	21.5	22.7	25.6	26.4	24.3	34.2	14.6	22.5	30.6	23.4	12.0	33.1
No Opinion	222	77	146	52	64	25	39	43	17	26	52	22	30	11	116	76	30	137	76	9
	25.8	17.6	34.4	60.8	24.7	19.3	30.1	21.6	16.0	28.2	20.2	17.1	23.6	18.7	33.7	22.8	16.5	22.8	35.1	21.8

City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey, 861n, +- 3.15% MoE, September 7th - 22nd, 2021, Weighted Crosstabs
 Table 36
 T36. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Residential and commercial permitting, land planning and development

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	42	4	22	4	5	4	4	30	13	3	14	3	10	3	1	7	17	13	11	12	21	9
	4.9	26.8	14.4	3.2	5.0	3.3	1.2	10.6	2.2	6.3	12.8	1.9	7.4	2.2	1.3	6.0	10.8	4.5	3.6	21.2	8.8	1.9
Somewhat Satisfied	199	3	40	23	15	27	92	65	133	9	43	32	16	34	13	35	53	48	82	6	86	97
	23.1	17.1	25.8	20.7	16.0	24.3	24.3	23.3	23.0	19.8	40.3	21.4	12.1	26.3	23.5	28.1	34.1	17.0	26.5	11.4	36.5	20.0
Not Satisfied	216	2	29	26	32	28	99	57	159	6	15	36	42	43	18	26	21	78	88	5	61	128
	25.1	10.4	19.1	23.4	34.6	25.0	26.3	20.3	27.4	13.7	13.8	24.2	32.0	33.3	33.1	21.1	13.8	27.9	28.4	9.9	25.7	26.5
Extremely Dissatisfied	181		19	15	17	27	103	34	147	13	9	29	34	17	14	27	22	63	57	25	21	119
	21.1		12.5	13.7	18.5	24.1	27.3	12.3	25.3	26.5	8.8	19.9	25.5	13.2	25.1	21.5	14.2	22.5	18.6	45.6	8.8	24.7
No Opinion	222	7	43	43	24	26	79	94	129	16	26	48	31	33	9	29	42	79	71	7	48	130
	25.8	45.6	28.2	39.1	25.8	23.3	20.9	33.4	22.2	33.7	24.2	32.6	23.1	25.0	17.0	23.3	27.1	28.1	22.9	11.9	20.2	26.9

City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey, 861n, +- 3.15% MoE, September 7th - 22nd, 2021, Weighted Crosstabs
 Table 36
 T36. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Residential and commercial permitting, land planning and development

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	241	81	52	84	67	57	108	105	97	29	59	57	35	52	37
Somewhat Satisfied	28.0	30.8	33.6	23.9	35.5	43.8	21.7	26.5	35.4	24.6	28.5	33.3	21.7	31.7	24.2
Not Satisfied	42	10	10	17	8	13	21	10	21	11	10	4	5	14	9
	4.9	4.0	6.3	4.7	4.5	9.7	4.3	2.5	7.7	9.7	4.6	2.5	2.9	8.6	6.1
Extremely Dissatisfied	199	70	42	68	59	44	87	95	76	17	49	53	31	38	28
Somewhat Dissatisfied	23.1	26.8	27.3	19.1	31.0	34.1	17.4	24.0	27.7	14.9	23.9	30.7	18.8	23.0	18.1
No Opinion	216	71	41	92	45	29	138	112	68	26	51	43	58	26	38
	25.1	27.3	26.1	26.0	23.5	22.6	27.7	28.3	24.9	22.6	24.8	24.8	35.7	15.9	24.5
Extremely Dissatisfied	181	44	26	100	27	6	141	79	42	50	36	41	27	46	30
	21.1	16.8	16.7	28.3	14.0	4.9	28.3	19.9	15.4	43.2	17.5	24.1	16.8	28.2	19.6
No Opinion	222	66	37	77	51	37	111	100	66	11	60	31	42	40	49
	25.8	25.1	23.6	21.8	26.9	28.8	22.3	25.3	24.2	9.6	29.2	17.8	25.9	24.3	31.8

Table 37

T37. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Economic development

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	368	200	167	31	116	51	65	81	47	33	109	56	52	32	147	138	83	268	86	14
Somewhat Satisfied	42.8	46.1	39.3	36.3	45.0	40.4	49.6	40.7	43.9	36.8	42.0	42.9	41.1	52.5	42.8	41.1	45.8	44.5	39.9	32.8
Not Satisfied	55	30	25		28	16	12	9	5	4	12	6	7	5	28	17	10	34	20	1
	6.4	7.0	5.8		11.0	12.6	9.4	4.7	4.7	4.8	4.8	4.2	5.1	8.9	8.2	5.2	5.3	5.6	9.3	3.4
Extremely Dissatisfied	313	170	142	31	88	35	52	71	41	29	96	51	45	26	119	120	73	234	66	13
Somewhat Dissatisfied	36.3	39.1	33.5	36.3	34.0	27.9	40.1	35.9	39.1	32.0	37.3	38.7	35.9	43.6	34.6	35.9	40.5	38.9	30.6	29.5
No Opinion	236	125	111	15	71	41	30	65	35	30	76	43	33	9	86	99	51	148	74	14
	27.4	28.7	26.1	17.5	27.6	32.2	22.7	32.7	32.8	32.9	29.5	32.6	26.3	14.7	25.1	29.4	28.2	24.6	34.4	31.6
Extremely Dissatisfied	92	55	37	11	27	16	11	22	14	8	23	11	11	9	39	36	18	75	12	5
	10.7	12.7	8.6	13.2	10.6	12.9	8.4	11.0	12.9	8.6	8.7	8.4	9.0	15.1	11.2	10.7	9.7	12.4	5.6	12.7
No Opinion	165	55	110	28	44	18	25	31	11	20	51	21	30	11	72	63	29	112	43	10
	19.1	12.6	25.9	32.9	16.9	14.5	19.4	15.6	10.4	21.7	19.8	16.1	23.7	17.7	20.9	18.8	16.2	18.5	20.0	22.9

Table 37

T37. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Economic development

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	368	9	81	40	42	51	145	130	238	17	60	55	57	58	30	64	77	112	152	20	155	168
Somewhat Satisfied	42.8	63.9	52.6	35.9	44.8	46.0	38.5	46.6	40.9	35.3	55.8	37.5	42.6	44.2	54.7	52.1	49.5	39.9	49.2	36.0	65.7	34.7
Not Satisfied	55	1	24	6	4	5	15	31	25	0.8	3	16	11	8	3	10	4	27	21	5	25	23
Extremely Dissatisfied	6.4	4.0	15.9	5.1	4.6	4.9	4.0	11.0	4.2		3.1	10.8	8.3	5.8	6.0	8.1	2.4	9.6	6.8	8.7	10.7	4.8
Somewhat Dissatisfied	313	9	56	34	38	46	130	100	213	16	57	39	46	50	27	54	73	85	131	15	130	145
	36.3	59.9	36.7	30.8	40.3	41.1	34.5	35.6	36.7	34.5	52.7	26.7	34.3	38.3	48.7	44.0	47.1	30.3	42.4	27.2	55.0	29.9
Extremely Satisfied	236		33	35	29	20	119	68	168	8	26	51	35	42	10	33	33	87	86	24	33	165
Somewhat Satisfied	27.4		21.5	31.6	31.4	18.0	31.4	24.4	28.9	16.0	24.0	34.9	26.5	32.6	18.4	27.0	21.5	30.9	27.8	43.0	14.0	34.1
Not Satisfied	92	1	11	10	4	24	42	22	70	10	4	21	19	6	5	9	15	40	20	6	11	64
Extremely Dissatisfied	10.7	6.6	7.5	9.0	3.8	21.6	11.2	8.0	12.0	22.1	4.1	14.1	14.2	4.2	9.0	7.6	9.6	14.2	6.4	10.9	4.7	13.3
No Opinion	165	4	28	26	19	16	71	59	106	13	17	20	22	25	10	17	30	42	51	6	37	87
	19.1	29.6	18.4	23.5	20.0	14.4	18.9	21.0	18.2	26.6	16.1	13.5	16.6	19.0	17.9	13.4	19.3	15.0	16.6	10.2	15.6	17.9

Table 37

T37. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Economic development

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	368	122	72	140	91	73	194	124	164	49	94	93	65	55	61
Somewhat Satisfied	42.8	46.7	46.7	39.8	47.8	56.0	38.9	31.4	59.8	42.0	45.4	54.1	39.6	33.5	39.7
Not Satisfied	55	10	13	25	19	8	27	4	40	9	26	5	8	8	8
	6.4	3.8	8.5	6.9	10.2	6.1	5.4	1.1	14.7	8.0	12.7	3.2	4.8	4.6	5.4
Extremely Dissatisfied	313	112	59	116	71	65	167	120	124	40	68	88	57	47	53
	36.3	43.0	38.2	32.9	37.5	49.9	33.6	30.3	45.1	34.0	32.7	50.9	34.8	29.0	34.3
No Opinion	236	76	39	107	50	19	158	132	49	46	54	35	47	49	51
	27.4	29.0	25.3	30.4	26.6	14.7	31.7	33.4	18.0	39.6	25.9	20.6	28.8	29.8	33.0
Extremely Dissatisfied	92	32	10	44	25	7	57	66	9	12	18	16	22	22	14
	10.7	12.4	6.7	12.3	13.1	5.5	11.4	16.6	3.2	10.4	8.9	9.1	13.5	13.7	8.7
No Opinion	165	31	33	61	24	31	89	74	52	9	41	28	30	38	29
	19.1	11.9	21.4	17.4	12.5	23.8	18.0	18.7	19.0	8.0	19.7	16.2	18.1	22.9	18.6

Table 38

T38. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Police services and crime prevention

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	204	111	93	36	51	17	33	29	17	11	68	35	33	20	87	66	50	155	45	4
Somewhat Satisfied	400	204	196	12	126	59	66	99	50	48	129	67	62	34	138	156	106	303	82	15
Not Satisfied	97	53	43	3	34	17	16	30	17	13	29	18	10	1	36	45	15	68	14	14
Extremely Dissatisfied	99	50	49	22	30	24	6	23	13	10	20	10	10	3	53	40	7	42	49	8
No Opinion	61	18	43	12	18	9	10	17	8	9	12	1	11	1	31	27	3	34	25	2
	7.1	4.1	10.2	14.5	7.0	6.8	7.3	8.7	7.8	9.9	4.6	0.7	8.7	2.2	8.9	8.1	1.8	5.7	11.5	4.4

Table 38

T38. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Police services and crime prevention

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	204	3	34	23	20	28	95	60	143	19	31	19	41	27	13	34	50	60	74	9	98	79
	23.7	22.9	22.1	20.8	21.9	25.2	25.2	21.6	24.7	39.9	29.3	13.0	30.9	20.5	24.7	27.7	32.5	21.5	24.1	16.1	41.7	16.3
Somewhat Satisfied	400	5	76	50	47	46	175	132	268	17	47	82	61	70	21	58	64	143	149	11	99	252
	46.5	37.3	49.6	45.2	50.6	41.8	46.3	47.2	46.1	36.0	43.8	55.6	46.2	53.3	39.1	46.7	41.4	51.1	48.2	20.3	41.8	52.2
Not Satisfied	97	1	17	20	7	13	39	38	59	7	7	9	19	17	10	14	14	29	41	6	22	58
	11.2	8.6	11.0	17.5	7.3	12.2	10.2	13.5	10.1	14.5	6.6	6.2	14.7	12.8	18.0	11.4	9.0	10.2	13.1	11.6	9.3	12.0
Extremely Dissatisfied	99		18	8	11	17	45	26	73	3	16	12	9	7	5	11	19	21	22	28	4	58
	11.5		11.8	7.2	11.6	15.5	12.0	9.3	12.6	6.8	15.1	8.3	6.9	5.1	8.8	8.7	12.5	7.6	7.2	50.6	1.7	11.9
No Opinion	61	5	9	10	8	6	24	24	38	1	6	25	2	11	5	7	7	27	23	1	13	36
	7.1	31.2	5.6	9.3	8.6	5.4	6.2	8.4	6.5	2.8	5.3	17.0	1.3	8.4	9.4	5.6	4.6	9.6	7.4	1.4	5.6	7.5

Table 38

T38. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Police services and crime prevention

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	604	195	128	226	138	104	333	278	214	65	151	145	110	89	109
Somewhat Satisfied	70.1	74.4	82.3	63.9	72.9	80.1	66.9	70.0	78.1	55.7	73.3	84.3	67.1	54.3	70.2
Not Satisfied	204	62	55	66	47	43	105	95	84	12	42	64	33	33	32
	23.7	23.8	35.5	18.8	24.8	33.3	21.1	23.9	30.6	10.2	20.4	37.2	20.4	20.0	20.4
Extremely Dissatisfied	400	132	73	159	91	61	228	183	130	53	109	81	76	56	77
Somewhat Dissatisfied	46.5	50.6	46.7	45.1	48.1	46.8	45.8	46.2	47.5	45.5	52.9	47.1	46.7	34.3	49.8
No Opinion	97	32	18	37	21	16	58	43	33	18	20	15	26	22	14
	11.2	12.3	11.4	10.5	10.9	12.4	11.7	10.9	11.9	15.7	9.5	8.6	15.7	13.7	9.1
Extremely Dissatisfied	99	19	8	65	19	3	73	49	8	30	20	9	17	49	5
	11.5	7.1	5.2	18.4	9.8	2.4	14.6	12.4	3.1	26.1	9.4	5.0	10.1	30.3	3.3
No Opinion	61	16	2	26	12	7	34	26	19	3	16	4	12	3	27
	7.1	6.2	1.2	7.2	6.5	5.1	6.7	6.6	6.8	2.5	7.8	2.0	7.1	1.7	17.5

Table 39

T39. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Fire and emergency medical services

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	756	374	380	72	220	104	115	173	93	78	237	123	114	55	292	298	166	520	201	35
Somewhat Satisfied	87.8	86.0	89.6	83.6	85.1	81.8	88.1	87.3	88.0	86.2	91.8	93.4	90.1	90.4	84.7	88.8	92.0	86.3	93.5	81.3
Not Satisfied	20	9	11		1	0.3		10	6	5	5	1	3	4	1	12	6	16	3	2
	2.3	2.1	2.5		0.5			5.2	5.2	5.3	1.8	0.9	2.7	6.0	0.4	3.6	3.5	2.6	1.3	3.6
Extremely Dissatisfied	13	11	1		9	8		0.2		0.4	3	3		1	9	2	2	6	6	1
	1.5	2.6	0.3		3.3	6.5		0.2			1.1	2.2		1.4	2.5	0.6	1.1	0.9	3.0	1.3
No Opinion	72	40	32	14	29	14	14	15	7	7	14	5	9	1	43	23	6	61	5	6
	8.4	9.3	7.6	16.4	11.1	11.3	11.0	7.3	6.8	8.0	5.3	3.5	7.2	2.2	12.4	7.0	3.4	10.2	2.3	13.8

Table 39

T39. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Fire and emergency medical services

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	756	10	130	97	83	74	363	237	519	44	102	129	108	120	49	100	147	237	269	54	215	424
Somewhat Satisfied	87.8	65.5	84.8	87.2	89.1	66.3	96.1	84.7	89.3	93.6	95.1	87.3	81.4	91.8	89.9	80.7	94.6	84.5	87.0	97.2	91.1	87.7
Not Satisfied	20		6	3	0.5	5	5	9	11	1	0.4	2	5	1	1	3	1	6	6		2	15
Extremely Dissatisfied	2.3		3.8	2.6		4.6	1.4	3.2	1.9	1.7		1.0	3.6	1.1	2.1	2.6	0.8	2.2	1.9		0.6	3.1
No Opinion	13		2	1	2	8		3	10		1	6		1	1	2	1	6	4		1	9
	1.5		1.1	1.1	2.4	6.8		1.0	1.7		0.8	4.3		1.1	1.6	1.3	0.5	2.3	1.3		0.5	1.8
	72	5	16	10	7	25	9	31	41	2	4	11	20	8	4	19	6	31	30	2	18	35
	8.4	34.5	10.3	9.1	8.0	22.2	2.4	11.1	7.1	4.7	3.7	7.3	15.0	6.0	6.4	15.3	4.0	10.9	9.8	2.8	7.8	7.3

Table 39

T39. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Fire and emergency medical services

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	756	209	144	329	152	114	459	342	256	110	181	156	132	147	141
Somewhat Satisfied	87.8	79.9	92.6	93.2	80.2	87.6	92.1	86.2	93.5	94.6	87.5	90.4	80.6	89.7	91.0
Not Satisfied	413	120	81	169	95	69	233	183	146	59	100	79	71	96	66
	47.9	45.9	52.0	48.1	50.2	53.4	46.8	46.3	53.4	50.8	48.4	46.1	43.1	58.7	42.9
Extremely Dissatisfied	344	89	63	159	57	44	226	158	110	51	81	76	61	51	75
Somewhat Dissatisfied	39.9	34.0	40.5	45.2	30.0	34.2	45.3	40.0	40.1	43.9	39.1	44.2	37.5	31.0	48.1
No Opinion	20	8	7	3	6	4	7	9	6	2	8	5	3	3	2
	2.3	3.1	4.2	0.9	3.4	3.2	1.4	2.3	2.2	1.8	3.7	2.8	1.9	1.6	1.2
Extremely Dissatisfied	13	7	1	4	7	1	4	10		2		2		8	3
	1.5	2.8	0.6	1.1	3.8	0.7	0.7	2.5		1.7		1.2		4.6	2.0
No Opinion	72	37	4	17	24	11	28	36	12	2	18	10	29	7	9
	8.4	14.2	2.6	4.8	12.6	8.5	5.7	9.0	4.2	1.9	8.8	5.7	17.6	4.0	5.9

Table 40

T40. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Emergency preparedness (including fire and flood preparation)

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	181	85	95	5	59	28	31	42	24	17	60	28	31	14	64	77	39	121	50	9
	21.0	19.5	22.3	6.1	22.9	22.0	23.8	21.2	22.9	18.9	23.2	21.7	24.6	23.8	18.7	23.1	21.4	20.1	23.4	21.5
Somewhat Satisfied	337	171	164	41	87	38	48	77	42	35	99	55	44	33	128	129	80	271	52	13
	39.1	39.4	38.8	47.8	33.6	29.9	36.9	38.7	39.2	38.2	38.4	41.6	35.0	55.2	37.1	38.4	44.3	45.0	24.4	30.8
Not Satisfied	139	76	62		46	32	15	46	21	24	43	22	21	4	46	65	27	88	42	9
	16.1	17.6	14.7		18.0	24.8	11.3	23.0	19.9	26.9	16.6	16.4	16.8	6.5	13.5	19.4	15.1	14.7	19.4	20.2
Extremely Dissatisfied	115	71	44	20	39	23	16	23	15	8	33	13	20	1	59	41	16	66	44	6
	13.4	16.4	10.4	23.6	15.0	18.0	12.1	11.5	14.2	8.5	12.7	9.9	15.8	1.4	17.1	12.2	8.6	10.9	20.4	13.5
No Opinion	89	31	58	19	27	7	21	11	4	7	24	14	10	8	47	23	19	57	27	6
	10.4	7.1	13.8	22.6	10.6	5.3	15.9	5.6	3.8	7.6	9.1	10.4	7.8	13.1	13.6	7.0	10.5	9.4	12.5	14.1

Table 40

T40. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Emergency preparedness (including fire and flood preparation)

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	181	1	35	23	27	30	65	59	122	14	34	21	26	23	15	25	47	47	63	36	65	71
	21.0	4.0	23.0	20.6	29.2	26.6	17.2	21.0	20.9	28.7	31.4	14.2	19.3	17.7	27.3	20.5	30.6	16.6	20.5	64.3	27.8	14.7
Somewhat Satisfied	337	7	63	39	34	44	150	109	227	15	32	54	68	42	19	47	47	122	108	11	88	194
	39.1	48.7	41.2	35.0	35.9	39.8	39.7	39.1	39.1	32.2	29.6	36.8	51.1	32.2	34.2	38.4	30.4	43.6	35.0	19.6	37.4	40.1
Not Satisfied	139	4	27	19	18	11	61	50	89	7	7	29	19	32	10	21	14	48	63	3	28	99
	16.1	24.8	17.5	17.2	19.3	9.6	16.1	17.8	15.3	14.6	6.7	19.7	14.2	24.9	18.2	16.9	9.1	17.1	20.5	5.4	11.9	20.5
Extremely Dissatisfied	115		11	16	12	19	57	27	88	1	30	19	9	17	6	20	31	28	43	4	40	67
	13.4		7.2	14.6	13.0	16.8	15.2	9.8	15.2	2.8	27.6	12.8	6.9	12.7	10.6	16.6	20.0	10.0	13.9	6.5	17.1	13.8
No Opinion	89	3	17	14	2	8	45	34	55	10	5	24	11	16	5	10	16	36	31	2	14	53
	10.4	22.4	11.1	12.6	2.6	7.1	11.8	12.3	9.5	21.8	4.8	16.5	8.5	12.5	9.7	7.7	10.0	12.7	10.1	4.3	5.8	10.9

Table 40

T40. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Emergency preparedness (including fire and flood preparation)

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Satisfied	181 21.0	40 15.2	38 24.6	83 23.5	31 16.1	37 28.5	107 21.5	50 12.7	64 23.3	55 46.9	42 20.5	37 21.5	28 17.4	44 26.8	29 18.6
Somewhat Satisfied	337 39.1	93 35.7	68 43.6	135 38.1	56 29.5	59 45.7	200 40.2	160 40.4	110 40.2	28 24.4	89 43.1	52 30.5	88 54.1	62 38.0	45 28.8
Not Satisfied	139 16.1	59 22.6	18 11.7	54 15.2	51 26.9	13 9.7	71 14.2	70 17.8	50 18.2	14 12.2	41 19.8	26 14.9	19 11.8	21 12.9	32 20.6
Extremely Dissatisfied	115 13.4	50 18.9	17 11.0	39 11.1	38 20.1	10 7.4	65 13.0	67 16.8	26 9.5	18 15.6	11 5.1	47 27.4	13 8.0	20 12.4	24 15.7
No Opinion	89 10.4	20 7.6	14 9.2	43 12.1	14 7.4	11 8.6	55 11.0	49 12.3	24 8.7	1 0.9	24 11.5	10 5.8	14 8.8	16 9.9	25 16.2

Table 41

T41. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Municipal court

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	313	157	155	23	102	42	60	71	29	42	93	49	44	24	125	115	72	206	97	10
Somewhat Satisfied	36.3	36.0	36.5	26.5	39.7	32.7	46.3	35.8	27.6	45.8	35.9	37.1	34.5	39.2	36.4	34.2	40.0	34.2	44.9	22.5
Not Satisfied	37	13	23		11	1	11	9	5	4	14	7	7	1	11	18	7	20	5	12
	4.3	3.0	5.5		4.4	0.6	8.1	4.7	5.0	4.3	5.6	5.5	5.8	2.3	3.3	5.5	3.7	3.3	2.4	26.9
Extremely Dissatisfied	28	22	6		13	11	2	10	6	3	6	5	1		13	13	2	14	13	1
	3.3	5.0	1.4		5.0	8.7	1.5	4.9	5.3	3.8	2.2	3.8	0.5		3.8	4.0	1.1	2.4	6.1	1.9
No Opinion	483	243	240	63	131	74	58	108	66	42	145	70	75	35	195	189	100	362	100	21
	56.1	56.0	56.5	73.5	50.9	58.1	44.1	54.6	62.2	46.1	56.2	53.6	59.2	58.5	56.6	56.3	55.1	60.1	46.5	48.7

Table 41

T41. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Municipal court

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	313	2	50	44	35	43	140	96	217	20	60	36	58	51	17	34	80	94	101	29	100	149
Somewhat Satisfied	36.3	13.0	32.3	39.6	37.1	38.4	37.1	34.2	37.3	42.1	55.4	24.6	43.4	38.7	31.2	27.2	51.3	33.5	32.8	52.8	42.6	30.8
Not Satisfied	37	4.3	7	2	0.4	6	22	9	28	4	5	5	9	4	3	2	9	14	10	7	6	23
Extremely Dissatisfied	28	3.3	5	2	7	7	7	7	21	2	2	10	1	2	2	6	4	11	10	7	4	16
No Opinion	483	13	93	63	52	56	208	168	315	21	42	96	65	73	32	82	63	161	187	12	125	295
	56.1	87.0	60.2	56.2	55.5	50.1	55.2	60.1	54.3	44.6	38.9	65.2	49.2	56.3	58.4	66.4	40.6	57.6	60.7	21.8	52.9	61.1

Table 41

T41. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Municipal court

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Satisfied	103 12.0	39 14.8	22 14.3	34 9.6	32 17.1	20 15.0	49 9.9	44 11.1	39 14.3	17 14.7	19 9.0	34 19.8	17 10.1	26 16.0	8 5.0
Somewhat Satisfied	209 24.3	61 23.2	39 25.1	91 25.7	26 13.5	39 29.6	136 27.3	82 20.8	75 27.3	42 36.3	38 18.4	59 34.1	34 20.9	43 26.1	36 23.2
Not Satisfied	37 4.3	13 5.1	1 0.7	18 5.2	13 6.8	1 0.7	22 4.4	20 5.1	6 2.3	8 7.0	17 8.3	6 3.7	7 4.2	4 2.6	2 1.2
Extremely Dissatisfied	28 3.3	12 4.6	1 0.6	14 4.0	11 5.6	2 1.3	15 3.1	15 3.8	2 0.8	9 7.8	8 3.8	4 2.1	1 0.5	12 7.2	4 2.9
No Opinion	483 56.1	137 52.2	92 59.4	196 55.5	108 56.9	69 53.4	275 55.3	235 59.3	152 55.3	40 34.1	125 60.5	69 40.3	105 64.4	79 48.0	105 67.8

Table 42

T42. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Services for people experiencing homelessness (unhoused)

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	172	92	79	20	58	25	33	35	17	17	48	24	24	11	78	59	36	96	66	10
Somewhat Satisfied	20.0	21.1	18.7	23.6	22.3	19.4	25.3	17.7	16.3	18.9	18.6	18.0	19.0	18.7	22.6	17.5	19.7	16.0	30.8	22.9
Not Satisfied	55	32	22		21	13	8	20	14	5	12	5	7	2	21	28	6	23	27	5
Extremely Dissatisfied	6.4	7.4	5.1		8.1	10.4	5.9	10.1	13.5	5.5	4.8	3.6	5.8	2.7	6.1	8.2	3.5	3.8	12.6	11.4
No Opinion	117	60	58	20	37	11	25	15	3	12	36	19	17	10	57	31	29	73	39	5
	13.6	13.7	13.6	23.6	14.2	9.0	19.4	7.6	2.8	13.3	13.8	14.3	13.2	16.0	16.5	9.3	16.2	12.2	18.2	11.5
Extremely Satisfied	270	142	128	14	82	40	41	71	40	30	83	44	39	20	96	116	59	209	49	12
Somewhat Satisfied	31.4	32.7	30.2	16.1	31.7	31.8	31.6	35.6	38.0	33.3	32.3	33.8	30.9	33.9	27.8	34.4	32.6	34.7	22.6	28.6
Not Satisfied	224	92	131	45	60	29	31	50	21	29	59	27	32	10	105	80	39	142	74	9
Extremely Dissatisfied	26.1	21.3	30.8	52.0	23.3	22.5	23.8	25.4	19.8	31.8	22.9	20.5	25.3	16.5	30.5	23.9	21.6	23.5	34.3	20.5
No Opinion	194	108	86	7	59	33	25	42	27	15	68	36	31	19	66	81	47	156	26	12
	22.5	24.9	20.2	8.3	22.7	26.3	19.3	21.3	25.9	16.1	26.2	27.7	24.8	30.9	19.1	24.2	26.1	25.8	12.2	28.1

Table 42

T42. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Services for people experiencing homelessness (unhoused)

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	172	1	32	18	13	25	85	50	122	6	43	21	21	23	10	25	49	42	58	35	70	58
Somewhat Satisfied	20.0	4.0	20.6	15.9	14.0	22.3	22.4	17.9	21.1	12.9	39.9	14.1	16.0	17.7	18.8	20.1	31.7	15.0	18.9	62.0	29.6	12.0
Not Satisfied	55	1	13	7	7	14	15	20	35	1	12	3	4	12	5	9	13	7	26	31	6	16
	6.4	4.0	8.3	5.8	7.5	12.2	3.9	7.1	6.1	2.3	11.5	2.2	3.0	9.1	8.6	7.3	8.7	2.6	8.3	55.4	2.6	3.3
Extremely Dissatisfied	117		19	11	6	11	70	30	87	5	31	17	17	11	6	16	36	35	33	4	64	42
Somewhat Dissatisfied	13.6		12.4	10.0	6.5	10.1	18.6	10.8	15.0	10.6	28.4	11.9	13.0	8.6	10.2	12.9	23.0	12.4	10.6	6.7	27.0	8.7
No Opinion	270	6	52	36	42	35	98	95	175	23	19	44	50	37	20	42	42	94	99	5	82	165
	31.4	42.4	34.0	32.8	45.5	31.3	26.0	34.0	30.1	47.8	18.0	29.6	37.9	28.1	37.3	33.8	27.1	33.5	32.0	8.7	34.7	34.1
Extremely Satisfied	224	6	18	28	25	31	117	51	173	13	22	49	35	29	11	20	35	84	61	7	37	164
	26.1	38.0	11.8	24.8	26.6	27.8	31.1	18.4	29.8	27.2	20.9	33.3	26.5	22.3	21.1	16.5	22.8	30.1	19.7	13.3	15.5	33.9
No Opinion	194	2	52	30	13	21	77	83	111	6	23	34	26	42	12	37	29	60	91	9	48	97
	22.5	15.6	33.5	26.5	13.9	18.6	20.5	29.8	19.1	12.2	21.1	23.0	19.5	31.9	22.8	29.6	18.4	21.4	29.4	15.9	20.2	20.1

Table 42

T42. [SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES] Services for people experiencing homelessness (unhoused)

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Satisfied	55 6.4	7 2.5	7 4.5	41 11.7	1 0.6	9 6.8	45 9.1	4 0.9	18 6.6	33 28.5	10 4.9	9 5.2	6 3.6	26 15.9	4 2.6
Somewhat Satisfied	117 13.6	34 13.2	19 12.4	52 14.8	34 18.0	9 7.2	70 14.0	51 12.9	45 16.6	14 12.1	28 13.3	48 27.6	13 8.2	14 8.5	15 9.6
Not Satisfied	270 31.4	99 37.9	50 32.3	95 26.9	68 36.0	39 29.9	152 30.5	115 29.1	103 37.6	30 25.6	65 31.6	49 28.4	61 37.2	46 27.9	49 31.8
Extremely Dissatisfied	224 26.1	68 25.9	29 18.4	105 29.8	47 24.9	25 19.5	140 28.1	137 34.6	40 14.7	27 23.0	39 18.8	28 16.0	55 33.7	58 35.2	45 29.1
No Opinion	194 22.5	54 20.5	50 32.3	59 16.7	39 20.6	48 36.6	91 18.3	89 22.4	67 24.6	12 10.7	65 31.4	39 22.8	28 17.2	20 12.5	42 26.8

Table 43

T43.1 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.
ROADWAY MAINTENANCE, RESURFACING, SNOW PLOWING, AND STREET SWEEPING

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861 100.0	435 100.0	424 100.0	86 100.0	258 100.0	127 100.0	131 100.0	198 100.0	106 100.0	91 100.0	258 100.0	131 100.0	127 100.0	60 100.0	344 100.0	336 100.0	181 100.0	603 100.0	215 100.0	43 100.0
RANKING #1	240 27.8	124 28.5	114 26.9	27 30.8	66 25.7	29 22.6	37 28.5	62 31.1	36 34.2	24 26.9	70 27.2	42 32.0	28 22.0	15 24.8	93 27.0	105 31.3	42 23.0	178 29.6	46 21.2	16 36.6
RANKING #2	151 17.5	87 20.1	64 15.0	12 13.6	45 17.5	29 22.8	16 12.5	32 16.2	19 18.4	13 13.9	47 18.1	20 15.2	27 21.2	15 24.9	57 16.5	59 17.7	34 19.1	109 18.1	31 14.6	10 23.6
RANKING #3	148 17.2	73 16.8	75 17.7	21 23.9	52 20.0	29 23.1	22 17.0	28 14.3	16 15.1	12 13.3	39 15.0	21 16.2	17 13.8	9 15.2	72 21.0	48 14.3	28 15.6	90 14.9	55 25.6	3 7.9
RANKING #4	106 12.3	54 12.5	52 12.2	3 3.0	24 9.2	13 10.0	11 8.3	29 14.7	13 12.7	16 17.3	40 15.3	23 17.6	16 13.0	11 18.3	26 7.6	46 13.6	34 18.8	96 15.9	7 3.4	3 6.6
RANKING #5	78 9.0	26 6.0	51 12.1	4 5.0	26 9.9	8 6.5	17 13.3	18 9.3	7 6.8	11 12.2	27 10.5	11 8.2	16 13.0	2 3.6	30 8.7	31 9.3	16 9.1	54 8.9	22 10.4	2 3.8
RANKING #6	54 6.3	22 5.0	32 7.6		19 7.4	6 4.4	13 10.3	14 7.3	6 5.2	9 9.7	17 6.5	8 6.1	9 7.0	4 6.4	19 5.5	22 6.6	13 7.1	39 6.5	14 6.3	2 3.7
RANKING #7	19 2.2	10 2.4	9 2.1		10 4.0	9 7.2	1 0.9	3 1.6		3 3.6	4 1.7	1 0.9	3 2.5	1 2.2	10 3.0	4 1.2	5 2.7	11 1.8	8 3.8	0.9
RANKING #8	47 5.4	33 7.6	14 3.2	20 23.6	10 3.7	4 2.9	6 4.4	7 3.4	5 4.9	2 1.7	10 4.0	4 3.0	6 5.0		30 8.7	13 3.8	4 2.3	15 2.5	26 12.1	6 13.7
RANKING #9	18 2.1	5 1.1	13 3.2		7 2.7	1 0.6	6 4.8	4 2.1	3 2.7	1 1.5	4 1.6	1 0.9	3 2.5	3 4.6	7 2.0	7 2.1	4 2.4	11 1.9	6 2.6	1 3.3

Table 43

T43.1 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.
ROADWAY MAINTENANCE, RESURFACING, SNOW PLOWING, AND STREET SWEEPING

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861 100.0	15 100.0	154 100.0	111 100.0	93 100.0	111 100.0	377 100.0	280 100.0	581 100.0	47 100.0	108 100.0	147 100.0	133 100.0	130 100.0	55 100.0	124 100.0	155 100.0	280 100.0	309 100.0	56 100.0	236 100.0	484 100.0
RANKING #1	240 27.8	2 15.9	57 37.4	36 32.3	33 35.5	28 24.8	83 22.0	96 34.2	144 24.7	11 24.1	23 21.2	37 24.9	46 34.3	39 30.3	20 36.8	38 30.6	34 22.1	82 29.4	97 31.6	14 24.6	69 29.2	137 28.4
RANKING #2	151 17.5	6 40.3	17 10.8	17 14.9	13 14.2	21 18.9	78 20.6	39 14.0	112 19.2	8 17.0	14 12.9	24 16.6	16 11.7	30 23.1	10 18.7	27 21.6	22 14.2	40 14.3	67 21.8	9 16.0	37 15.7	95 19.6
RANKING #3	148 17.2	1 8.6	34 21.8	13 11.7	16 16.7	11 10.0	74 19.6	48 17.1	101 17.3	8 16.0	18 16.5	27 18.1	20 14.7	18 13.6	8 14.3	18 14.4	25 16.3	46 16.5	43 14.0	14 25.0	27 11.3	92 19.1
RANKING #4	106 12.3	2.5 12.3	12 7.5	15 13.3	9 9.6	21 18.9	49 13.1	27 9.6	79 13.6	3 6.8	7 6.4	15 10.1	20 14.8	20 15.5	4 7.3	19 15.4	10 6.5	35 12.4	43 14.0	14 25.0	27 11.6	56 11.6
RANKING #5	78 9.0	3 19.3	18 11.5	7 6.6	9 9.4	6 5.2	35 9.4	28 10.0	50 8.6	5 11.0	14 12.9	9 6.1	19 14.0	12 9.1	4 6.7	10 8.1	19 12.3	28 9.8	26 8.3		22 9.5	43 8.9
RANKING #6	54 6.3		4 2.8	11 9.8	8 8.8	9 8.2	22 5.7	15 5.4	39 6.7	4 7.9	8 7.1	12 7.9	5 3.6	7 5.3	5 8.7	7 6.0	11 7.3	16 5.9	19 6.2	3 5.4	20 8.6	25 5.1
RANKING #7	19 2.2		4 2.5	2 1.8	2 2.1	7 5.9	5 1.3	6 2.1	13 2.3		4 3.6	8 5.7	1 0.6	2 1.2	1 1.2	1 0.9	4 2.5	9 3.3	3 1.1	0.9	2 0.9	14 3.0
RANKING #8	47 5.4	2 13.4	6 3.8	10 8.6	1 1.1	2 1.6	27 7.1	17 6.2	29 5.1	6 12.2	21 19.4	11 7.7	2 1.2	3 2.0	2 3.1	2 1.2	27 17.2	13 4.6	6 1.9	1 2.3	24 10.3	14 2.9
RANKING #9	18 2.1		3 1.8	1 1.1	3 2.7	7 6.6	4 1.2	4 1.4	14 2.5	2 5.1		4 2.9	7 4.9		2 3.0	2 1.7	2 1.6	11 3.9	4 1.2	1 0.9	7 2.8	6 1.3

Table 43

T43.1 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.
ROADWAY MAINTENANCE, RESURFACING, SNOW PLOWING, AND STREET SWEEPING

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
RANKING #1	240	67	57	88	38	47	144	121	68	33	67	31	45	39	58
	27.8	25.4	36.5	25.0	20.1	36.1	29.0	30.6	24.6	28.7	32.3	18.2	27.5	23.8	37.1
RANKING #2	151	47	31	52	39	26	77	60	53	16	43	20	36	25	27
	17.5	18.1	19.8	14.7	20.8	20.3	15.5	15.2	19.3	13.8	20.9	11.3	22.1	15.1	17.5
RANKING #3	148	43	17	80	38	15	88	66	48	27	33	26	21	45	23
	17.2	16.5	10.7	22.7	20.2	11.7	17.8	16.6	17.7	23.3	15.7	15.2	12.7	27.8	15.1
RANKING #4	106	30	19	54	14	17	72	40	44	17	25	21	26	13	21
	12.3	11.5	12.2	15.2	7.2	13.2	14.5	10.1	16.2	14.2	12.0	12.4	15.9	8.1	13.3
RANKING #5	78	21	11	30	19	8	44	35	29	6	23	17	14	15	9
	9.0	8.1	7.3	8.6	9.9	6.3	8.8	8.9	10.5	4.9	11.0	9.6	8.4	9.4	6.1
RANKING #6	54	12	12	24	8	7	36	19	17	9	6	22	9	8	9
	6.3	4.5	7.6	6.8	4.2	5.4	7.2	4.9	6.2	7.5	3.0	12.8	5.4	5.1	5.6
RANKING #7	19	9		8	6	2	8	9	4	4	4	3	2	6	4
	2.2	3.4		2.1	3.4	1.9	1.6	2.1	1.4	3.3	1.8	1.6	1.3	3.9	2.7
RANKING #8	47	26	3	12	26	3	14	37	4	2	6	23	6	9	2
	5.4	10.1	2.0	3.4	13.9	2.3	2.8	9.4	1.6	1.7	3.1	13.5	3.6	5.4	1.6
RANKING #9	18	6	6	5	1	4	14	8	7	3	0.2	9	5	2	1
	2.1	2.3	4.0	1.5	0.3	2.7	2.8	2.0	2.6	2.5		5.3	3.0	1.5	0.9

Table 44

T43.2 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.

PARKS PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861 100.0	435 100.0	424 100.0	86 100.0	258 100.0	127 100.0	131 100.0	198 100.0	106 100.0	91 100.0	258 100.0	131 100.0	127 100.0	60 100.0	344 100.0	336 100.0	181 100.0	603 100.0	215 100.0	43 100.0
RANKING #1	38 4.4	24 5.6	13 3.2		19 7.3	13 10.5	6 4.3	7 3.7	5 4.6	2 2.7	11 4.2	6 4.7	5 3.6	1 1.4	19 5.5	14 4.1	5 2.8	28 4.6	10 4.6	0.4
RANKING #2	36 4.2	18 4.2	18 4.2		11 4.2	7 5.2	4 3.1	11 5.5	6 5.7	5 5.5	12 4.5	6 4.3	6 4.7	3 4.5	11 3.1	15 4.6	10 5.4	32 5.2	4 1.8	1 1.2
RANKING #3	72 8.4	53 12.2	19 4.4	24 28.1	15 6.0	9 7.4	6 4.6	18 8.9	10 9.2	8 8.7	7 2.7	4 3.1	3 2.4	8 12.7	40 11.5	22 6.5	10 5.8	39 6.5	23 10.7	10 22.9
RANKING #4	67 7.7	31 7.2	35 8.2		26 10.0	8 6.5	17 13.3	17 8.8	8 7.3	10 10.7	21 8.1	13 9.9	8 6.2	2 3.9	26 7.5	32 9.4	9 5.0	48 7.9	13 5.9	6 14.4
RANKING #5	117 13.6	62 14.3	55 13.0	20 22.7	24 9.2	11 8.9	12 9.5	22 11.3	13 12.3	9 10.2	43 16.6	26 19.7	17 13.5	9 14.5	43 12.6	42 12.6	32 17.5	86 14.3	26 11.9	6 12.8
RANKING #6	129 15.0	72 16.5	57 13.5	10 11.2	44 17.1	20 15.6	24 18.7	31 15.6	21 20.3	9 10.0	40 15.7	21 15.9	19 15.4	4 6.5	54 15.7	49 14.5	27 14.7	102 16.9	24 11.1	3 7.8
RANKING #7	152 17.7	76 17.5	76 17.8	3 2.9	47 18.0	23 18.0	24 18.1	32 16.2	16 15.4	15 16.6	59 22.9	30 23.0	29 23.0	12 19.5	49 14.3	69 20.6	34 18.7	108 17.9	36 16.7	8 19.5
RANKING #8	160 18.6	69 16.0	90 21.3	21 23.9	51 19.7	28 21.9	23 17.7	37 18.6	17 16.5	19 21.2	39 15.0	17 12.7	22 17.4	13 21.0	72 20.8	57 17.1	31 17.1	93 15.5	60 28.0	6 14.8
RANKING #9	90 10.5	28 6.5	61 14.4	10 11.1	22 8.5	8 6.0	14 10.6	23 11.4	9 8.8	13 14.3	27 10.3	9 6.6	18 14.0	10 15.9	31 9.1	36 10.6	23 12.9	68 11.2	20 9.3	3 6.0

Table 44

T43.2 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.

PARKS PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861 100.0	15 100.0	154 100.0	111 100.0	93 100.0	111 100.0	377 100.0	280 100.0	581 100.0	47 100.0	108 100.0	147 100.0	133 100.0	130 100.0	55 100.0	124 100.0	155 100.0	280 100.0	309 100.0	56 100.0	236 100.0	484 100.0
RANKING #1	38 4.4		4 2.6	6 5.1	6 6.5	7 6.2	15 4.0	10 3.5	28 4.8	2 4.9	3 3.0	11 7.2	8 6.1	8 6.1	2 3.5	2 1.8	6 3.6	19 6.7	12 3.9	2 3.7	7 3.1	23 4.8
RANKING #2	36 4.2		6 4.2	6 5.1	3 3.4	6 5.1	15 4.0	12 4.3	24 4.1	1 1.3	6 6.0	5 3.4	4 3.2	1 0.8	5 9.5	8 6.8	7 4.6	9 3.3	15 4.8	1 2.5	11 4.6	20 4.1
RANKING #3	72 8.4	3 22.5	13 8.2	7 6.3	5 5.5	8 7.6	35 9.4	23 8.2	49 8.4	4 8.0	23 21.3	12 8.5	8 6.0	6 4.6	3 5.4	11 8.7	27 17.2	20 7.3	20 6.4	5 8.5	36 15.2	23 4.8
RANKING #4	67 7.7	2 12.3	18 11.4	8 7.1	6 6.6	3 2.3	31 8.1	27 9.7	39 6.8	2 4.5	8 7.4	7 5.1	13 10.1	11 8.2	2 2.9	16 13.0	10 6.5	21 7.4	28 9.2	1 1.9	25 10.7	29 5.9
RANKING #5	117 13.6	2 16.2	14 9.1	16 14.3	15 16.0	12 11.0	58 15.3	32 11.6	85 14.6	7 15.6	11 10.3	30 20.6	15 11.1	13 9.8	9 16.9	20 16.3	19 11.9	45 16.1	42 13.6	7 11.9	25 10.6	80 16.5
RANKING #6	129 15.0	4 25.5	32 21.1	14 12.7	12 13.0	20 17.8	47 12.5	50 18.0	79 13.6	5 11.2	6 5.7	13 9.0	30 22.6	31 24.1	10 18.8	20 16.3	11 7.4	43 15.4	62 20.0	6 10.9	34 14.5	74 15.3
RANKING #7	152 17.7	3 23.5	28 18.1	18 16.2	23 24.6	23 21.2	56 15.0	49 17.6	103 17.7	2 3.7	32 30.2	20 13.6	22 16.7	15 11.6	17 30.7	22 18.1	34 22.1	42 15.1	54 17.6	22 38.5	48 20.3	68 14.1
RANKING #8	160 18.6		27 17.6	19 16.7	13 13.5	21 18.8	81 21.4	46 16.3	114 19.7	10 21.9	8 7.6	34 23.0	16 12.1	34 26.3	6 10.5	12 9.6	19 12.0	50 17.8	52 16.8	7 12.6	27 11.7	112 23.2
RANKING #9	90 10.5		12 7.7	18 16.4	10 10.8	11 9.9	39 10.4	30 10.7	60 10.4	14 28.9	9 8.5	14 9.6	16 12.3	11 8.5	1 1.7	12 9.5	23 14.7	30 10.9	24 7.7	5 9.5	22 9.3	55 11.3

Table 44

T43.2 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.

PARKS PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
RANKING #1	38	14	6	15	8	8	21	13	15	8	10	4	5	12	6
	4.4	5.3	3.6	4.3	4.1	5.9	4.2	3.3	5.3	7.1	4.9	2.6	3.1	7.4	3.9
RANKING #2	36	11	7	17	5	11	19	14	13	5	6	8	11	5	5
	4.2	4.1	4.6	4.7	2.6	8.6	3.9	3.4	4.8	4.2	3.1	4.8	6.7	3.2	3.2
RANKING #3	72	36	12	15	28	11	29	42	20	4	11	28	16	8	9
	8.4	13.8	7.7	4.2	15.0	8.3	5.7	10.7	7.1	3.9	5.2	16.4	9.8	4.8	5.9
RANKING #4	67	22	8	26	16	15	30	27	25	4	18	18	12	8	10
	7.7	8.5	5.0	7.4	8.3	11.3	6.1	6.7	9.3	3.2	8.8	10.4	7.5	4.8	6.5
RANKING #5	117	36	23	48	28	14	71	54	39	11	27	20	22	15	33
	13.6	13.8	15.0	13.5	14.7	10.6	14.2	13.5	14.1	9.8	13.0	11.8	13.4	9.0	21.5
RANKING #6	129	43	21	47	23	21	77	62	46	10	29	25	27	18	30
	15.0	16.5	13.7	13.4	12.0	16.0	15.5	15.6	16.8	8.9	14.2	14.3	16.7	10.8	19.7
RANKING #7	152	30	29	76	24	14	108	64	41	37	44	31	32	28	17
	17.7	11.5	18.7	21.5	12.9	11.0	21.7	16.2	14.9	32.2	21.3	17.8	19.5	17.4	11.1
RANKING #8	160	50	27	69	44	24	84	79	56	17	48	22	21	47	23
	18.6	19.0	17.5	19.6	23.3	18.7	16.8	19.9	20.4	14.6	23.3	12.7	12.6	28.5	14.6
RANKING #9	90	19	22	40	13	13	60	42	20	19	13	16	17	23	21
	10.5	7.4	14.1	11.4	7.1	9.7	12.0	10.6	7.3	16.2	6.1	9.2	10.7	14.2	13.6

Table 45

T43.3 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.

POLICE SERVICES

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861 100.0	435 100.0	424 100.0	86 100.0	258 100.0	127 100.0	131 100.0	198 100.0	106 100.0	91 100.0	258 100.0	131 100.0	127 100.0	60 100.0	344 100.0	336 100.0	181 100.0	603 100.0	215 100.0	43 100.0
RANKING #1	66 7.7	50 11.4	16 3.8		16 6.2	12 9.8	4 2.8	15 7.8	12 11.2	3 3.8	27 10.4	18 13.8	9 7.1	8 12.5	16 4.7	26 7.9	23 13.0	46 7.6	17 7.8	3 7.9
RANKING #2	108 12.6	55 12.7	53 12.5	7 8.0	24 9.4	5 4.2	19 14.5	31 15.4	21 20.1	9 10.1	40 15.4	27 20.2	13 10.5	7 11.2	31 9.0	53 15.7	24 13.5	83 13.7	19 8.9	6 14.4
RANKING #3	87 10.1	35 8.1	51 11.9	3 3.0	23 8.8	8 6.5	14 10.7	25 12.7	11 10.6	13 14.5	27 10.6	11 8.2	17 13.0	9 15.7	25 7.3	39 11.5	23 12.9	73 12.2	10 4.6	4 8.7
RANKING #4	118 13.6	60 13.8	57 13.4	7 8.2	40 15.4	25 19.4	15 11.6	23 11.4	12 10.9	11 11.8	40 15.5	13 10.0	26 20.8	8 13.4	47 13.6	48 14.2	23 12.6	87 14.4	26 11.9	5 12.6
RANKING #5	110 12.8	50 11.4	60 14.2		27 10.4	10 8.0	17 12.7	29 14.4	17 15.7	12 13.1	43 16.5	20 15.3	22 17.7	12 20.1	27 7.8	52 15.6	31 17.2	84 14.0	23 10.6	3 6.6
RANKING #6	77 8.9	28 6.5	48 11.4	5 5.4	20 7.6	5 4.2	14 11.0	25 12.4	7 7.0	17 18.8	18 7.0	6 4.5	12 9.7	10 16.3	24 7.1	36 10.7	17 9.3	52 8.6	19 8.9	6 13.9
RANKING #7	82 9.5	50 11.4	32 7.6	3 3.0	30 11.6	19 15.0	11 8.3	15 7.5	9 8.1	6 6.9	31 12.2	19 14.5	12 9.8	3 4.6	33 9.5	28 8.4	21 11.6	59 9.8	19 9.0	3 7.5
RANKING #8	71 8.3	38 8.8	33 7.8		33 12.8	18 13.8	15 11.8	15 7.8	8 7.5	8 8.3	20 7.9	10 8.0	10 7.9	2 3.9	33 9.6	27 8.0	11 6.3	47 7.9	23 10.5	1 2.9
RANKING #9	142 16.5	68 15.7	74 17.4	62 72.4	46 17.7	24 19.0	22 16.6	21 10.6	9 8.9	11 12.7	12 4.5	7 5.5	4 3.5	1 2.2	108 31.4	27 8.1	7 3.7	71 11.8	60 27.8	11 25.4

Table 45

T43.3 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.

POLICE SERVICES

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861 100.0	15 100.0	154 100.0	111 100.0	93 100.0	111 100.0	377 100.0	280 100.0	581 100.0	47 100.0	108 100.0	147 100.0	133 100.0	130 100.0	55 100.0	124 100.0	155 100.0	280 100.0	309 100.0	56 100.0	236 100.0	484 100.0
RANKING #1	66 7.7		13 8.2	7 5.9	4 4.5	16 14.6	26 7.0	19 6.9	47 8.1		21 19.5	4 2.8	4 3.3	18 13.6	2 3.6	7 5.6	21 13.5	8 3.0	27 8.6	13 23.1	18 7.5	29 6.0
RANKING #2	108 12.6	1 8.7	19 12.6	16 14.8	12 13.0	10 8.6	49 13.1	37 13.3	71 12.2	14 28.6	13 12.1	14 9.4	20 15.2	10 7.7	7 13.5	19 15.1	27 17.2	34 12.2	36 11.7	8 14.2	25 10.7	60 12.4
RANKING #3	87 10.1	2 10.4	18 12.0	18 16.0	10 10.4	7 6.7	32 8.5	38 13.5	49 8.5	2 4.8	6 5.7	12 8.2	19 14.4	15 11.3	3 4.7	12 9.9	8 5.4	31 11.1	30 9.6	6 11.3	30 12.9	43 8.9
RANKING #4	118 13.6		20 13.3	18 15.8	5 5.4	25 22.4	50 13.1	38 13.6	79 13.7	5 11.2	5 4.9	16 10.6	20 15.0	27 20.9	12 22.7	22 17.5	11 6.8	35 12.7	61 19.9	12 20.6	29 12.3	64 13.3
RANKING #5	110 12.8	2 12.3	12 7.5	15 13.2	18 18.9	14 12.5	51 13.4	28 10.0	82 14.1	8 17.3	11 10.3	21 14.2	17 12.6	17 13.0	5 9.7	13 10.9	19 12.4	38 13.4	36 11.5	10 17.6	36 15.4	49 10.2
RANKING #6	77 8.9	3 20.3	11 6.9	8 7.2	8 8.5	7 6.8	40 10.6	22 7.7	55 9.5	9 19.8	6 5.2	12 8.5	16 12.1	5 3.7	7 12.1	12 9.3	15 9.6	29 10.2	23 7.5	3 5.9	21 9.1	45 9.3
RANKING #7	82 9.5	1 5.1	24 15.8	9 8.0	8 8.6	9 8.4	31 8.1	34 12.1	48 8.2	0.7 5.6	6 14.7	22 9.9	13 13.4	17 13.5	7 10.1	12 4.1	6 12.4	35 12.1	37 12.1	1 2.6	20 8.6	56 11.5
RANKING #8	71 8.3	3 18.6	9 5.8	12 10.9	19 20.0	11 10.1	18 4.7	24 8.5	48 8.2	6 12.6	7 6.8	23 15.6	9 6.6	11 8.6	3 5.1	9 7.1	13 8.6	32 11.4	23 7.4	1 2.2	15 6.3	48 9.9
RANKING #9	142 16.5	4 24.5	27 17.9	9 8.2	10 10.8	11 10.0	81 21.4	40 14.4	102 17.5	2 5.1	32 30.0	24 16.2	14 10.8	10 7.6	8 15.2	18 14.6	35 22.4	38 13.6	36 11.8	1 2.4	41 17.3	90 18.6

Table 45

T43.3 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.

POLICE SERVICES

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
RANKING #1	66	16	16	30	10	16	41	24	25	16	11	11	14	24	6
	7.7	6.3	10.5	8.6	5.0	12.2	8.2	6.1	9.2	13.5	5.4	6.4	8.6	14.6	3.7
RANKING #2	108	30	17	52	15	15	73	62	31	8	31	20	18	21	17
	12.6	11.3	10.7	14.8	7.8	11.5	14.6	15.7	11.3	6.5	14.9	11.7	11.3	13.1	11.2
RANKING #3	87	17	18	33	17	12	51	39	27	15	23	18	14	16	16
	10.1	6.4	11.9	9.2	9.0	9.5	10.2	9.8	9.8	12.6	11.3	10.7	8.6	9.5	10.1
RANKING #4	118	32	30	44	23	20	68	53	42	21	37	18	25	15	22
	13.6	12.3	19.1	12.6	12.1	15.7	13.6	13.3	15.1	17.8	17.9	10.7	15.1	9.4	14.2
RANKING #5	110	23	27	45	11	18	76	41	34	23	25	26	23	13	23
	12.8	8.9	17.6	12.7	5.7	14.2	15.3	10.4	12.4	19.6	11.9	15.2	14.1	7.9	15.2
RANKING #6	77	26	17	24	20	12	39	33	27	8	18	15	16	12	16
	8.9	9.9	10.8	6.9	10.7	8.9	7.8	8.3	10.0	6.7	8.8	8.5	9.9	7.3	10.2
RANKING #7	82	21	11	45	20	11	49	29	30	16	26	18	15	13	10
	9.5	8.2	7.2	12.6	10.4	8.7	9.9	7.3	11.0	13.6	12.4	10.5	9.3	7.9	6.3
RANKING #8	71	30	10	23	22	12	32	29	23	8	14	11	15	17	15
	8.3	11.5	6.4	6.6	11.9	9.2	6.4	7.2	8.5	7.0	6.7	6.4	9.0	10.4	9.4
RANKING #9	142	66	9	56	52	13	70	86	34	3	22	34	23	32	31
	16.5	25.2	5.9	16.0	27.5	10.1	14.1	21.8	12.6	2.8	10.7	19.7	14.1	19.8	19.7

Table 46

T43.4 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.

FIRE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861 100.0	435 100.0	424 100.0	86 100.0	258 100.0	127 100.0	131 100.0	198 100.0	106 100.0	91 100.0	258 100.0	131 100.0	127 100.0	60 100.0	344 100.0	336 100.0	181 100.0	603 100.0	215 100.0	43 100.0
RANKING #1	134 15.5	48 11.1	85 20.1	7 8.1	44 16.9	9 7.2	34 26.3	33 16.5	19 17.5	14 15.5	41 15.7	17 12.6	24 18.9	10 16.5	51 14.7	60 17.8	23 12.9	94 15.7	33 15.5	6 13.7
RANKING #2	189 21.9	82 18.9	106 25.0	30 34.3	48 18.7	24 18.6	25 18.9	38 19.1	14 12.8	23 25.8	56 21.8	28 21.6	28 22.2	17 28.0	78 22.6	68 20.3	43 23.8	131 21.8	51 23.6	7 15.3
RANKING #3	130 15.1	73 16.7	56 13.3		40 15.4	24 18.6	16 12.3	31 15.5	17 16.3	13 14.5	51 19.6	27 20.3	24 18.6	9 14.7	40 11.5	56 16.5	35 19.2	110 18.3	18 8.1	2 5.4
RANKING #4	104 12.1	55 12.5	49 11.5		23 8.9	10 7.9	12 9.6	30 15.0	19 17.5	11 12.3	42 16.1	21 15.8	21 16.4	10 15.8	23 6.7	49 14.7	31 17.4	70 11.7	26 12.3	7 16.3
RANKING #5	72 8.3	36 8.4	35 8.3	5 5.3	19 7.4	13 9.9	7 5.0	19 9.4	10 9.2	9 9.7	26 9.9	11 8.1	15 11.8	4 6.3	24 6.9	35 10.5	13 7.1	53 8.8	8 3.9	10 24.3
RANKING #6	88 10.3	51 11.7	37 8.8	15 17.5	25 9.7	19 14.9	6 4.7	19 9.7	11 10.2	8 9.2	24 9.4	17 12.7	8 6.1	5 7.6	40 11.7	29 8.7	19 10.4	61 10.1	23 10.9	4 9.9
RANKING #7	67 7.8	42 9.6	25 5.9	23 26.6	25 9.8	11 8.4	15 11.2	8 4.0	4 3.5	4 4.5	9 3.3	6 4.4	3 2.2	2 3.7	48 14.0	11 3.4	7 4.0	33 5.5	29 13.5	4 10.4
RANKING #8	46 5.3	24 5.5	22 5.1	7 8.2	19 7.2	7 5.8	11 8.6	12 5.8	6 5.9	5 5.8	6 2.5	3 2.5	3 2.4	2 3.6	26 7.4	16 4.8	4 2.1	34 5.6	11 5.1	1 2.4
RANKING #9	32 3.7	23 5.4	8 2.0		15 6.0	11 8.7	4 3.4	10 5.0	8 7.1	2 2.7	4 1.6	3 1.9	2 1.3	2 3.9	15 4.5	11 3.2	6 3.2	16 2.6	15 7.0	1 2.3

Table 46

T43.4 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.

FIRE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861 100.0	15 100.0	154 100.0	111 100.0	93 100.0	111 100.0	377 100.0	280 100.0	581 100.0	47 100.0	108 100.0	147 100.0	133 100.0	130 100.0	55 100.0	124 100.0	155 100.0	280 100.0	309 100.0	56 100.0	236 100.0	484 100.0
RANKING #1	134 15.5	1 5.1	25 16.4	24 21.8	15 16.3	15 13.8	53 14.1	50 17.9	84 14.4	19 39.4	10 9.4	20 13.8	30 22.7	11 8.1	12 22.1	22 18.0	29 18.6	51 18.0	45 14.6	14 24.7	39 16.8	71 14.7
RANKING #2	189 21.9	1 8.6	27 17.4	21 18.6	20 21.8	30 27.1	90 23.8	49 17.4	140 24.1	9 18.3	28 25.6	17 11.4	32 24.1	24 18.3	9 16.0	18 14.9	36 23.4	49 17.4	51 16.5	23 41.5	48 20.5	97 20.0
RANKING #3	130 15.1	3 21.7	29 18.6	16 14.3	12 12.5	7 5.9	64 17.0	48 17.0	82 14.2	4 8.6	15 13.8	22 14.7	21 15.8	27 20.8	12 21.8	19 15.7	19 12.2	43 15.2	58 18.9	6 10.5	21 9.0	93 19.2
RANKING #4	104 12.1	5 32.9	14 8.9	11 10.2	15 16.6	10 9.1	48 12.8	30 10.7	74 12.7	7 15.7	9 8.7	17 11.7	12 8.8	24 18.3	8 15.5	10 8.2	17 10.8	29 10.3	42 13.8	2 3.1	39 16.6	51 10.6
RANKING #5	72 8.3	2 13.8	13 8.3	14 12.8	7 7.4	11 9.5	25 6.7	29 10.4	43 7.3	7 14.9	3 2.6	13 8.8	8 5.8	9 7.1	3 6.4	17 13.4	10 6.4	21 7.4	29 9.5	5 8.3	13 5.3	44 9.0
RANKING #6	88 10.3	1 8.7	20 13.0	13 11.4	9 9.7	11 9.8	34 9.1	34 12.1	54 9.4		10 9.4	31 21.4	9 6.8	7 5.6	7 12.9	14 11.2	10 6.5	40 14.4	28 9.2	1 1.4	23 9.6	57 11.7
RANKING #7	67 7.8		15 9.6	7 6.2	5 5.4	1 1.2	39 10.3	22 7.7	45 7.8		22 20.9	10 7.0	14 10.5	11 8.2	1 1.7	6 5.0	22 14.5	24 8.6	18 5.7	3 5.1	32 13.4	26 5.4
RANKING #8	46 5.3		8 5.4	2 1.6	4 4.6	12 10.5	20 5.2	10 3.6	36 6.1	1 3.1	8 7.7	5 3.2	5 3.6	5 4.1	2 3.6	14 11.7	10 6.3	9 3.4	22 7.1		9 4.0	28 5.8
RANKING #9	32 3.7	1 9.1	4 2.4	4 3.2	5 5.5	14 13.0	4 1.0	9 3.1	23 4.0		2 1.9	12 8.1	3 1.9	12 9.5		2 1.9	2 1.3	14 5.2	15 4.8	3 5.5	11 4.9	17 3.6

Table 46

T43.4 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.

FIRE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
RANKING #1	134	32	21	63	20	17	86	60	44	19	37	29	23	20	24
	15.5	12.2	13.4	17.8	10.8	13.4	17.2	15.0	16.2	16.7	17.9	17.1	14.3	12.3	15.3
RANKING #2	189	38	34	97	24	20	137	81	54	40	36	30	36	67	19
	21.9	14.6	22.1	27.6	12.6	15.3	27.5	20.4	19.8	34.4	17.3	17.6	22.2	41.1	12.5
RANKING #3	130	49	23	47	31	22	71	57	46	16	43	21	28	16	23
	15.1	18.7	14.7	13.5	16.2	16.8	14.2	14.4	16.9	14.0	20.6	12.0	17.0	9.8	14.7
RANKING #4	104	28	19	40	21	17	60	47	37	9	29	13	21	17	24
	12.1	10.8	12.2	11.3	10.8	12.7	12.0	11.8	13.5	7.9	14.0	7.4	13.1	10.4	15.4
RANKING #5	72	22	15	25	15	9	43	35	23	9	16	17	12	8	19
	8.3	8.5	9.9	7.2	7.7	7.2	8.6	8.8	8.2	7.8	7.8	9.9	7.1	4.8	12.4
RANKING #6	88	19	18	42	20	16	47	41	31	9	20	10	23	9	26
	10.3	7.4	11.8	11.8	10.7	12.3	9.5	10.4	11.2	7.4	9.8	5.8	14.1	5.3	16.9
RANKING #7	67	35	11	16	33	7	24	41	13	5	15	31	3	7	10
	7.8	13.5	7.1	4.5	17.5	5.7	4.8	10.4	4.6	4.3	7.2	18.2	1.9	4.3	6.7
RANKING #8	46	20	6	17	13	12	21	17	16	5	8	15	14	4	5
	5.3	7.7	3.8	4.8	6.9	8.9	4.1	4.4	5.9	3.9	3.8	8.5	8.7	2.4	3.2
RANKING #9	32	17	8	5	13	10	9	17	10	4	3	6	3	16	4
	3.7	6.6	5.0	1.5	6.7	7.7	1.9	4.4	3.6	3.6	1.5	3.5	1.5	9.6	2.9

Table 47

T43.5 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.
EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS (INCLUDING FIRE AND FLOOD PREPARATION)

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861 100.0	435 100.0	424 100.0	86 100.0	258 100.0	127 100.0	131 100.0	198 100.0	106 100.0	91 100.0	258 100.0	131 100.0	127 100.0	60 100.0	344 100.0	336 100.0	181 100.0	603 100.0	215 100.0	43 100.0
RANKING #1	136 15.8	49 11.3	87 20.5		32 12.5	14 11.2	18 13.9	45 23.0	19 17.9	27 29.2	52 20.3	16 12.2	36 28.7	6 9.4	32 9.4	75 22.5	28 15.5	102 17.0	24 11.1	10 22.6
RANKING #2	146 16.9	74 17.0	71 16.7	23 26.6	47 18.3	25 19.6	22 16.6	36 18.1	19 17.9	17 18.6	33 12.6	7 5.4	25 20.0	7 11.9	70 20.4	51 15.1	25 13.8	89 14.7	53 24.6	4 9.3
RANKING #3	138 16.0	74 17.0	64 15.1	7 8.2	46 17.7	19 14.7	27 20.8	31 15.4	20 18.8	11 11.7	48 18.5	28 21.4	20 15.6	7 10.9	53 15.4	55 16.5	30 16.4	92 15.2	36 16.6	11 24.9
RANKING #4	120 14.0	55 12.7	64 15.1	25 29.0	25 9.7	12 9.4	13 10.0	26 13.0	15 14.3	10 10.8	34 13.1	22 16.6	12 9.6	11 17.8	50 14.5	44 13.1	26 14.6	86 14.3	32 14.8	2 5.0
RANKING #5	110 12.8	73 16.9	36 8.6	14 16.5	43 16.5	31 24.5	11 8.7	16 7.9	8 7.9	7 8.0	26 10.1	18 13.4	8 6.7	11 19.0	57 16.5	28 8.4	25 13.9	80 13.3	25 11.7	5 11.7
RANKING #6	78 9.1	34 7.8	44 10.5	3 2.9	29 11.4	12 9.5	17 13.2	17 8.8	5 5.2	12 13.2	23 8.8	10 7.6	13 10.0	7 10.8	32 9.2	32 9.7	14 7.8	55 9.1	22 10.1	2 3.9
RANKING #7	60 7.0	32 7.4	28 6.5	14 16.8	15 5.8	6 5.0	8 6.5	11 5.4	7 6.6	3 3.8	18 6.9	14 11.0	3 2.6	2 3.9	29 8.5	21 6.3	9 5.2	39 6.5	18 8.6	3 5.9
RANKING #8	42 4.8	26 5.9	16 3.7		13 5.2	8 6.1	6 4.4	10 5.3	8 7.5	3 2.8	14 5.5	9 6.6	5 4.3	4 5.9	13 3.9	18 5.3	10 5.6	36 6.0		6 13.0
RANKING #9	31 3.6	17 3.9	14 3.2		8 3.0		8 5.9	6 3.1	4 4.1	2 2.0	11 4.2	8 5.8	3 2.5	6 10.3	8 2.2	10 3.1	13 7.0	24 3.9	6 2.7	2 3.6

Table 47

T43.5 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.
EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS (INCLUDING FIRE AND FLOOD PREPARATION)

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861 100.0	15 100.0	154 100.0	111 100.0	93 100.0	111 100.0	377 100.0	280 100.0	581 100.0	47 100.0	108 100.0	147 100.0	133 100.0	130 100.0	55 100.0	124 100.0	155 100.0	280 100.0	309 100.0	56 100.0	236 100.0	484 100.0
RANKING #1	136 15.8	3 23.0	20 13.0	15 13.8	18 18.8	18 16.3	62 16.3	39 13.8	97 16.7	3 6.6	11 10.3	35 23.7	14 10.5	16 12.2	11 19.6	22 18.0	14 9.2	49 17.5	49 15.8	3 4.6	35 14.8	76 15.8
RANKING #2	146 16.9	4 24.0	36 23.1	17 15.1	16 16.8	13 12.0	61 16.1	56 20.0	90 15.5	11 22.4	30 27.6	22 14.9	27 20.1	23 17.3	7 12.4	17 14.1	40 26.0	49 17.3	47 15.1	7 12.8	54 22.9	71 14.7
RANKING #3	138 16.0	2 14.2	12 7.5	29 26.4	21 22.0	21 18.7	54 14.2	43 15.4	95 16.3	12 25.0	11 10.1	14 9.2	22 16.4	20 15.6	14 26.5	31 25.3	23 14.7	35 12.6	66 21.4	19 33.2	29 12.4	76 15.7
RANKING #4	120 14.0		14 9.2	11 9.5	11 12.3	13 11.7	71 18.9	25 8.8	96 16.4	9 19.3	8 7.8	12 8.0	18 13.9	16 12.0	8 14.7	15 12.1	18 11.3	30 10.8	39 12.6	3 6.0	27 11.4	86 17.8
RANKING #5	110 12.8	4 25.0	28 18.5	14 12.3	2 1.9	19 17.6	43 11.4	46 16.4	64 11.1	2 3.9	22 20.4	22 14.9	17 12.6	25 19.3	6 10.8	10 7.7	24 15.3	39 13.8	41 13.2	13 23.6	32 13.4	56 11.5
RANKING #6	78 9.1		18 11.5	12 10.6	3 3.7	14 12.8	31 8.3	29 10.5	49 8.4	2 4.1	10 9.3	17 11.4	11 8.6	14 10.7	2 4.3	9 7.4	12 7.7	28 10.1	25 8.3	4 7.1	14 5.8	52 10.7
RANKING #7	60 7.0	2 13.8	12 7.8	4 3.4	11 11.5	7 6.2	25 6.5	18 6.4	42 7.3	8 17.2	6 5.8	20 13.3	7 5.3	9 7.0	2 3.7	4 3.4	14 9.3	27 9.5	15 5.0	4 6.7	17 7.3	37 7.6
RANKING #8	42 4.8		11 7.0	6 5.8	7 7.1	2 1.6	16 4.2	17 6.2	24 4.2		3 2.8	2 1.5	12 8.7	7 5.6	3 4.7	10 8.1	3 1.9	14 4.9	20 6.4	3 4.7	15 6.5	18 3.6
RANKING #9	31 3.6		4 2.3	4 3.2	6 6.0	3 3.1	15 3.9	7 2.5	24 4.1	1 1.4	6 5.9	5 3.2	5 4.0	0.3	2 3.2	5 3.8	7 4.6	10 3.6	7 2.2	1 1.4	12 5.3	13 2.6

Table 47

T43.5 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.
EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS (INCLUDING FIRE AND FLOOD PREPARATION)

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
RANKING #1	136	43	22	51	28	14	84	53	53	15	29	34	36	11	26
	15.8	16.6	13.9	14.4	14.8	10.9	16.9	13.4	19.3	12.5	14.0	19.9	21.9	6.9	16.5
RANKING #2	146	53	20	50	57	21	58	66	49	19	37	43	21	11	33
	16.9	20.4	12.6	14.1	29.9	16.1	11.6	16.6	17.8	16.6	18.1	24.9	13.1	6.5	21.5
RANKING #3	138	48	16	63	31	14	88	64	32	24	33	23	27	33	22
	16.0	18.4	10.3	17.8	16.3	10.4	17.6	16.3	11.6	20.8	16.0	13.5	16.4	20.0	14.3
RANKING #4	120	21	31	59	9	19	88	60	40	15	22	17	17	50	15
	14.0	8.1	20.0	16.7	4.9	14.4	17.6	15.2	14.6	13.2	10.7	9.7	10.4	30.7	9.4
RANKING #5	110	39	19	43	27	14	65	48	32	19	22	16	22	29	21
	12.8	14.8	12.4	12.3	14.1	10.9	13.0	12.1	11.6	16.7	10.8	9.1	13.7	17.7	13.4
RANKING #6	78	20	17	36	17	12	47	42	25	8	27	15	17	15	6
	9.1	7.6	10.9	10.3	9.2	9.4	9.4	10.6	9.3	7.0	12.9	8.5	10.1	9.1	3.8
RANKING #7	60	18	16	22	9	22	27	32	17	6	13	11	7	9	21
	7.0	6.8	10.3	6.3	4.8	16.9	5.4	8.0	6.3	5.0	6.2	6.1	4.5	5.4	13.3
RANKING #8	42	12	12	15	8	10	21	19	14	5	18	6	8	2	7
	4.8	4.5	7.6	4.2	4.1	7.6	4.1	4.7	5.1	4.3	8.9	3.8	4.8	1.4	4.2
RANKING #9	31	7	3	14	4	4	21	13	12	5	5	8	9	4	6
	3.6	2.8	2.1	4.0	2.0	3.4	4.3	3.2	4.3	3.9	2.6	4.5	5.2	2.3	3.6

Table 48

T43.6 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.
 WATER AND WASTEWATER TREATMENT, WASTEWATER AND WATER BILLING SERVICES

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861 100.0	435 100.0	424 100.0	86 100.0	258 100.0	127 100.0	131 100.0	198 100.0	106 100.0	91 100.0	258 100.0	131 100.0	127 100.0	60 100.0	344 100.0	336 100.0	181 100.0	603 100.0	215 100.0	43 100.0
RANKING #1	96 11.1	66 15.1	30 7.1	22 25.9	31 12.0	22 17.1	9 7.2	13 6.6	5 4.6	8 9.0	21 8.0	9 7.0	11 8.9	9 14.7	53 15.5	21 6.1	22 12.1	53 8.8	39 18.3	3 8.1
RANKING #2	87 10.1	43 10.0	44 10.3		28 10.9	8 6.2	20 15.6	23 11.7	13 11.8	10 11.3	33 12.8	22 16.4	12 9.2	3 4.6	28 8.2	39 11.6	20 11.2	60 10.0	19 8.7	8 19.2
RANKING #3	140 16.3	70 16.0	71 16.6	29 33.7	29 11.3	14 10.6	16 12.1	36 18.0	23 21.4	13 14.3	39 15.3	22 16.7	18 13.9	7 11.2	58 16.9	59 17.7	23 12.5	101 16.8	37 17.4	2 3.9
RANKING #4	147 17.1	79 18.2	67 15.9	10 11.2	60 23.1	29 22.7	31 23.7	34 17.0	19 17.5	15 16.7	32 12.5	17 13.2	15 11.9	11 18.8	69 20.2	50 15.0	27 14.9	93 15.4	44 20.4	10 23.9
RANKING #5	123 14.3	49 11.3	74 17.4	21 23.9	27 10.5	13 10.4	14 10.7	39 19.5	19 17.6	20 21.8	29 11.2	12 9.4	16 12.8	8 13.5	48 13.9	57 16.9	19 10.4	84 13.9	33 15.1	7 16.3
RANKING #6	88 10.2	39 9.0	48 11.3	2 2.2	24 9.3	10 8.0	13 10.1	14 7.1	6 5.5	8 8.4	42 16.2	19 14.7	22 17.7	6 10.4	26 7.5	37 11.1	25 13.8	63 10.4	18 8.3	7 16.6
RANKING #7	78 9.1	28 6.5	49 11.7		26 10.0	7 5.3	19 14.7	18 9.1	10 9.5	8 8.7	27 10.3	12 8.8	15 11.8	8 12.6	26 7.5	33 9.8	19 10.7	63 10.4	14 6.4	1 3.4
RANKING #8	61 7.1	39 9.0	22 5.3		20 7.8	17 13.2	3 2.5	17 8.6	10 9.0	8 8.4	20 7.9	11 8.6	9 7.2	4 6.0	20 5.8	26 7.7	15 8.5	47 7.9	11 5.3	2 5.5
RANKING #9	40 4.7	21 4.9	19 4.5	3 3.0	13 4.9	8 6.5	4 3.4	5 2.4	3 3.2	1 1.4	15 5.8	7 5.1	8 6.6	5 8.2	15 4.5	14 4.2	11 5.9	39 6.4		1 3.0

Table 48

T43.6 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.
 WATER AND WASTEWATER TREATMENT, WASTEWATER AND WATER BILLING SERVICES

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861 100.0	15 100.0	154 100.0	111 100.0	93 100.0	111 100.0	377 100.0	280 100.0	581 100.0	47 100.0	108 100.0	147 100.0	133 100.0	130 100.0	55 100.0	124 100.0	155 100.0	280 100.0	309 100.0	56 100.0	236 100.0	484 100.0
RANKING #1	96 11.1	6 40.3	8 4.9	9 7.7	8 8.4	5 4.8	61 16.1	22 7.9	74 12.7	5 10.7	30 27.7	12 8.0	8 6.1	20 15.0	5 9.1	5 4.3	35 22.5	20 7.1	30 9.7	3 6.1	38 16.0	39 8.0
RANKING #2	87 10.1	2 14.5	24 15.9	15 13.4	5 4.9	13 11.4	29 7.6	41 14.8	46 7.9	2 3.6	7 6.5	14 9.5	17 12.4	22 16.8	3 6.1	14 11.4	9 5.6	30 10.9	39 12.8	2 4.3	26 11.1	51 10.4
RANKING #3	140 16.3	1 9.1	18 11.8	18 16.2	12 13.4	26 23.6	64 17.0	37 13.4	103 17.7	11 23.4	10 9.5	31 20.9	19 14.6	25 19.2	10 17.9	18 14.9	21 13.8	50 17.9	53 17.3	3 5.0	39 16.5	85 17.5
RANKING #4	147 17.1		45 29.0	15 13.8	23 24.6	24 21.8	40 10.6	60 21.4	87 15.0	6 13.5	19 18.0	41 27.6	22 16.8	11 8.5	8 14.6	20 16.4	26 16.6	63 22.5	39 12.8	12 22.1	34 14.6	84 17.5
RANKING #5	123 14.3		18 11.9	9 8.0	13 14.2	13 11.7	70 18.5	27 9.7	96 16.5	6 12.2	7 6.6	13 8.8	26 19.8	18 13.4	5 9.2	19 15.4	13 8.3	39 14.0	42 13.5	10 17.4	23 9.8	80 16.6
RANKING #6	88 10.2	3.2	11 7.4	12 11.2	12 12.7	12 10.8	40 10.6	24 8.7	64 11.0	4 8.5	8 7.1	16 10.5	14 10.6	14 10.9	6 11.1	13 10.8	12 7.5	30 10.6	34 10.9	6 11.0	29 12.1	49 10.1
RANKING #7	78 9.1	1 8.6	5 3.5	17 14.9	8 8.5	13 11.6	34 9.0	23 8.3	55 9.4	12 24.6	9 8.3	8 5.5	13 9.4	6 4.7	6 10.4	15 12.2	21 13.3	21 7.4	27 8.7	4 7.1	19 8.2	46 9.5
RANKING #8	61 7.1	2 10.4	20 12.9	8 6.8	5 5.8	3 2.8	24 6.3	29 10.3	32 5.6	2 3.5	15 14.0	7 4.5	9 6.6	7 5.7	7 12.5	7 5.4	17 10.8	15 5.5	21 6.8	11 20.0	18 7.6	28 5.8
RANKING #9	40 4.7	2 13.8	4 2.7	9 8.1	7 7.5	2 1.5	16 4.3	15 5.5	25 4.3		2 2.3	7 4.6	5 3.6	7 5.7	5 9.0	11 9.1	2 1.6	12 4.1	24 7.7	4 7.0	10 4.0	22 4.6

Table 48

T43.6 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.
 WATER AND WASTEWATER TREATMENT, WASTEWATER AND WATER BILLING SERVICES

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
RANKING #1	96	43	15	30	39	13	37	46	31	10	16	33	14	19	14
	11.1	16.5	9.7	8.6	20.4	9.9	7.4	11.5	11.4	8.9	7.7	19.4	8.6	11.3	9.1
RANKING #2	87	30	20	29	18	17	46	37	37	9	30	9	19	15	14
	10.1	11.6	12.8	8.1	9.6	12.9	9.3	9.3	13.5	7.9	14.3	5.4	11.7	9.2	9.3
RANKING #3	140	23	42	65	15	32	89	72	48	8	28	25	24	25	38
	16.3	8.6	26.8	18.5	7.9	24.3	17.8	18.1	17.4	7.3	13.7	14.6	14.7	15.1	24.6
RANKING #4	147	60	19	48	62	13	63	63	39	22	46	23	28	27	23
	17.1	22.9	12.5	13.7	32.8	9.9	12.7	15.9	14.2	18.9	22.1	13.5	17.3	16.5	14.6
RANKING #5	123	29	13	71	16	15	90	69	32	18	26	15	29	35	19
	14.3	11.2	8.7	20.2	8.5	11.6	18.1	17.4	11.7	15.5	12.5	9.0	17.5	21.3	12.0
RANKING #6	88	22	19	33	16	11	58	34	30	19	29	19	9	13	18
	10.2	8.4	12.4	9.4	8.3	8.5	11.7	8.5	11.1	16.6	13.9	11.2	5.4	7.8	11.9
RANKING #7	78	25	12	28	12	12	49	41	25	7	14	23	15	16	9
	9.1	9.7	7.6	8.0	6.1	9.4	9.9	10.2	9.3	5.7	6.7	13.5	9.4	9.9	6.0
RANKING #8	61	16	7	32	6	9	43	18	20	14	9	13	14	14	11
	7.1	6.2	4.8	9.0	3.0	6.7	8.7	4.6	7.4	12.1	4.4	7.7	8.3	8.6	7.4
RANKING #9	40	13	7	16	6	9	22	17	11	8	10	10	12	1	8
	4.7	4.8	4.7	4.5	3.3	6.8	4.4	4.4	3.9	7.2	4.7	5.7	7.2	0.4	5.2

Table 49

T43.7 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.

RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL PERMITTING, LAND PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861 100.0	435 100.0	424 100.0	86 100.0	258 100.0	127 100.0	131 100.0	198 100.0	106 100.0	91 100.0	258 100.0	131 100.0	127 100.0	60 100.0	344 100.0	336 100.0	181 100.0	603 100.0	215 100.0	43 100.0
RANKING #1	101 11.7	59 13.5	42 10.0	10 11.2	39 15.3	24 18.8	16 11.9	16 8.3	8 7.9	8 8.8	26 10.1	14 10.8	12 9.4	9 15.6	49 14.3	24 7.2	28 15.3	77 12.8	20 9.5	3 7.8
RANKING #2	78 9.0	37 8.4	41 9.7	15 17.5	18 7.0	10 7.9	8 6.2	15 7.8	8 7.9	7 7.8	24 9.4	14 10.9	10 7.8	5 7.6	33 9.7	28 8.4	16 9.0	55 9.1	18 8.6	4 9.6
RANKING #3	60 7.0	28 6.5	32 7.5		21 8.3	15 11.7	7 5.0	16 8.2	3 3.1	13 14.3	19 7.3	9 6.6	10 8.0	4 5.9	21 6.2	26 7.6	13 7.2	40 6.6	16 7.3	5 10.8
RANKING #4	82 9.6	56 12.9	26 6.3	20 23.6	23 8.9	17 13.6	6 4.4	12 6.3	7 6.5	6 6.1	24 9.2	11 8.6	12 9.9	3 4.4	43 12.6	24 7.1	15 8.4	46 7.6	34 16.0	2 4.4
RANKING #5	85 9.9	41 9.5	43 10.1		31 12.1	7 5.4	24 18.3	28 14.0	20 18.7	7 7.8	22 8.4	11 8.3	11 8.5	5 7.6	31 9.1	40 11.9	14 7.7	62 10.3	18 8.5	5 10.9
RANKING #6	111 12.9	54 12.5	56 13.3	21 23.9	25 9.6	14 11.3	10 8.0	20 10.2	16 15.2	4 4.6	37 14.3	19 14.3	18 14.3	8 13.6	45 13.2	42 12.4	24 13.2	76 12.7	26 12.1	8 19.7
RANKING #7	111 12.9	55 12.6	55 13.1	6 7.2	31 12.0	16 12.7	15 11.3	36 18.2	19 17.7	17 18.8	31 11.9	16 12.4	14 11.2	7 11.5	37 10.8	54 16.2	19 10.6	77 12.7	28 13.1	6 14.3
RANKING #8	105 12.2	51 11.8	54 12.7	5 5.4	23 8.7	8 6.6	14 10.9	28 14.1	15 14.5	12 13.8	36 13.8	16 12.6	19 14.9	14 23.8	27 7.9	48 14.3	30 16.6	78 13.0	24 10.9	4 8.3
RANKING #9	128 14.8	54 12.4	74 17.4	10 11.1	46 18.0	15 11.9	31 24.0	25 12.7	9 8.4	16 17.9	41 15.7	20 15.5	20 15.9	6 9.8	56 16.3	50 14.9	22 11.9	91 15.2	30 14.0	6 14.3

Table 49

T43.7 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.

RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL PERMITTING, LAND PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861 100.0	15 100.0	154 100.0	111 100.0	93 100.0	111 100.0	377 100.0	280 100.0	581 100.0	47 100.0	108 100.0	147 100.0	133 100.0	130 100.0	55 100.0	124 100.0	155 100.0	280 100.0	309 100.0	56 100.0	236 100.0	484 100.0
RANKING #1	101 11.7	2 15.6	24 15.8	9 8.4	7 7.3	17 15.7	41 10.9	36 12.9	65 11.2	1 2.2	5 4.9	26 17.4	18 13.8	16 12.1	3 5.2	21 16.8	6 4.1	44 15.7	39 12.8	3 5.3	22 9.5	70 14.5
RANKING #2	78 9.0	1 3.9	10 6.3	10 8.8	11 11.3	6 5.3	41 11.0	20 7.2	58 9.9	1 2.7	6 5.2	28 18.7	7 5.3	10 7.8	11 20.2	10 8.4	7 4.4	34 12.3	32 10.3	3 5.2	17 7.1	48 9.9
RANKING #3	60 7.0	2 13.4	11 7.0	4 3.5	5 5.1	15 13.2	24 6.4	17 5.9	43 7.5	2 4.1	6 5.2	17 11.2	5 3.9	11 8.7	2 3.4	7 5.8	7 4.8	22 7.7	20 6.6	3 5.0	13 5.7	34 7.1
RANKING #4	82 9.6		16 10.3	9 7.8	8 8.9	5 4.4	45 11.9	24 8.7	58 10.0		34 31.6	7 5.0	8 6.2	10 7.3	3 6.4	12 9.6	34 21.9	16 5.6	25 8.1	10 17.6	36 15.2	33 6.9
RANKING #5	85 9.9		18 12.0	16 14.0	10 10.6	11 9.6	31 8.1	34 12.1	51 8.8	7 14.7	9 8.6	4 2.9	15 11.2	14 10.6	6 10.2	15 12.0	16 10.4	19 6.8	34 11.1	2 3.5	18 7.7	57 11.7
RANKING #6	111 12.9	1 4.0	17 11.2	15 13.8	9 9.5	7 6.2	62 16.5	33 11.8	78 13.4	5 10.2	15 13.5	16 10.8	15 11.2	16 12.3	6 11.0	10 7.7	19 12.5	31 11.0	32 10.2	2 2.9	25 10.5	67 14.0
RANKING #7	111 12.9	3 18.5	23 15.2	15 13.6	16 16.7	9 7.8	45 12.0	41 14.7	70 12.0	9 18.2	6 6.0	13 9.0	19 14.5	25 19.2	7 12.6	18 14.3	15 9.7	33 11.6	50 16.1	9 16.2	36 15.1	60 12.3
RANKING #8	105 12.2	6 39.1	16 10.3	17 15.6	13 14.2	13 11.5	40 10.6	39 13.9	66 11.4	7 15.4	17 15.8	15 10.2	17 12.8	14 10.5	4 6.8	18 14.4	24 15.7	32 11.4	35 11.4	19 33.9	38 15.9	41 8.5
RANKING #9	128 14.8	1 5.5	18 11.9	16 14.6	15 16.4	29 26.3	48 12.7	35 12.6	92 15.9	15 32.6	10 9.4	22 14.8	28 21.1	15 11.4	13 24.1	14 11.0	26 16.5	50 17.8	42 13.5	6 10.4	31 13.3	73 15.0

Table 49

T43.7 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.

RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL PERMITTING, LAND PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
RANKING #1	101	35	16	43	38	13	47	42	32	12	30	21	21	12	17
	11.7	13.4	10.2	12.1	20.0	9.7	9.4	10.5	11.6	10.1	14.3	12.2	13.0	7.6	10.8
RANKING #2	78	24	16	34	12	8	55	44	19	9	12	15	12	9	29
	9.0	9.2	10.5	9.6	6.5	6.5	11.1	11.2	7.1	7.4	6.0	8.7	7.3	5.5	18.9
RANKING #3	60	17	9	28	11	9	35	25	19	9	8	12	18	12	10
	7.0	6.4	5.7	7.9	6.0	7.2	7.0	6.2	7.0	7.9	4.0	6.9	11.0	7.5	6.2
RANKING #4	82	37	13	28	29	11	40	45	20	16	11	33	11	19	9
	9.6	14.1	8.1	8.0	15.3	8.4	7.9	11.3	7.3	14.0	5.3	19.2	6.8	11.3	5.7
RANKING #5	85	27	17	34	19	15	49	44	27	5	23	13	13	26	10
	9.9	10.2	11.1	9.7	9.8	11.2	9.8	11.2	9.9	4.3	11.2	7.7	7.7	16.1	6.4
RANKING #6	111	25	19	57	14	17	74	55	37	12	17	19	16	39	19
	12.9	9.7	11.9	16.0	7.6	12.8	15.0	13.9	13.7	10.1	8.3	11.0	10.1	23.9	12.4
RANKING #7	111	40	15	39	33	17	56	50	40	16	39	14	18	18	21
	12.9	15.3	9.5	11.0	17.3	13.3	11.2	12.6	14.4	13.3	19.1	8.4	11.0	10.7	13.8
RANKING #8	105	27	23	36	15	21	62	38	29	28	32	17	22	14	20
	12.2	10.4	15.0	10.2	7.9	15.9	12.4	9.5	10.5	24.0	15.5	9.9	13.4	8.8	12.8
RANKING #9	128	29	28	54	18	20	80	54	51	10	34	28	32	14	20
	14.8	11.2	18.0	15.4	9.5	15.0	16.1	13.6	18.6	8.9	16.4	16.0	19.7	8.5	13.0

Table 50

T43.8 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.

COMMUNITY OUTREACH AND COMMUNICATION

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861 100.0	435 100.0	424 100.0	86 100.0	258 100.0	127 100.0	131 100.0	198 100.0	106 100.0	91 100.0	258 100.0	131 100.0	127 100.0	60 100.0	344 100.0	336 100.0	181 100.0	603 100.0	215 100.0	43 100.0
RANKING #1	34 3.9	7 1.5	27 6.4	21 23.9	6 2.5	2 1.2	5 3.7	2 1.2	2 1.9	0.4	4 1.4	3 2.4	1 0.4	1 1.4	27 7.8	4 1.1	3 1.8	9 1.5	24 11.2	1 1.2
RANKING #2	25 2.9	7 1.5	18 4.2		16 6.2	4 2.9	12 9.3	6 2.8	2 2.1	3 3.7	3 1.1	1 0.5	2 1.7	0.4	16 4.6	6 1.9	2 1.2	10 1.6	12 5.5	3 7.3
RANKING #3	44 5.2	14 3.3	30 7.0	3 3.0	15 5.9	5 3.8	10 7.9	7 3.7	2 2.2	5 5.5	16 6.0	6 4.4	10 7.7	4 6.0	18 5.2	16 4.9	10 5.6	33 5.5	11 5.1	0.4
RANKING #4	62 7.2	22 5.0	40 9.4	7 8.3	24 9.3	5 3.8	19 14.6	14 7.3	7 6.4	8 8.4	14 5.4	7 5.1	7 5.7	2 3.7	31 9.0	22 6.5	9 5.0	40 6.7	18 8.5	3 7.1
RANKING #5	92 10.7	65 15.1	27 6.3	20 23.6	33 12.9	25 19.4	9 6.7	13 6.5	6 5.2	7 8.2	20 7.9	10 7.5	11 8.3	5 8.5	54 15.6	20 5.9	19 10.2	49 8.1	42 19.6	1 2.9
RANKING #6	111 12.9	58 13.4	52 12.3	4 5.0	35 13.6	21 16.4	14 10.9	30 15.4	19 18.1	11 12.2	32 12.4	17 12.8	15 11.8	9 14.9	39 11.4	50 14.8	22 12.0	81 13.4	23 10.6	7 16.7
RANKING #7	152 17.7	77 17.7	75 17.7	17 19.4	41 16.0	24 18.6	17 13.1	37 18.6	15 13.8	22 24.2	47 18.3	20 15.1	28 21.8	10 17.2	58 16.8	62 18.4	33 18.1	126 20.9	20 9.1	7 16.2
RANKING #8	161 18.7	76 17.4	85 20.0	14 16.7	41 16.0	18 13.8	24 18.2	36 18.3	17 16.1	19 21.0	58 22.4	34 25.6	24 19.0	11 18.2	56 16.2	66 19.6	39 21.8	119 19.8	30 14.1	11 26.0
RANKING #9	180 20.9	109 25.1	70 16.6		46 17.7	25 20.0	20 15.6	52 26.1	36 34.1	15 16.4	65 25.1	35 26.6	30 23.5	18 29.7	46 13.3	90 26.9	44 24.4	136 22.5	35 16.3	10 22.4

Table 50

T43.8 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.

COMMUNITY OUTREACH AND COMMUNICATION

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
RANKING #1	34			3	0.5	2	29	3	31	5	1	2	1	2	3	6	3	4			2	30
	3.9			2.4		1.5	7.7	0.9	5.4	9.6	1.0	1.6	0.7	1.4	2.0	3.7	1.2	1.4			0.9	6.3
RANKING #2	25		4	4	10	3	3	8	16	2		9	4	5	2	2	13	7	2	6	17	
	2.9		2.7	3.9	10.9	2.9	0.7	3.0	2.8	4.6		6.0	3.2	3.5	1.6	1.4	4.7	2.1	3.6	2.5	3.5	
RANKING #3	44		9	3	8	8	16	12	32	1	9	10	9	5	2	2	10	19	9	1	15	24
	5.2		6.0	2.4	8.7	7.5	4.3	4.2	5.6	1.4	8.6	6.7	6.9	3.7	4.2	1.5	6.4	6.8	2.9	1.4	6.4	5.0
RANKING #4	62	3	5	18	10	9	17	26	35	10	10	11	13	6	4	5	20	24	15	2	9	38
	7.2	23.3	3.2	16.3	10.5	8.0	4.4	9.4	6.1	20.9	8.9	7.6	9.8	4.9	7.0	3.9	12.6	8.6	4.9	3.6	3.8	7.8
RANKING #5	92	2	22	6	7	16	40	29	63	2	24	24	5	11	9	8	26	29	28	1	40	41
	10.7	13.4	14.1	5.3	7.2	14.2	10.7	10.5	10.8	3.3	22.6	16.3	3.7	8.8	16.0	6.5	16.7	10.4	9.1	2.3	16.8	8.4
RANKING #6	111	3	29	6	18	13	41	39	72	8	21	14	21	17	7	15	28	34	39	12	31	60
	12.9	20.1	19.2	5.6	18.8	12.0	11.0	13.8	12.4	16.5	19.1	9.3	15.5	13.0	12.5	12.0	18.3	12.2	12.5	21.6	13.1	12.3
RANKING #7	152	1	22	24	14	28	64	47	106	7	9	23	31	26	6	27	16	55	59	8	41	87
	17.7	9.1	14.1	21.2	14.5	25.4	17.0	16.6	18.2	15.5	8.3	15.9	23.4	19.9	11.5	21.7	10.5	19.5	19.1	14.8	17.3	17.9
RANKING #8	161	2	29	19	10	14	85	51	110	6	12	26	31	22	18	25	18	57	65	10	40	101
	18.7	15.3	18.9	17.3	11.2	12.9	22.6	18.1	18.9	13.1	11.3	17.8	23.1	16.6	32.8	20.6	11.8	20.3	21.1	18.7	17.0	20.9
RANKING #9	180	3	34	29	17	17	81	65	115	7	22	28	18	37	9	37	29	46	83	19	52	86
	20.9	18.9	21.9	25.6	17.8	15.5	21.6	23.2	19.8	15.2	20.3	18.8	13.8	28.2	15.9	30.2	18.7	16.4	26.8	33.9	22.2	17.9

Table 50

T43.8 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.

COMMUNITY OUTREACH AND COMMUNICATION

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
RANKING #1	34	7	1	25	7	1	27	30	2	1	6	3	1	22	3
	3.9	2.7	0.5	7.2	3.4	0.6	5.3	7.7	0.6	0.9	2.8	1.6	0.7	13.2	1.6
RANKING #2	25	11	2	10	8	1	14	8	4	9	5	12	3	2	3
	2.9	4.1	1.3	2.9	4.2	1.0	2.8	2.0	1.6	7.9	2.3	7.0	1.6	1.1	2.2
RANKING #3	44	9	11	14	7	7	26	16	15	9	17	9	6	4	9
	5.2	3.6	6.9	3.9	3.9	5.3	5.3	4.0	5.4	8.1	8.0	5.0	3.8	2.1	6.0
RANKING #4	62	17	10	26	6	14	41	27	17	10	9	20	7	13	13
	7.2	6.6	6.7	7.3	3.1	10.6	8.2	6.9	6.3	8.7	4.4	11.5	4.0	7.9	8.6
RANKING #5	92	43	15	27	45	17	22	46	34	5	22	29	20	12	9
	10.7	16.3	9.6	7.7	23.6	13.1	4.5	11.5	12.6	4.2	10.6	16.9	12.4	7.2	5.9
RANKING #6	111	39	18	48	27	18	61	44	40	18	24	18	24	27	18
	12.9	14.8	11.3	13.6	14.3	13.6	12.3	11.1	14.5	15.6	11.7	10.3	14.7	16.5	11.5
RANKING #7	152	51	28	57	32	21	91	63	53	16	26	24	42	24	36
	17.7	19.5	18.3	16.3	16.8	15.8	18.4	16.0	19.5	13.5	12.8	13.9	25.9	14.6	23.0
RANKING #8	161	31	33	77	25	22	108	80	56	15	35	28	30	35	34
	18.7	11.7	21.4	21.9	13.2	16.5	21.7	20.2	20.4	13.0	16.7	16.1	18.2	21.4	21.8
RANKING #9	180	54	37	68	33	30	107	82	53	33	63	30	30	26	30
	20.9	20.7	23.9	19.2	17.5	23.4	21.5	20.6	19.2	28.1	30.6	17.7	18.6	16.1	19.3

Table 51

T43.9 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.
CITY EVENTS, GATHERINGS, CONCERTS, AND HOLIDAY CELEBRATIONS

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861 100.0	435 100.0	424 100.0	86 100.0	258 100.0	127 100.0	131 100.0	198 100.0	106 100.0	91 100.0	258 100.0	131 100.0	127 100.0	60 100.0	344 100.0	336 100.0	181 100.0	603 100.0	215 100.0	43 100.0
RANKING #1	17 2.0	8 1.9	9 2.1		4 1.6	2 1.7	2 1.5	4 1.9	0.5	3 3.6	7 2.8	6 4.4	1 1.1	2 3.7	4 1.2	7 1.9	7 3.7	15 2.5	2 0.8	1 1.6
RANKING #2	42 4.8	31 7.1	11 2.5		20 7.8	16 12.6	4 3.2	6 3.3	3 3.3	3 3.3	11 4.2	7 5.6	4 2.8	4 7.0	20 5.9	16 4.7	6 3.1	34 5.6	8 3.7	
RANKING #3	41 4.8	14 3.3	27 6.3		17 6.6	4 3.5	13 9.7	6 3.2	4 3.4	3 3.1	13 5.1	4 3.1	9 7.1	5 7.6	17 5.0	15 4.5	9 4.9	25 4.1	10 4.6	6 15.0
RANKING #4	56 6.5	22 5.1	34 7.9	14 16.7	14 5.5	8 6.6	6 4.4	13 6.4	7 6.9	5 5.9	12 4.8	4 3.2	8 6.4	2 3.8	28 8.3	21 6.3	6 3.3	37 6.1	15 6.9	4 9.7
RANKING #5	73 8.5	31 7.0	43 10.1	3 3.0	29 11.1	9 7.0	20 15.1	15 7.6	7 6.5	8 9.0	23 8.9	13 10.1	10 7.6	4 6.8	31 9.1	30 8.9	12 6.8	51 8.5	17 8.1	5 10.6
RANKING #6	124 14.4	76 17.5	48 11.3	27 31.8	37 14.3	20 15.6	17 13.0	27 13.6	14 13.3	13 14.0	25 9.7	15 11.3	10 7.9	8 13.5	64 18.7	39 11.6	21 11.6	74 12.3	47 21.7	3 7.8
RANKING #7	139 16.2	65 14.9	74 17.6	21 23.9	33 12.8	12 9.7	21 15.9	39 19.5	27 25.3	12 12.9	32 12.5	13 9.8	19 15.1	15 24.7	54 15.6	52 15.6	33 18.5	87 14.5	43 19.9	9 21.9
RANKING #8	168 19.6	78 18.0	88 20.9	19 22.2	49 18.9	20 16.0	28 21.4	36 18.0	19 18.2	16 17.1	54 21.1	27 20.4	28 21.8	11 17.5	68 19.7	65 19.4	35 19.6	133 22.0	30 13.9	6 13.5
RANKING #9	200 23.2	109 25.0	90 21.3	2 2.4	56 21.5	35 27.4	21 15.7	53 26.5	24 22.6	28 31.1	80 31.1	42 32.1	38 30.2	9 15.4	58 16.7	91 27.0	52 28.5	147 24.5	44 20.3	8 19.7

Table 51

T43.9 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.
CITY EVENTS, GATHERINGS, CONCERTS, AND HOLIDAY CELEBRATIONS

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861 100.0	15 100.0	154 100.0	111 100.0	93 100.0	111 100.0	377 100.0	280 100.0	581 100.0	47 100.0	108 100.0	147 100.0	133 100.0	130 100.0	55 100.0	124 100.0	155 100.0	280 100.0	309 100.0	56 100.0	236 100.0	484 100.0
RANKING #1	17 2.0		3 1.7	3 2.6	2 2.1	3 2.4	7 1.9	6 2.0	12 2.0	1 2.5	3 2.9	1 0.6	3 2.5	2 1.3		3 2.8	4 2.8	4 1.5	5 1.7	4 7.9	5 2.3	7 1.5
RANKING #2	42 4.8		11 6.9	6 5.5	3 3.6	10 8.7	12 3.2	17 6.0	25 4.3	1 1.5	4 4.1	15 10.1	6 4.8	6 4.6	2 3.5	7 6.0	5 3.3	21 7.6	15 5.0		12 5.0	26 5.4
RANKING #3	41 4.8		11 7.1	4 3.2	5 5.7	7 6.7	14 3.7	14 5.2	27 4.6	4 8.8	10 9.3	4 2.6	10 7.2	3 2.5	1 1.8	5 3.8	14 9.2	13 4.8	9 2.9		25 10.6	13 2.8
RANKING #4	56 6.5	4 29.0	11 7.1	7 6.1	5 5.5	2 1.5	27 7.1	22 7.9	34 5.8	4 8.1	7 6.4	21 14.4	6 4.6	6 4.2	5 8.8	5 3.9	11 6.9	27 9.8	15 4.9		9 3.8	42 8.6
RANKING #5	73 8.5		11 7.1	15 13.5	13 14.4	10 8.8	24 6.5	26 9.2	48 8.2	3 7.2	6 5.8	11 7.4	12 9.2	12 8.8	8 14.1	12 9.7	10 6.2	23 8.2	31 10.1	9 15.6	27 11.3	34 7.1
RANKING #6	124 14.4	3 18.1	11 6.9	20 17.9	14 15.3	17 15.6	59 15.7	33 11.9	91 15.6	10 21.9	25 23.6	16 11.2	12 9.1	19 14.4	5 8.5	24 19.3	36 23.1	29 10.2	47 15.3	19 33.8	39 16.7	56 11.5
RANKING #7	139 16.2	3 21.4	21 13.4	16 14.8	8 8.1	14 12.3	78 20.7	40 14.4	99 17.1	10 20.2	12 11.4	23 15.3	13 9.7	19 14.8	8 14.6	18 14.4	22 14.1	35 12.7	45 14.6	4 8.0	21 8.8	91 18.7
RANKING #8	168 19.6	3.2	28 18.2	19 16.8	21 22.5	33 30.1	67 17.8	47 16.9	121 20.9	9 18.2	16 14.7	24 16.5	34 25.4	27 20.7	11 20.8	27 21.9	24 15.8	58 20.7	65 21.2	3 5.6	49 20.7	93 19.3
RANKING #9	200 23.2	4 28.2	48 31.5	22 19.6	21 22.7	16 14.0	89 23.5	74 26.6	125 21.6	6 11.7	23 21.8	32 21.9	37 27.6	37 28.7	15 27.8	22 18.2	29 18.7	69 24.6	75 24.3	16 29.1	49 20.9	121 25.1

Table 51

T43.9 The City of Glenwood Springs each year makes budget decisions allocating taxpayer dollars toward town services and programs. With that in mind, please rank the following budget items in the order of priority that you would like to see. Ranking a budget item #1 indicates your top priority and ranking a budget item #9 indicates your least or last priority.
CITY EVENTS, GATHERINGS, CONCERTS, AND HOLIDAY CELEBRATIONS

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
RANKING #1	17	4	3	7	3	2	12	7	5	2	1	4	4	5	3
	2.0	1.5	1.8	2.0	1.4	1.2	2.4	1.9	1.8	1.8	0.7	2.6	2.2	2.9	1.9
RANKING #2	42	17	9	12	11	10	18	25	13	2	6	15	7	8	6
	4.8	6.6	5.7	3.5	6.0	7.8	3.6	6.2	4.7	1.5	3.0	8.6	4.0	5.0	3.8
RANKING #3	41	19	8	8	10	8	21	15	19	2	11	10	10	6	5
	4.8	7.4	5.2	2.3	5.5	6.5	4.3	3.9	7.1	2.0	5.4	5.7	6.0	3.5	3.0
RANKING #4	56	14	7	27	10	5	37	35	10	2	10	9	16	1	19
	6.5	5.4	4.2	7.8	5.4	3.8	7.4	8.8	3.5	2.0	4.8	5.1	10.0	0.9	12.4
RANKING #5	73	21	13	28	11	20	38	24	25	20	23	19	10	11	11
	8.5	8.1	8.5	8.0	6.0	15.0	7.7	6.1	9.0	17.2	11.2	10.8	5.8	6.7	7.1
RANKING #6	124	55	15	42	44	17	58	66	20	24	36	30	22	23	12
	14.4	21.1	9.4	11.8	23.1	13.1	11.7	16.7	7.4	20.2	17.5	17.5	13.5	14.3	8.0
RANKING #7	139	31	33	62	20	22	86	68	51	11	26	17	28	42	26
	16.2	12.0	21.3	17.7	10.8	17.3	17.2	17.2	18.6	9.2	12.4	10.0	17.1	25.9	17.0
RANKING #8	168	49	33	72	30	18	114	79	55	22	36	37	35	21	39
	19.6	18.9	21.5	20.3	15.8	14.1	22.8	19.9	20.2	19.3	17.6	21.4	21.4	13.1	25.0
RANKING #9	200	50	35	93	49	27	114	77	76	31	56	32	33	45	34
	23.2	18.9	22.4	26.5	26.0	21.1	23.0	19.4	27.8	26.8	27.2	18.3	20.0	27.7	21.8

Table 52

Rate of Residential and Commercial Development

T44. How would you rate the speed of the development of new housing for sale over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Too Slow	262	159	102	30	104	58	46	66	39	27	53	28	24	9	134	94	34	186	62	13
	30.4	36.6	24.1	34.8	40.2	45.4	35.3	33.3	36.8	29.5	20.4	21.5	19.3	15.2	38.8	28.0	18.6	30.9	28.8	30.6
Total Too Fast	353	149	202	44	91	38	53	68	30	36	125	62	62	25	135	123	95	230	106	17
	41.0	34.3	47.7	51.6	35.1	29.8	40.3	34.2	28.7	40.1	48.2	47.0	49.3	42.1	39.2	36.7	52.3	38.1	49.4	38.6
Right Amount	155	93	61	7	38	22	16	43	28	15	51	29	22	16	46	72	37	118	28	9
	18.0	21.4	14.5	8.4	14.8	17.1	12.2	21.6	26.4	16.2	19.8	22.2	17.3	26.3	13.2	21.5	20.7	19.7	12.9	21.0
Don't Know	91	33	58	4	26	10	16	22	9	13	30	12	18	10	30	46	15	68	19	4
	10.6	7.7	13.7	5.1	9.9	7.6	12.2	10.9	8.1	14.3	11.6	9.3	14.1	16.4	8.7	13.7	8.4	11.3	9.0	9.7
Much Too Slow	107	69	38	7	47	34	13	24	11	13	23	12	11	6	54	38	15	77	29	1
	12.5	15.9	9.0	8.2	18.2	26.6	10.1	12.3	10.6	14.2	8.9	9.3	8.4	10.0	15.7	11.4	8.3	12.8	13.5	2.4
Somewhat Too Slow	154	90	64	23	57	24	33	42	28	14	30	16	14	3	80	56	19	109	33	12
	17.9	20.7	15.1	26.6	22.0	18.8	25.1	21.0	26.1	15.3	11.5	12.2	10.8	5.2	23.1	16.6	10.3	18.1	15.3	28.1
Somewhat Too Fast	161	62	99	40	44	17	27	24	12	12	47	24	24	6	84	49	28	94	63	4
	18.7	14.3	23.3	46.6	16.9	13.3	20.4	12.2	11.1	13.6	18.3	18.1	18.7	9.7	24.3	14.6	15.6	15.6	29.4	8.8
Much Too Fast	192	87	103	4	47	21	26	44	19	24	77	38	39	20	51	74	66	136	43	13
	22.3	20.0	24.4	5.0	18.2	16.5	20.0	22.0	17.6	26.5	29.9	29.0	30.7	32.5	14.9	22.1	36.6	22.6	19.9	29.8

Table 52

Rate of Residential and Commercial Development

T44. How would you rate the speed of the development of new housing for sale over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Too Slow	262	6	60	38	29	37	93	103	159	10	43	41	42	43	19	45	53	83	108	7	77	156
	30.4	37.3	38.8	33.9	31.1	33.2	24.6	36.8	27.3	21.3	40.0	27.7	31.9	32.9	35.3	36.8	34.3	29.7	34.8	12.0	32.8	32.3
Total Too Fast	353	2	42	33	35	30	210	77	275	27	42	69	50	44	20	34	68	119	98	37	69	222
	41.0	13.8	27.6	29.6	37.1	27.2	55.8	27.7	47.4	56.0	39.0	46.7	37.7	33.6	36.1	27.8	44.2	42.4	31.7	67.1	29.1	45.9
Right Amount	155	3	23	27	22	32	50	52	103	6	8	24	18	29	12	33	15	42	75	7	59	74
	18.0	17.7	14.8	24.0	23.2	28.9	13.2	18.6	17.8	13.1	7.9	16.5	13.6	22.4	22.6	27.0	9.5	15.1	24.3	12.4	24.9	15.3
Don't Know	91	5	29	14	8	12	24	47	44	5	14	13	22	14	3	10	19	36	28	5	31	31
	10.6	31.2	18.8	12.4	8.6	10.6	6.4	16.9	7.6	9.7	13.2	9.1	16.8	11.1	6.0	8.5	12.1	12.8	9.1	8.5	13.1	6.4
Much Too Slow	107	3	25	14	15	20	30	42	65	8	7	14	19	26	4	19	15	33	50	3	17	82
	12.5	17.6	16.6	12.9	16.2	17.7	8.0	15.2	11.2	17.0	6.5	9.7	14.1	20.0	7.4	15.8	9.7	11.8	16.1	4.5	7.0	17.0
Somewhat Too Slow	154	3	34	23	14	17	63	61	94	2	36	26	24	17	15	26	38	50	58	4	61	74
	17.9	19.7	22.2	21.1	14.9	15.5	16.6	21.6	16.1	4.3	33.6	17.9	17.8	12.8	27.8	21.0	24.6	17.9	18.8	7.5	25.8	15.3
Somewhat Too Fast	161	1	25	14	17	12	91	41	120	9	15	39	28	15	11	11	24	66	37	5	34	110
	18.7	9.8	16.3	12.8	18.1	10.9	24.2	14.6	20.7	19.1	13.7	26.3	20.8	11.9	19.5	9.1	15.3	23.7	12.1	8.7	14.5	22.7
Much Too Fast	192	1	17	19	18	18	119	37	155	18	27	30	22	28	9	23	45	52	61	33	34	112
	22.3	4.0	11.3	16.8	19.0	16.3	31.6	13.1	26.7	36.9	25.3	20.4	16.9	21.8	16.6	18.7	28.8	18.7	19.7	58.4	14.6	23.2

Table 52

Rate of Residential and Commercial Development

T44. How would you rate the speed of the development of new housing for sale over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Too Slow	262	262			160	40	59	167	70	11	66	61	53	35	46
	30.4	100.0			84.6	31.1	11.8	42.0	25.5	9.1	31.7	35.6	32.6	21.6	29.7
Total Too Fast	353			353	14	5	331	141	108	88	71	76	63	85	58
	41.0			100.0	7.5	3.5	66.5	35.6	39.5	76.0	34.3	44.4	38.2	52.1	37.3
Right Amount	155		155		8	76	69	62	72	9	35	22	28	34	36
	18.0		100.0		4.1	58.4	13.8	15.7	26.3	7.6	17.2	12.9	16.8	20.8	23.3
Don't Know	91				7	9	40	26	24	9	35	12	20	9	15
	10.6				3.9	6.9	8.0	6.6	8.7	7.3	16.9	7.2	12.3	5.5	9.7
Much Too Slow	107	107			77	11	19	74	15	6	33	17	25	17	16
	12.5	41.0			40.8	8.7	3.7	18.7	5.6	5.4	16.0	9.6	15.0	10.6	10.2
Somewhat Too Slow	154	154			83	29	40	92	55	4	32	45	29	18	30
	17.9	59.0			43.8	22.4	8.1	23.3	19.9	3.6	15.7	26.0	17.7	11.1	19.5
Somewhat Too Fast	161			161	14	4	140	89	46	19	34	24	28	40	35
	18.7			45.6	7.5	2.7	28.2	22.4	16.9	16.7	16.3	14.1	17.1	24.4	22.5
Much Too Fast	192			192		1	191	52	62	69	37	52	34	45	23
	22.3			54.4		0.8	38.3	13.2	22.6	59.3	17.9	30.2	21.1	27.7	14.8

City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey, 861n, +- 3.15% MoE, September 7th - 22nd, 2021, Weighted Crosstabs
 Table 53
 T45. How would you rate the speed of the development of new apartments over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Too Slow	190	120	69	33	79	46	32	43	24	19	28	17	11	6	112	62	16	109	69	12
	22.0	27.5	16.4	37.9	30.6	36.3	24.9	21.8	22.8	20.7	10.9	12.8	9.0	10.6	32.4	18.4	9.0	18.1	32.1	27.1
Total Too Fast	498	216	280	49	131	53	78	97	46	50	183	90	93	38	180	187	131	350	121	27
	57.8	49.7	66.1	56.7	50.9	41.8	59.9	49.0	43.7	54.7	70.8	68.3	73.4	62.9	52.3	55.6	72.4	58.0	56.3	62.3
Right Amount	130	79	50	5	35	19	16	45	33	13	34	19	16	11	40	68	23	106	20	3
	15.1	18.3	11.9	5.4	13.5	14.7	12.4	22.9	30.8	14.0	13.4	14.2	12.4	17.5	11.5	20.1	12.6	17.6	9.4	8.1
Don't Know	44	20	24		13	9	4	13	3	10	13	6	7	5	13	20	11	38	5	1
	5.1	4.5	5.6		5.0	7.3	2.8	6.3	2.8	10.6	4.9	4.7	5.2	9.0	3.7	5.9	6.0	6.3	2.2	2.5
Much Too Slow	94	68	26	27	41	27	14	13	6	7	9	6	3	4	68	19	7	43	49	1
	10.9	15.6	6.1	31.8	15.7	20.9	10.8	6.7	5.3	8.1	3.4	4.6	2.3	6.0	19.7	5.7	3.6	7.1	22.9	3.3
Somewhat Too Slow	96	52	44	5	39	20	18	30	18	11	19	11	9	3	44	43	10	66	20	10
	11.1	11.9	10.3	6.1	14.9	15.5	14.1	15.2	17.4	12.6	7.5	8.3	6.8	4.6	12.7	12.7	5.3	11.0	9.2	23.8
Somewhat Too Fast	147	70	77	3	40	11	28	33	19	14	53	27	26	18	42	61	44	124	18	5
	17.1	16.1	18.2	2.9	15.3	8.8	21.7	16.9	18.2	15.6	20.6	20.7	20.6	30.5	12.2	18.2	24.3	20.5	8.4	12.7
Much Too Fast	351	146	203	46	92	42	50	64	27	36	130	62	67	20	138	126	87	226	103	21
	40.7	33.6	47.9	53.8	35.5	32.9	38.2	32.1	25.5	39.1	50.2	47.5	52.8	32.4	40.1	37.4	48.1	37.5	48.0	49.7

City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey, 861n, +/- 3.15% MoE, September 7th - 22nd, 2021, Weighted Crosstabs
 Table 53
 T45. How would you rate the speed of the development of new apartments over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Too Slow	190	5	60	22	17	23	63	86	103	10	35	43	29	28	7	26	45	72	62	5	55	116
	22.0	32.2	38.9	19.6	18.4	20.9	16.7	30.9	17.8	20.3	32.7	29.3	21.7	21.8	13.7	21.2	28.9	25.7	20.1	9.3	23.3	23.9
Total Too Fast	498	2	47	57	55	53	283	106	392	29	60	80	82	61	35	62	88	162	158	41	119	298
	57.8	12.7	30.6	51.7	59.3	48.2	75.0	38.0	67.3	60.7	55.5	54.0	62.1	46.9	63.7	50.5	57.1	57.8	51.3	73.3	50.6	61.7
Right Amount	130	4	31	25	19	30	22	59	71	8	11	16	11	32	10	29	19	27	71	9	55	55
	15.1	24.2	20.0	22.1	20.5	26.9	5.9	21.1	12.2	16.9	10.2	10.9	8.0	24.8	18.8	23.3	12.3	9.5	23.1	16.2	23.2	11.3
Don't Know	44	5	16	7	2	4	9	28	16	1	2	9	11	9	2	6	3	19	17	1	7	15
	5.1	30.9	10.5	6.6	1.7	4.0	2.5	10.0	2.7	2.1	1.6	5.8	8.2	6.5	3.8	5.0	1.8	6.9	5.5	1.1	2.9	3.1
Much Too Slow	94	3	17	4	9	17	44	23	70	8	26	14	15	11	1	13	35	29	25	1	26	62
	10.9	20.7	11.0	3.2	9.3	15.7	11.7	8.4	12.1	17.9	24.4	9.5	11.4	8.5	1.9	10.4	22.4	10.4	8.1	1.4	10.9	12.8
Somewhat Too Slow	96	2	43	18	9	6	19	63	33	1	9	29	14	17	6	13	10	43	37	4	29	53
	11.1	11.5	27.9	16.4	9.2	5.3	5.0	22.5	5.7	2.5	8.2	19.8	10.3	13.3	11.8	10.8	6.5	15.3	12.0	7.9	12.4	11.1
Somewhat Too Fast	147	1	14	25	17	18	73	40	108	10	16	25	34	14	13	18	26	60	45	1	49	77
	17.1	8.7	8.8	22.4	18.2	16.0	19.3	14.2	18.5	20.3	14.8	17.2	25.8	11.0	23.2	14.3	16.5	21.3	14.5	2.7	21.0	16.0
Much Too Fast	351	1	34	33	38	36	210	67	284	19	44	54	48	47	22	45	63	102	114	39	70	221
	40.7	4.0	21.8	29.3	41.2	32.2	55.7	23.9	48.8	40.3	40.7	36.8	36.2	35.9	40.5	36.1	40.6	36.5	36.8	70.7	29.6	45.7

City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey, 861n, +- 3.15% MoE, September 7th - 22nd, 2021, Weighted Crosstabs
 Table 53
 T45. How would you rate the speed of the development of new apartments over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Too Slow	190	160	8	14	190			116	46	10	70	38	36	17	28
	22.0	61.3	4.9	4.0	100.0			29.2	16.9	8.8	33.9	22.1	22.1	10.4	18.2
Total Too Fast	498	59	69	331			498	214	161	102	93	112	87	117	89
	57.8	22.5	44.2	93.8			100.0	53.9	58.6	87.6	44.8	64.8	53.3	71.6	57.7
Right Amount	130	40	76	5		130		60	61	2	36	18	26	23	27
	15.1	15.5	48.9	1.3		100.0		15.1	22.1	1.5	17.4	10.3	16.2	14.0	17.4
Don't Know	44	2	3	3				7	7	2	8	5	14	7	10
	5.1	0.7	2.0	0.9				1.9	2.4	2.1	3.8	2.8	8.4	4.0	6.7
Much Too Slow	94	92	1	1	94			67	10	4	30	27	19	8	9
	10.9	35.0	0.5	0.2	49.4			16.8	3.7	3.7	14.7	15.4	11.6	5.2	5.9
Somewhat Too Slow	96	69	7	13	96			49	36	6	40	11	17	9	19
	11.1	26.3	4.5	3.8	50.6			12.3	13.2	5.0	19.2	6.7	10.5	5.2	12.3
Somewhat Too Fast	147	31	35	62			147	68	56	15	25	24	36	31	30
	17.1	12.0	22.3	17.6			29.6	17.1	20.6	13.1	12.3	14.2	22.2	19.1	19.3
Much Too Fast	351	27	34	269			351	146	104	87	67	87	51	86	60
	40.7	10.5	21.9	76.2			70.4	36.8	38.0	74.6	32.6	50.6	31.1	52.4	38.5

Table 54

T46. How would you rate the speed of retail and commercial growth over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Too Slow	396	204	192	70	100	54	47	85	46	39	116	60	56	25	170	144	83	271	108	18
	46.1	46.9	45.4	81.2	38.8	42.2	35.7	43.1	43.6	42.9	44.9	45.6	44.3	41.5	49.4	42.8	45.7	45.0	50.0	41.5
Total Too Fast	116	54	60	3	42	22	20	27	12	14	37	19	18	7	45	42	29	70	41	6
	13.5	12.5	14.2	3.0	16.4	17.5	15.0	13.6	11.2	15.6	14.4	14.3	14.3	12.2	13.0	12.6	16.0	11.5	18.9	14.3
Right Amount	274	142	131	2	100	42	57	68	42	26	84	42	42	21	102	118	55	202	56	16
	31.8	32.8	31.0	2.4	38.6	33.3	43.7	34.3	39.4	28.8	32.4	31.9	33.0	34.5	29.5	35.0	30.3	33.5	26.1	36.8
Don't Know	74	34	40	12	16	9	7	18	6	11	21	11	11	7	28	32	14	60	11	3
	8.6	7.9	9.4	13.4	6.3	7.0	5.6	8.9	5.8	12.7	8.3	8.3	8.4	11.9	8.1	9.5	7.9	9.9	5.1	7.4
Much Too Slow	129	73	56	17	38	27	11	32	20	12	37	21	15	6	55	49	26	84	39	7
	15.0	16.8	13.3	19.5	14.8	21.5	8.4	16.0	18.5	12.9	14.2	16.2	12.2	10.0	16.0	14.5	14.2	13.9	18.0	15.9
Somewhat Too Slow	267	131	136	53	62	26	36	54	27	27	79	39	41	19	115	95	57	187	69	11
	31.0	30.1	32.1	61.7	24.0	20.7	27.2	27.1	25.0	30.0	30.7	29.4	32.1	31.5	33.4	28.3	31.5	31.1	31.9	25.6
Somewhat Too Fast	59	27	31	3	20	8	11	15	9	6	18	10	9	3	22	22	14	37	20	2
	6.8	6.3	7.3	3.0	7.7	6.5	8.5	7.5	8.7	6.1	7.1	7.4	6.9	4.9	6.5	6.6	7.7	6.1	9.3	3.6
Much Too Fast	58	27	29		22	14	8	12	3	9	19	9	9	4	22	20	15	32	21	5
	6.7	6.2	6.9		8.7	11.0	6.5	6.2	2.5	9.5	7.2	6.9	7.4	7.2	6.5	6.0	8.4	5.4	9.6	10.7

Table 54

T46. How would you rate the speed of retail and commercial growth over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Too Slow	396	5	61	58	29	47	195	125	272	21	44	73	58	61	23	56	64	131	140	13	101	251
	46.1	33.2	39.9	52.5	31.4	42.6	51.8	44.6	46.8	43.5	40.6	49.3	44.0	46.6	42.6	45.5	41.5	46.7	45.5	23.6	42.9	52.0
Total Too Fast	116	1	18	13	23	18	44	32	85	7	26	9	20	13	7	19	33	29	39	35	14	63
	13.5	4.0	12.0	11.5	24.1	15.9	11.8	11.4	14.6	14.8	24.0	6.2	15.2	9.9	12.5	15.8	21.1	10.5	12.7	62.2	5.8	13.0
Right Amount	274	5	54	34	29	34	117	94	181	14	30	50	44	47	22	35	44	94	104	8	111	128
	31.8	37.0	35.1	30.7	31.2	30.8	31.1	33.4	31.1	28.9	28.1	33.6	33.4	35.9	40.8	28.0	28.4	33.5	33.6	14.2	46.9	26.5
Don't Know	74	4	20	6	12	12	20	30	44	6	8	16	10	10	2	13	14	26	25		10	41
	8.6	25.7	13.0	5.4	13.3	10.7	5.3	10.6	7.6	12.8	7.3	11.0	7.4	7.5	4.1	10.8	9.0	9.3	8.2		4.4	8.5
Much Too Slow	129	4	20	17	6	15	68	41	89	5	6	28	19	31	5	21	11	47	58	7	15	99
	15.0	24.2	13.2	15.3	6.2	13.4	18.0	14.6	15.2	10.9	5.9	18.8	14.1	23.9	9.8	17.3	7.4	16.6	18.8	12.8	6.4	20.4
Somewhat Too Slow	267	1	41	41	24	32	128	84	183	15	37	45	40	30	18	35	53	84	82	6	86	153
	31.0	9.0	26.8	37.1	25.2	29.1	33.8	30.0	31.5	32.6	34.7	30.4	29.8	22.8	32.8	28.2	34.1	30.1	26.7	10.8	36.5	31.6
Somewhat Too Fast	59		7	6	14	9	23	13	46	6	12	5	5	8	3	13	18	11	24	10	12	32
	6.8		4.6	5.2	14.6	7.8	6.2	4.6	7.9	11.7	11.2	3.7	4.0	6.4	4.8	10.4	11.3	3.8	7.7	17.7	5.2	6.7
Much Too Fast	58	1	11	7	9	9	21	19	39	1	14	4	15	5	4	7	15	19	15	25	2	30
	6.7	4.0	7.4	6.2	9.5	8.1	5.6	6.7	6.7	3.1	12.8	2.5	11.3	3.6	7.6	5.4	9.8	6.6	5.0	44.4	0.6	6.3

Table 54

T46. How would you rate the speed of retail and commercial growth over the past five years in Glenwood Springs?

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Total Too Slow	396 46.1	167 63.7	62 40.1	141 40.1	116 61.0	60 46.0	214 42.9	396 100.0			97 47.1	84 48.6	68 41.3	84 51.6	63 40.9
Total Too Fast	116 13.5	11 4.0	9 5.7	88 25.1	10 5.4	2 1.4	102 20.5			116 100.0	28 13.7	23 13.3	18 10.7	27 16.7	20 13.1
Right Amount	274 31.8	70 26.7	72 46.4	108 30.7	46 24.4	61 46.6	161 32.3		274 100.0		64 31.0	53 30.7	54 32.7	50 30.5	54 34.6
Don't Know	74 8.6	15 5.5	12 7.8	15 4.2	17 9.2	8 6.0	22 4.3				17 8.1	13 7.4	25 15.2	2 1.1	18 11.4
Much Too Slow	129 15.0	59 22.5	13 8.3	44 12.5	49 25.8	11 8.2	65 13.0	129 32.6			32 15.4	16 9.5	20 12.1	31 19.2	30 19.3
Somewhat Too Slow	267 31.0	108 41.2	49 31.8	97 27.6	67 35.2	49 37.8	149 29.9	267 67.4			66 31.7	67 39.0	48 29.3	53 32.4	33 21.6
Somewhat Too Fast	59 6.8	8 2.9	8 5.0	36 10.3	7 3.8	2 1.4	47 9.5			59 50.4	15 7.3	11 6.6	8 5.2	9 5.6	15 9.4
Much Too Fast	58 6.7	3 1.1	1 0.7	52 14.7	3 1.6		55 11.0			58 49.6	13 6.4	11 6.6	9 5.6	18 11.2	6 3.7

Table 55

T47. How do you rate city government's focus on residents versus tourists?

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
City is more focused on tourists than residents	484	232	250	50	152	83	69	108	55	53	149	72	77	25	202	185	97	350	117	17
	56.2	53.4	59.1	57.7	58.9	65.3	52.5	54.6	51.8	58.1	57.7	54.7	61.0	40.9	58.6	55.0	53.7	58.0	54.2	40.0
City has a balanced focus	236	125	109	29	63	19	44	50	30	18	69	36	33	24	93	88	55	162	58	15
	27.4	28.8	25.8	34.0	24.5	15.2	33.6	25.0	28.8	20.3	26.8	27.3	26.3	40.3	26.9	26.1	30.5	26.9	27.1	34.7
City is more focused on residents than tourists	56	35	20	5	18	16	2	16	8	8	14	8	6	3	23	24	9	31	19	6
	6.5	8.1	4.8	5.4	7.0	12.4	1.9	7.9	7.5	8.4	5.6	6.1	4.9	4.6	6.6	7.1	5.1	5.2	8.8	12.8
No Opinion	86	42	44	3	25	9	16	25	13	12	26	16	10	9	27	40	19	59	21	5
	10.0	9.7	10.3	2.9	9.6	7.1	12.0	12.4	11.9	13.2	9.9	11.9	7.9	14.3	7.9	11.8	10.6	9.8	9.9	12.5

Table 55

T47. How do you rate city government's focus on residents versus tourists?

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
City is more focused on tourists than residents	484	5	71	72	42	50	244	148	336	31	38	97	70	82	26	64	69	167	172			484
	56.2	34.3	46.2	64.4	45.2	45.1	64.7	52.8	57.8	65.6	34.9	66.2	52.5	62.9	47.4	51.7	44.3	59.7	55.7			100.0
City has a balanced focus	236	3	48	24	35	37	88	75	160	8	46	28	42	28	17	38	54	69	83		236	
	27.4	22.9	31.0	21.7	37.3	33.8	23.4	26.9	27.6	16.6	42.7	18.7	31.5	21.2	32.0	31.0	34.7	24.8	27.0		100.0	
City is more focused on residents than tourists	56	2	13	8	5	9	19	23	33	4	12	5	5	6	5	12	15	10	23	56		
	6.5	13.8	8.4	7.4	4.9	8.2	5.0	8.3	5.6	7.6	11.0	3.7	3.6	4.6	8.6	9.7	10.0	3.6	7.3	100.0		
No Opinion	86	4	22	7	12	14	26	34	52	5	12	17	17	15	7	9	17	33	31			
	10.0	29.0	14.4	6.5	12.7	12.9	7.0	12.0	9.0	10.3	11.4	11.5	12.5	11.4	12.1	7.6	11.0	11.9	10.0			

Table 55

T47. How do you rate city government's focus on residents versus tourists?

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
City is more focused on tourists than residents	484 56.2	156 59.8	74 47.7	222 62.9	116 61.0	55 42.1	298 59.9	251 63.4	128 46.8	63 53.8	119 57.5	71 41.2	94 57.5	108 65.8	92 59.3
City has a balanced focus	236 27.4	77 29.6	59 37.7	69 19.5	55 29.0	55 42.1	119 23.9	101 25.5	111 40.3	14 11.7	56 27.3	70 40.6	46 28.3	25 15.4	38 24.4
City is more focused on residents than tourists	56 6.5	7 2.6	7 4.4	37 10.6	5 2.7	9 7.0	41 8.2	13 3.3	8 2.9	35 29.8	11 5.3	6 3.4	6 3.8	23 13.8	10 6.7
No Opinion	86 10.0	21 8.0	16 10.1	25 7.0	14 7.3	12 8.8	39 7.9	31 7.8	27 9.9	5 4.6	20 9.9	25 14.8	17 10.5	8 5.0	15 9.6

Table 56

Please indicate the importance of the following land use and planning issues

T48. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Affordable or employee housing

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	618	281	336	86	196	92	104	140	67	73	157	66	91	39	282	228	109	423	166	29
	71.8	64.7	79.3	100.0	75.8	72.1	79.3	70.7	63.1	80.0	60.9	50.4	71.9	65.0	81.9	67.8	60.1	70.2	77.1	67.5
Extremely Important	418	164	253	76	150	61	88	90	43	46	79	22	58	23	226	140	52	262	138	18
	48.5	37.6	59.7	88.8	58.0	47.9	67.6	45.2	40.4	51.2	30.7	16.5	45.4	37.9	65.7	41.6	28.8	43.4	64.1	42.6
Very Important	201	118	83	10	46	31	15	50	24	26	78	44	34	16	56	88	57	162	28	11
	23.3	27.0	19.6	11.2	17.9	24.2	11.7	25.5	22.7	28.9	30.2	33.9	26.5	27.1	16.2	26.3	31.3	26.8	13.0	24.9
Somewhat Important	138	80	58		35	13	22	34	25	10	60	39	21	9	35	61	42	106	29	4
	16.1	18.5	13.7		13.7	10.2	17.1	17.3	23.2	10.7	23.3	29.4	17.0	14.2	10.3	18.2	23.1	17.5	13.5	8.4
Not Important at All	97	71	24		27	22	5	23	14	8	34	24	9	13	27	41	28	69	18	10
	11.2	16.4	5.7		10.5	17.7	3.6	11.6	13.7	8.4	13.2	18.6	7.3	20.8	7.9	12.3	15.6	11.5	8.3	22.9
No Opinion	8	2	6					1		1	7	2	5			5	2	5	2	1.1
	0.9	0.5	1.3					0.4		0.9	2.7	1.6	3.8			1.6	1.2	0.8	1.1	

Table 56

Please indicate the importance of the following land use and planning issues

T48. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Affordable or employee housing

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	618	12	125	88	64	83	247	224	394	34	83	119	96	94	35	83	117	215	213	17	179	365
	71.8	78.7	81.4	78.7	68.2	75.2	65.6	80.2	67.8	71.8	77.4	80.6	72.4	72.2	64.6	67.5	75.7	76.7	69.0	31.1	75.8	75.5
Extremely Important	418	10	91	56	48	53	160	157	261	32	69	87	71	52	22	38	101	158	112	8	126	251
	48.5	68.9	59.0	50.1	51.1	48.1	42.5	56.0	44.9	67.6	64.1	58.9	53.5	39.6	39.9	30.9	65.2	56.3	36.2	13.9	53.5	51.9
Very Important	201	1	34	32	16	30	87	68	133	2	14	32	25	43	14	45	16	57	101	10	53	114
	23.3	9.8	22.4	28.6	17.1	27.1	23.1	24.2	22.9	4.2	13.3	21.7	18.9	32.6	24.7	36.6	10.5	20.4	32.8	17.2	22.3	23.5
Somewhat Important	138	3	11	12	15	16	81	27	112	7	8	18	25	26	10	25	15	43	60	5	44	78
	16.1	21.3	7.3	11.0	16.0	14.1	21.5	9.5	19.2	13.8	7.9	12.0	18.6	19.7	18.2	20.0	9.7	15.2	19.6	8.4	18.5	16.1
Not Important at All	97		17	11	12	12	44	29	68	4	16	10	11	11	9	14	20	21	34	34	13	37
	11.2		11.3	10.3	13.2	10.6	11.6	10.3	11.7	8.5	14.7	6.9	8.2	8.1	17.1	11.6	12.8	7.5	11.1	60.5	5.6	7.6
No Opinion	8				2	0.2	5		8	3		1	1			1	3	2	1			4
	0.9				2.6		1.3		1.3	5.9		0.5	0.8			0.9	1.8	0.6	0.4			0.8

Table 56

Please indicate the importance of the following land use and planning issues

T48. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Affordable or employee housing

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	618	237	100	208	174	101	310	327	180	53	159	110	129	105	115
	71.8	90.8	64.3	59.0	91.6	77.7	62.2	82.6	65.5	46.0	77.1	63.7	79.0	64.3	74.1
Extremely Important	418	174	48	151	135	58	207	223	121	40	97	78	81	75	86
	48.5	66.5	31.1	42.8	71.2	44.9	41.6	56.2	44.3	34.7	47.0	45.3	49.7	46.1	55.4
Very Important	201	63	52	57	39	43	102	105	58	13	62	32	48	30	29
	23.3	24.3	33.3	16.2	20.4	32.8	20.6	26.4	21.2	11.2	30.1	18.4	29.2	18.2	18.7
Somewhat Important	138	18	34	71	13	22	98	46	64	19	23	40	24	23	28
	16.1	6.7	21.6	20.2	6.7	16.6	19.6	11.6	23.2	16.6	11.3	23.1	14.9	14.1	17.8
Not Important at All	97	6	19	70	3	5	86	21	29	43	22	20	10	35	11
	11.2	2.2	12.2	19.9	1.8	3.9	17.3	5.3	10.7	36.9	10.4	11.4	5.9	21.2	7.2
No Opinion	8	1	3	3		2	5	2	2	1	2	3	0.2	1	1
	0.9	0.2	1.8	0.9		1.8	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.5	1.1	1.9		0.4	0.8

Table 57

T49. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Retail opportunities in the downtown core

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	519	261	256	43	150	73	76	125	63	60	164	79	85	38	192	215	111	352	145	22
	60.3	60.0	60.5	49.4	57.9	57.7	58.1	63.0	59.9	66.2	63.4	60.0	67.0	63.5	55.8	64.2	61.5	58.4	67.5	50.5
Extremely Important	165	69	95	17	45	19	26	38	20	17	56	23	33	8	62	73	30	115	43	7
	19.1	15.9	22.4	19.8	17.4	14.7	20.0	19.3	19.3	19.1	21.8	17.7	25.9	14.0	18.0	21.7	16.7	19.0	19.8	17.4
Very Important	354	192	161	26	105	55	50	87	43	43	107	55	52	30	130	143	81	237	103	14
	41.1	44.1	38.1	29.7	40.6	43.0	38.0	43.7	40.6	47.1	41.6	42.3	41.1	49.5	37.8	42.5	44.8	39.3	47.7	33.2
Somewhat Important	289	139	149	44	79	34	45	64	39	25	80	41	39	22	123	101	65	216	54	19
	33.6	32.1	35.2	50.6	30.7	27.1	34.3	32.4	36.9	27.5	31.1	30.9	31.1	36.5	35.7	30.2	35.9	35.8	25.1	45.1
Not Important at All	37	27	9		22	17	5	3	1	2	11	10	1		22	11	4	23	12	1
	4.3	6.3	2.2		8.6	13.1	4.1	1.7	1.0	2.7	4.3	7.4	1.2		6.5	3.2	2.2	3.9	5.6	3.3
No Opinion	16	7	9		7	3	5	6	2	3	3	2	1		7	8	1	12	4	1.1
	1.9	1.6	2.1		2.8	2.1	3.5	2.8	2.2	3.6	1.2	1.7	0.8		2.1	2.4	0.4	1.9	1.8	

Table 57

T49. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Retail opportunities in the downtown core

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	519	11	93	76	56	56	226	180	339	28	67	93	76	88	33	81	95	169	202	26	157	283
	60.3	73.5	60.8	68.2	59.8	50.9	60.0	64.4	58.3	59.0	61.8	62.8	57.3	67.8	59.6	65.4	61.0	60.2	65.4	47.0	66.4	58.5
Extremely Important	165	4	29	17	20	27	69	50	115	11	15	37	23	24	11	24	26	60	59	8	45	91
	19.1	26.7	19.2	14.9	21.1	24.0	18.2	17.9	19.8	22.1	14.2	25.2	17.6	18.5	19.9	19.7	16.6	21.6	19.2	14.3	18.9	18.8
Very Important	354	7	64	59	36	30	158	130	224	18	51	55	53	64	22	57	69	108	143	18	112	192
	41.1	46.8	41.6	53.3	38.8	26.9	41.9	46.5	38.5	36.9	47.6	37.6	39.7	49.3	39.7	45.8	44.3	38.6	46.2	32.7	47.5	39.7
Somewhat Important	289	1	45	29	33	47	133	75	214	17	25	43	50	36	20	40	42	94	96	17	72	183
	33.6	9.1	29.5	25.8	35.5	42.7	35.4	27.0	36.8	35.3	23.6	29.4	37.8	27.8	36.6	32.1	27.2	33.4	31.1	30.4	30.5	37.9
Not Important at All	37	3	12	5	1	3	14	19	17		14	7	5	3	1	3	14	12	8	13	4	13
	4.3	17.4	7.9	4.3	1.0	2.5	3.7	7.0	3.0		12.6	4.6	4.0	2.5	2.5	2.5	8.7	4.3	2.5	22.6	1.7	2.7
No Opinion	16		3	2	3	4	4	5	11	3	2	5	1	2	1		5	6	3		3	4
	1.9		1.8	1.7	3.7	3.9	0.9	1.7	1.9	5.6	2.0	3.2	0.9	1.8	1.2		3.1	2.1	1.0		1.4	0.9

Table 57

T49. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Retail opportunities in the downtown core

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	519	171	95	186	132	91	272	288	155	43	140	111	96	76	96
	60.3	65.3	61.3	52.7	69.6	69.8	54.5	72.7	56.6	36.9	67.6	64.7	58.4	46.6	61.9
Extremely Important	165	49	28	61	31	34	95	111	35	11	32	31	33	27	42
	19.1	18.6	18.2	17.4	16.4	25.9	19.0	28.1	12.7	9.8	15.6	17.8	20.1	16.7	26.8
Very Important	354	122	67	124	101	57	177	177	120	31	107	81	63	49	54
	41.1	46.7	43.1	35.3	53.2	43.9	35.6	44.6	43.8	27.0	52.0	46.9	38.3	29.9	35.1
Somewhat Important	289	84	55	136	54	35	191	105	100	56	63	49	58	70	49
	33.6	32.3	35.3	38.6	28.7	27.0	38.4	26.5	36.4	48.4	30.6	28.6	35.7	42.7	31.4
Not Important at All	37	3	2	26	2	1	28	2	11	16	2	6	8	14	7
	4.3	1.2	1.4	7.4	1.0	0.7	5.7	0.4	4.1	13.9	1.0	3.5	4.7	8.7	4.5
No Opinion	16	3	3	5	1	3	7	2	8	1	2	6	2	3	3
	1.9	1.3	2.0	1.4	0.6	2.4	1.4	0.4	3.0	0.9	0.8	3.2	1.2	2.0	2.3

Table 58

Police and Public Safety Questions

T50. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Water use and conservation

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	717	334	381	86	204	87	117	169	87	81	216	104	112	42	290	285	142	505	180	32
	83.3	76.9	90.0	100.0	79.1	68.4	89.4	85.2	82.3	89.2	83.7	79.3	88.4	69.1	84.3	84.8	78.3	83.8	83.7	73.4
Extremely Important	422	175	245	62	128	55	73	96	42	54	115	44	71	21	190	160	72	297	106	18
	49.0	40.4	57.8	71.9	49.5	42.9	55.7	48.5	39.8	59.0	44.5	33.5	56.0	34.7	55.1	47.5	40.0	49.3	49.4	41.8
Very Important	295	159	136	24	76	32	44	73	45	27	101	60	41	21	101	125	69	208	74	14
	34.3	36.5	32.2	28.1	29.6	25.5	33.7	36.7	42.5	30.3	39.2	45.8	32.4	34.3	29.2	37.3	38.3	34.5	34.3	31.6
Somewhat Important	107	84	23		30	27	3	26	18	7	34	24	11	16	30	43	33	80	17	9
	12.4	19.2	5.4		11.8	21.2	2.6	13.3	17.3	8.2	13.3	18.1	8.4	26.5	8.8	12.9	18.5	13.3	8.1	21.7
Not Important at All	26	14	11		15	11	5	2	0.4	1	8	3	4	1	15	7	5	12	12	2
	3.1	3.3	2.7		5.9	8.3	3.5	1.0		1.6	3.0	2.6	3.2	2.2	4.4	2.0	2.5	2.0	5.6	5.0
No Opinion	11	3	8		8	3	6	1		1				1	8	1	1	5	6	
	1.2	0.6	1.9		3.3	2.1	4.4	0.5		1.0				2.2	2.5	0.3	0.7	0.8	2.7	

Table 58

Police and Public Safety Questions

T50. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Water use and conservation

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	717	15	134	84	76	98	310	233	484	37	91	135	118	103	42	99	129	253	244	21	204	417
	83.3	100.0	87.1	75.6	81.7	88.4	82.2	83.2	83.3	78.7	84.9	91.4	88.8	79.2	77.0	79.9	83.0	90.1	79.1	38.2	86.6	86.3
Extremely Important	422	6	89	52	44	41	190	147	275	19	59	74	75	60	23	53	78	149	136	10	122	247
	49.0	41.6	57.9	46.3	46.9	37.3	50.4	52.4	47.3	39.9	54.8	50.3	56.3	46.4	41.8	42.5	50.3	53.2	44.0	17.4	51.8	51.1
Very Important	295	9	45	33	32	57	120	86	209	18	32	60	43	43	19	46	51	104	108	12	82	170
	34.3	58.4	29.3	29.3	34.8	51.0	31.8	30.8	35.9	38.8	30.0	41.0	32.5	32.8	35.2	37.4	32.7	37.0	35.1	20.8	34.8	35.2
Somewhat Important	107		7	16	14	11	60	23	84	1	5	12	13	22	11	21	7	25	54	20	26	53
	12.4		4.4	14.5	15.2	9.5	15.8	8.2	14.5	2.8	4.9	8.4	9.5	17.0	20.7	16.6	4.3	8.9	17.5	36.6	11.2	11.0
Not Important at All	26		13	1	3	2	8	14	13	2	11	0.2	2	3	1	4	13	3	9	14	5	6
	3.1		8.5	0.6	3.2	1.8	2.0	4.9	2.2	3.5	10.2		1.8	2.3	2.3	3.5	8.1	1.0	2.8	25.2	2.2	1.1
No Opinion	11			10		0.3		10	0.1	7				2			7		2			7
	1.2			9.3				3.7		15.0				1.5			4.6		0.6			1.5

Table 58

Police and Public Safety Questions

T50. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Water use and conservation

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	717	223	126	285	166	109	405	334	240	74	167	147	143	118	142
	83.3	85.1	81.1	80.7	87.8	83.6	81.4	84.2	87.5	63.9	80.9	85.1	87.4	72.2	91.6
Extremely Important	422	136	65	171	107	59	235	188	148	40	89	93	80	80	80
	49.0	52.1	41.8	48.5	56.4	45.6	47.2	47.4	53.9	34.7	43.1	53.9	49.0	48.8	51.4
Very Important	295	86	61	114	59	49	170	146	92	34	78	54	63	38	62
	34.3	33.0	39.3	32.2	31.4	38.0	34.2	36.8	33.6	29.3	37.7	31.1	38.4	23.4	40.2
Somewhat Important	107	36	25	43	20	19	64	51	28	24	33	21	17	24	12
	12.4	13.7	16.2	12.1	10.8	14.4	12.9	12.9	10.4	20.9	16.2	12.2	10.4	14.7	7.6
Not Important at All	26	3	3	19	3	1	22	5	3	18	4	5	4	13	1
	3.1	1.1	1.9	5.4	1.5	1.0	4.5	1.3	1.2	15.2	2.0	2.7	2.2	7.9	0.6
No Opinion	11		1	6		1	6	7	3		2			8	0.2
	1.2		0.9	1.7		1.0	1.2	1.7	1.0		0.9			5.2	

Table 59

T51. LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Economic development

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	535	243	291	77	161	67	94	121	66	55	143	63	80	33	238	204	92	345	166	24
	62.1	56.0	68.6	89.6	62.3	52.4	71.9	61.2	62.6	60.4	55.4	48.2	63.0	54.0	69.1	60.9	51.1	57.3	77.2	55.1
Extremely Important	219	81	138	60	66	23	43	41	22	19	47	15	31	5	126	69	24	112	102	5
	25.4	18.5	32.5	69.9	25.4	18.0	32.8	20.6	20.8	20.5	18.0	11.8	24.4	9.1	36.6	20.5	13.1	18.5	47.3	12.2
Very Important	316	163	153	17	95	44	51	80	44	36	97	48	49	27	112	135	69	233	64	18
	36.7	37.4	36.2	19.7	36.9	34.4	39.0	40.6	41.8	39.9	37.4	36.4	38.6	44.9	32.6	40.3	38.0	38.7	29.9	42.8
Somewhat Important	251	146	104	2	79	47	32	62	33	29	88	54	34	20	81	99	71	192	42	17
	29.2	33.5	24.7	2.2	30.6	37.2	24.2	31.5	30.9	31.6	34.0	41.4	26.5	33.0	23.5	29.6	39.1	31.9	19.6	38.4
Not Important at All	58	39	18	7	13	9	3	12	6	5	19	12	7	6	20	22	16	52	3	2
	6.7	8.9	4.3	8.2	4.9	7.3	2.6	6.0	6.1	5.7	7.5	9.3	5.4	10.7	5.7	6.6	8.6	8.7	1.3	5.5
No Opinion	17	7	10		6	4	2	3	0.4	2	8	1	6	1	6	10	2	13	4	1.1
	2.0	1.6	2.4		2.2	3.0	1.3	1.3		2.4	3.0	1.1	5.1	2.3	1.6	2.9	1.1	2.2	1.8	

Table 59

T51. LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Economic development

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	535	9	97	66	60	74	230	171	363	33	70	95	74	81	31	77	103	169	189	19	162	298
	62.1	59.4	63.0	59.3	64.0	66.7	60.9	61.3	62.5	69.3	64.9	64.8	55.6	62.4	57.2	62.0	66.2	60.5	61.3	33.8	68.6	61.7
Extremely Important	219	2	32	24	22	29	109	58	160	13	43	40	28	21	11	26	56	67	58	5	71	116
	25.4	15.6	20.8	21.7	23.9	26.3	28.8	20.9	27.5	28.3	39.7	26.8	20.8	15.7	20.9	20.8	36.2	24.0	18.7	9.2	30.0	24.0
Very Important	316	6	65	42	37	45	121	113	203	19	27	56	46	61	20	51	47	102	132	14	91	182
	36.7	43.8	42.2	37.6	40.1	40.4	32.1	40.4	35.0	40.9	25.2	38.0	34.8	46.6	36.3	41.2	30.0	36.5	42.6	24.6	38.6	37.7
Somewhat Important	251	4	49	35	29	23	111	88	163	10	30	42	41	38	20	39	40	83	97	23	57	148
	29.2	27.2	31.8	31.4	30.9	20.7	29.5	31.4	28.1	21.1	28.1	28.4	31.1	28.9	36.9	31.9	26.0	29.7	31.5	41.8	24.4	30.5
Not Important at All	58	2	6	6	2	13	28	14	43	2	3	8	16	8	3	6	5	24	17	14	12	27
	6.7	13.4	3.8	5.7	2.5	11.8	7.4	5.0	7.5	4.3	2.9	5.1	12.2	6.2	4.8	5.0	3.4	8.5	5.5	24.4	5.1	5.7
No Opinion	17		2	4	2	1	8	6	11	2	4	3	1	3	1	1	7	4	5		5	10
	2.0		1.4	3.6	2.5	0.7	2.1	2.2	1.9	5.3	4.1	1.7	1.0	2.5	1.1	1.1	4.4	1.4	1.7		2.0	2.1

Table 59

T51. LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Economic development

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Very Combined	535 62.1	200 76.3	100 64.3	179 50.7	157 82.6	94 72.5	258 51.9	312 78.6	147 53.7	31 27.0	123 59.3	128 74.3	93 56.9	97 59.2	94 60.9
Extremely Important	219 25.4	91 34.8	27 17.6	80 22.6	72 38.2	32 24.2	111 22.3	154 38.8	42 15.2	16 13.6	31 15.0	69 40.0	32 19.4	45 27.4	42 27.3
Very Important	316 36.7	109 41.5	73 46.7	99 28.1	84 44.4	63 48.3	147 29.6	158 39.8	105 38.5	16 13.4	92 44.4	59 34.3	61 37.5	52 31.8	52 33.7
Somewhat Important	251 29.2	52 19.9	51 32.6	129 36.5	27 14.3	30 22.7	184 37.0	71 17.8	99 36.0	61 52.4	69 33.4	35 20.3	45 27.7	53 32.1	49 31.7
Not Important at All	58 6.7	8 3.1	3 2.0	41 11.7	5 2.9	3 2.2	44 8.8	11 2.9	18 6.6	21 18.1	8 4.1	5 3.0	23 14.1	10 6.3	11 6.8
No Opinion	17 2.0	2 0.6	2 1.2	4 1.2	0.2	3 2.6	11 2.2	3 0.8	10 3.7	3 2.4	7 3.2	4 2.3	2 1.2	4 2.4	1 0.6

Table 60

T52. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Small town character and community

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	650	297	351	28	190	84	106	156	74	80	227	112	114	49	219	270	161	469	146	36
	75.5	68.3	82.9	33.1	73.7	66.4	80.9	78.5	69.8	88.6	87.8	85.5	90.2	81.6	63.6	80.5	89.1	77.7	67.8	83.3
Extremely Important	418	179	237	26	125	61	64	92	39	52	147	66	80	27	151	169	97	294	101	23
	48.5	41.1	56.0	30.1	48.6	48.1	48.9	46.6	36.9	57.4	57.0	50.7	63.6	44.3	44.0	50.5	53.6	48.7	46.7	54.3
Very Important	233	118	114	3	65	23	42	63	35	28	79	46	34	22	67	101	64	175	45	12
	27.0	27.2	27.0	2.9	25.1	18.2	32.0	31.9	32.8	31.2	30.8	34.9	26.6	37.3	19.6	30.0	35.6	29.0	21.1	29.0
Somewhat Important	140	77	63	37	35	17	18	32	24	9	26	14	11	10	73	51	16	105	30	6
	16.3	17.8	14.8	43.4	13.7	13.2	14.1	16.3	22.2	9.7	9.9	11.0	8.7	16.1	21.1	15.3	9.1	17.4	13.9	13.7
Not Important at All	65	60	5	20	29	26	3	9	8	1	6	4	1	1	49	13	3	28	36	1
	7.6	13.8	1.2	23.6	11.1	20.4	2.1	4.6	7.5	1.1	2.3	3.4	1.1	2.3	14.2	3.9	1.8	4.6	16.7	3.0
No Opinion	5	1	4		4		4	1	1	1					4	1		2	3	
	0.6	0.1	1.0		1.5		2.9	0.6	0.6	0.6					1.1	0.3		0.3	1.6	

Table 60

T52. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Small town character and community

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	650	9	121	87	72	74	287	218	433	39	79	122	97	103	44	89	118	220	236	52	173	359
	75.5	64.1	78.7	78.6	76.7	66.6	76.2	77.9	74.4	82.0	73.7	83.0	73.3	79.2	79.8	72.0	76.2	78.4	76.4	92.8	73.3	74.3
Extremely Important	418	4	75	52	48	45	194	132	286	24	52	74	57	72	34	53	76	131	159	44	105	231
	48.5	29.2	48.8	47.0	51.2	40.3	51.4	47.1	49.2	49.8	48.7	50.1	43.0	54.9	62.0	43.2	49.0	46.7	51.5	79.6	44.4	47.7
Very Important	233	5	46	35	24	29	94	86	147	15	27	49	40	32	10	36	42	89	77	7	68	129
	27.0	34.9	29.8	31.6	25.4	26.4	24.8	30.8	25.2	32.2	25.0	32.9	30.3	24.3	17.7	28.8	27.2	31.7	24.9	13.2	28.9	26.6
Somewhat Important	140	2	24	21	16	24	54	47	94	9	5	14	28	13	9	25	13	42	47	3	34	93
	16.3	11.7	15.7	18.8	16.7	21.6	14.3	16.7	16.1	18.0	4.6	9.2	21.3	10.0	15.9	20.4	8.7	15.0	15.2	5.7	14.5	19.2
Not Important at All	65	4	9	2	6	10	35	15	51		23	10	4	14	2	9	23	14	25	1	28	31
	7.6	24.3	5.6	2.1	6.6	8.7	9.2	5.2	8.7		21.7	7.0	2.9	10.4	4.3	7.6	15.1	5.1	8.2	1.4	12.0	6.4
No Opinion	5		1		3	1	1	4			1	3	1					4	1		1	0.1
	0.6		0.5		3.0	0.3	0.2	0.8			0.7	2.5	0.4					1.6	0.2		0.3	

Table 60

T52. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Small town character and community

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Very Combined	650 75.5	155 59.1	125 80.4	292 82.9	104 54.6	97 74.7	416 83.5	260 65.6	234 85.3	106 91.4	157 76.1	133 77.2	127 77.5	105 64.2	128 82.9
Extremely Important	418 48.5	81 31.1	76 49.2	220 62.3	53 28.0	56 43.1	292 58.6	151 38.0	156 57.0	87 74.5	99 48.1	85 49.2	72 44.1	73 44.8	88 56.9
Very Important	233 27.0	73 28.0	48 31.2	72 20.5	50 26.6	41 31.6	124 24.9	109 27.6	78 28.3	20 16.9	58 28.0	48 28.1	55 33.4	32 19.4	40 26.0
Somewhat Important	140 16.3	49 18.7	23 14.9	58 16.6	37 19.5	25 19.1	72 14.5	80 20.1	33 12.2	8 6.9	31 14.9	9 5.2	36 21.8	46 28.0	19 12.4
Not Important at All	65 7.6	55 20.9	7 4.6	1 0.3	49 25.9	8 6.2	5 1.1	52 13.1	7 2.5	2 1.7	18 8.7	26 15.0	1 0.7	13 7.8	7 4.7
No Opinion	5 0.6	3 1.3		1 0.3			4 0.9	5 1.3			1 0.3	4 2.6			

Table 61

T53. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Tourism

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	497	256	239	46	149	70	78	117	61	55	148	70	77	38	195	203	99	346	131	20
	57.8	58.9	56.5	53.4	57.5	54.9	60.0	59.1	57.8	60.4	57.2	53.6	60.9	62.6	56.5	60.6	54.9	57.5	60.7	46.7
Extremely Important	148	75	73		53	27	26	37	21	15	50	24	25	9	53	72	23	92	49	7
	17.2	17.1	17.2		20.4	21.2	19.8	18.7	19.9	16.8	19.2	18.5	20.1	14.6	15.3	21.5	12.9	15.2	22.9	16.7
Very Important	349	181	166	46	96	43	52	80	40	40	98	46	52	29	142	131	76	255	81	13
	40.5	41.7	39.3	53.4	37.1	33.8	40.2	40.4	37.9	43.6	38.0	35.1	40.9	48.0	41.2	39.1	42.1	42.3	37.8	30.1
Somewhat Important	288	137	151	25	84	39	45	73	41	32	91	48	44	15	109	119	60	212	55	21
	33.5	31.5	35.6	29.0	32.4	30.5	34.2	37.0	38.6	35.5	35.3	36.2	34.4	25.0	31.5	35.5	33.3	35.2	25.6	48.5
Not Important at All	67	38	29	12	25	17	8	6	4	2	18	12	5	6	37	12	19	36	30	2
	7.8	8.7	6.9	14.5	9.6	13.5	5.8	3.2	3.7	2.7	6.9	9.3	4.3	10.1	10.8	3.4	10.3	5.9	13.7	4.8
No Opinion	8	4	4	3	1	1		1		1	2	1	1	1	4	2	3	8		
	1.0	0.9	1.0	3.0	0.5	1.1		0.6		1.3	0.6	0.9	0.4	2.3	1.1	0.5	1.4	1.4		

Table 61

T53. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Tourism

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	497	7	89	66	58	74	203	162	335	37	81	71	68	74	34	79	118	139	188	53	181	203
	57.8	44.5	58.2	59.8	62.0	66.9	53.8	58.1	57.6	77.1	75.7	48.1	51.1	57.0	61.9	64.3	76.1	49.5	60.8	95.8	76.9	42.0
Extremely Important	148	2	29	14	18	32	53	45	104	6	25	21	25	17	14	26	32	45	56	38	50	41
	17.2	12.9	19.0	12.2	19.6	28.7	14.2	16.0	17.8	13.5	23.4	14.1	18.6	12.7	24.9	20.7	20.4	16.2	18.0	68.5	21.3	8.5
Very Important	349	5	60	53	40	42	149	118	231	30	56	50	43	58	20	54	86	93	132	15	131	162
	40.5	31.5	39.2	47.6	42.3	38.2	39.6	42.1	39.8	63.6	52.3	34.0	32.5	44.3	37.0	43.6	55.7	33.3	42.8	27.3	55.6	33.6
Somewhat Important	288	5	48	42	30	30	133	95	193	9	21	47	55	40	19	39	30	102	98	1	49	218
	33.5	33.5	31.4	37.7	31.7	27.1	35.3	34.0	33.2	18.1	20.0	31.7	41.8	31.0	34.8	31.4	19.4	36.5	31.8	2.1	20.9	45.0
Not Important at All	67	3	16	1	4	4	39	20	47	2	2	30	10	14	2	4	4	39	19		5	58
	7.8	22.1	10.4	0.8	4.3	3.6	10.4	7.2	8.1	3.8	1.9	20.2	7.2	10.5	3.2	3.2	2.5	14.0	6.3		2.2	11.9
No Opinion	8			2	2	3	2	2	6	1	3			2		1	3		3	1		5
	1.0			1.7	2.0	2.4	0.5	0.7	1.1	1.1	2.4			1.5		1.1	2.0		1.1	2.1		1.1

Table 61

T53. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Tourism

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	497	180	85	175	127	88	260	230	156	66	121	116	92	85	83
	57.8	68.9	54.7	49.6	67.1	67.4	52.3	58.0	57.1	56.4	58.5	67.5	56.2	51.8	53.9
Extremely Important	148	46	21	63	30	25	90	59	43	38	33	36	24	33	22
	17.2	17.7	13.3	17.8	15.9	19.2	18.1	14.9	15.5	32.9	16.0	20.8	14.7	20.4	14.1
Very Important	349	134	64	112	97	63	170	171	114	27	88	80	68	51	62
	40.5	51.2	41.4	31.7	51.2	48.2	34.2	43.1	41.5	23.5	42.5	46.7	41.5	31.4	39.8
Somewhat Important	288	68	65	127	45	40	188	133	92	37	67	49	59	63	50
	33.5	26.1	41.6	35.9	23.7	30.7	37.8	33.6	33.7	32.2	32.6	28.7	35.8	38.3	32.4
Not Important at All	67	11	3	49	15	1	47	33	23	9	18	5	12	14	19
	7.8	4.0	2.0	14.0	7.8	1.1	9.4	8.2	8.4	7.5	8.6	2.7	7.6	8.3	12.1
No Opinion	8	3	3	2	3	1	3	1	3	5	1	2	1	3	3
	1.0	1.0	1.6	0.6	1.4	0.9	0.5	0.1	0.9	4.0	0.3	1.1	0.4	1.5	1.7

Table 62

T54. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Social diversity

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	502	210	292	82	160	58	102	99	45	54	133	58	75	28	242	169	92	312	168	23
	58.3	48.2	68.9	94.8	62.1	45.4	78.2	50.1	42.3	59.8	51.6	44.3	59.4	46.2	70.3	50.2	50.7	51.7	77.9	52.9
Extremely Important	238	63	174	38	101	29	72	43	15	28	47	16	31	8	139	74	24	130	92	15
	27.6	14.5	41.2	44.4	39.2	22.9	55.1	21.8	14.5	30.7	18.2	12.4	24.3	13.7	40.5	22.1	13.5	21.6	42.9	35.2
Very Important	264	147	117	43	59	29	30	56	29	26	86	42	44	20	103	94	67	182	75	8
	30.7	33.7	27.7	50.4	22.9	22.5	23.1	28.3	27.8	29.2	33.4	31.9	35.0	32.5	29.8	28.1	37.2	30.1	35.0	17.7
Somewhat Important	183	104	79	5	47	28	19	50	30	21	60	32	28	21	51	82	50	168	10	5
	21.3	23.9	18.7	5.2	18.0	22.0	14.2	25.5	28.2	22.7	23.4	24.3	22.5	35.2	14.8	24.4	27.7	27.8	4.9	11.4
Not Important at All	147	105	41		42	35	7	42	29	12	53	34	18	10	42	70	35	100	35	13
	17.1	24.1	9.6		16.3	27.8	5.3	21.3	27.3	13.4	20.6	26.3	14.3	16.3	12.2	21.0	19.1	16.5	16.1	30.0
No Opinion	28	17	12		9	6	3	6	2	4	12	7	5	1	9	15	4	23	2	2
	3.3	3.8	2.7		3.6	4.8	2.3	3.1	2.2	4.1	4.5	5.1	3.8	2.3	2.7	4.4	2.4	3.9	1.1	5.7

Table 62

T54. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Social diversity

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	502	10	86	58	63	70	216	154	349	33	71	107	75	68	26	60	103	182	154	14	166	272
	58.3	67.3	55.9	51.9	67.6	63.2	57.2	54.9	60.0	68.7	65.7	72.4	56.5	52.2	48.5	48.2	66.6	64.8	50.0	25.7	70.6	56.2
Extremely Important	238	4	48	29	27	35	95	81	157	6	25	62	44	33	10	22	31	106	65	8	70	131
	27.6	26.1	30.9	26.4	29.2	31.5	25.2	28.9	27.0	13.2	23.4	42.3	32.8	25.3	17.7	18.1	20.3	37.8	21.1	14.8	29.7	27.0
Very Important	264	6	38	28	36	35	121	73	192	26	45	44	31	35	17	37	72	76	89	6	96	141
	30.7	41.3	24.9	25.5	38.4	31.6	32.0	26.0	33.0	55.5	42.3	30.0	23.7	26.9	30.8	30.2	46.3	27.0	28.9	10.9	40.9	29.1
Somewhat Important	183	3	41	31	11	18	80	74	109	2	21	16	35	26	16	40	23	50	83	5	41	120
	21.3	17.4	26.6	27.5	11.9	16.5	21.1	26.5	18.8	4.9	19.1	10.8	26.0	20.1	29.7	32.5	14.8	18.0	26.8	8.4	17.4	24.8
Not Important at All	147	2	26	20	11	20	68	48	99	7	16	20	19	31	9	22	22	39	61	36	23	76
	17.1	15.3	17.1	17.9	11.4	18.2	18.0	17.3	17.0	13.7	14.8	13.6	14.1	23.6	16.5	17.4	14.5	13.9	19.9	64.9	9.8	15.7
No Opinion	28		1	3	8	2	14	4	25	6	0.4	5	4	5	3	2	6	9	10	1	5	16
	3.3		0.4	2.6	9.0	2.1	3.7	1.3	4.3	12.6		3.2	3.4	4.0	5.3	1.8	4.2	3.3	3.4	1.1	2.2	3.4

Table 62

T54. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Social diversity

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Very Combined	502 58.3	173 66.0	74 47.5	201 57.0	121 64.0	78 59.9	276 55.5	242 61.1	164 59.7	48 41.6	107 52.0	107 62.3	104 63.3	83 50.7	101 65.3
Extremely Important	238 27.6	85 32.6	29 18.5	96 27.2	55 29.1	34 26.5	142 28.4	128 32.3	72 26.2	26 22.7	54 26.3	45 26.1	45 27.5	46 27.9	48 31.0
Very Important	264 30.7	87 33.4	45 29.1	105 29.7	66 34.9	43 33.4	134 27.0	114 28.8	92 33.6	22 18.8	53 25.6	62 36.2	59 35.8	37 22.8	53 34.3
Somewhat Important	183 21.3	52 19.9	48 31.0	63 17.8	36 19.1	34 26.4	104 20.9	85 21.4	65 23.6	19 16.4	55 26.8	35 20.1	35 21.1	25 15.4	33 21.6
Not Important at All	147 17.1	33 12.4	28 18.2	77 21.7	30 15.8	15 11.5	96 19.3	58 14.7	39 14.1	45 38.4	40 19.4	21 12.1	21 12.8	50 30.7	15 9.8
No Opinion	28 3.3	4 1.7	5 3.2	12 3.5	2 1.1	3 2.2	21 4.3	11 2.8	7 2.6	4 3.7	4 1.8	9 5.5	5 2.8	5 3.3	5 3.3

Table 63

T55. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Transportation options

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	615	273	341	77	189	80	109	147	73	73	170	75	95	32	266	232	117	403	184	28
	71.5	62.7	80.5	89.6	73.2	62.7	83.3	74.1	69.1	79.9	65.8	57.3	74.8	53.8	77.3	69.2	64.6	66.8	85.5	65.9
Extremely Important	237	93	142	11	79	36	42	57	24	32	73	25	48	17	90	98	49	175	51	10
	27.5	21.4	33.6	13.4	30.4	28.0	32.5	28.7	22.8	35.3	28.4	19.4	37.7	27.5	26.1	29.1	27.1	29.1	23.7	23.8
Very Important	379	180	199	66	111	44	66	90	49	41	97	50	47	16	176	135	68	227	133	18
	44.0	41.3	46.9	76.3	42.8	34.8	50.8	45.4	46.4	44.6	37.4	37.9	37.1	26.3	51.2	40.1	37.6	37.7	61.8	42.2
Somewhat Important	194	124	70	9	50	30	20	43	28	14	71	42	29	21	59	88	47	163	21	10
	22.6	28.6	16.4	10.4	19.4	23.8	15.3	21.5	26.5	15.8	27.6	32.0	22.8	35.5	17.2	26.3	25.9	27.0	9.9	23.1
Not Important at All	42	33	9		17	15	2	7	4	3	13	11	1	5	17	12	14	28	10	4
	4.9	7.6	2.1		6.5	11.8	1.5	3.7	3.8	3.3	4.9	8.7	1.0	8.5	4.9	3.4	7.5	4.6	4.6	10.3
No Opinion	9	5	4		2	2		2	1	1	4	3	2	1	2	4	3	9		0.7
	1.1	1.2	1.0		0.8	1.7		0.8	0.6	1.0	1.7	1.9	1.4	2.2	0.6	1.1	1.9	1.5		

Table 63

T55. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Transportation options

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	615	13	110	84	77	74	256	207	408	42	83	111	91	87	39	82	125	201	208	23	181	347
	71.5	87.3	71.9	75.4	82.7	67.2	68.0	74.1	70.2	87.7	77.3	75.3	68.1	66.8	71.4	66.0	80.5	71.9	67.3	41.5	76.9	71.7
Extremely Important	237	7	45	28	25	39	92	81	156	20	22	43	38	37	15	32	42	81	85	13	62	130
	27.5	50.4	29.4	25.2	27.0	34.9	24.4	28.8	26.8	41.4	20.5	29.4	28.5	28.4	28.3	26.0	26.9	29.0	27.4	22.5	26.2	26.9
Very Important	379	5	65	56	52	36	164	127	252	22	61	68	53	50	24	49	83	120	123	11	119	216
	44.0	36.9	42.5	50.2	55.7	32.2	43.6	45.2	43.4	46.2	56.8	45.8	39.6	38.4	43.1	40.0	53.6	42.9	39.9	18.9	50.7	44.8
Somewhat Important	194	2	26	22	14	32	98	50	145	4	13	30	37	38	13	36	18	67	86	15	46	117
	22.6	12.7	17.1	19.4	15.4	28.6	26.1	17.8	24.9	9.4	12.5	20.2	27.8	29.2	23.4	28.8	11.6	23.8	28.0	27.6	19.4	24.2
Not Important at All	42		13	3	1	4	22	16	26	1	11	5	5	3	2	6	12	10	10	16	5	17
	4.9		8.3	2.9	0.7	3.2	5.8	5.7	4.5	2.9	10.2	3.4	3.6	2.0	3.4	4.5	8.0	3.5	3.3	29.4	2.1	3.5
No Opinion	9		4	3	1	1	0.1	7	3			2	1	3	1	1		2	5	1	4	3
	1.1		2.7	2.3	1.3	1.0		2.4	0.4			1.2	0.5	2.1	1.8	0.7		0.8	1.5	1.4	1.7	0.6

Table 63

T55. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Transportation options

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Very Combined	615 71.5	192 73.6	107 68.9	246 69.8	148 78.3	92 71.0	342 68.7	298 75.2	197 71.7	69 59.4	151 73.3	124 72.3	115 70.4	104 63.8	120 77.3
Extremely Important	237 27.5	83 31.8	43 27.7	85 24.1	56 29.6	38 29.4	130 26.2	108 27.2	83 30.3	28 24.0	47 22.6	50 29.1	48 29.4	42 25.6	50 32.3
Very Important	379 44.0	109 41.8	64 41.2	161 45.7	92 48.7	54 41.6	212 42.6	190 48.0	114 41.4	41 35.3	105 50.7	74 43.2	67 41.1	62 38.2	70 45.1
Somewhat Important	194 22.6	65 24.8	36 23.1	77 21.8	37 19.3	34 26.5	116 23.3	83 21.0	65 23.9	26 22.0	46 22.3	42 24.7	41 25.0	36 21.8	29 18.9
Not Important at All	42 4.9	3 1.3	10 6.4	29 8.2	4 2.0	3 2.5	35 7.0	12 3.0	8 2.9	22 18.6	5 2.5	5 2.9	5 3.1	22 13.6	4 2.9
No Opinion	9 1.1	1 0.3	2 1.6	1 0.3	1 0.4		5 0.9	3 0.8	4 1.5		4 1.9	0.2	2 1.4	1 0.8	1 0.9

Table 64

T56. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Parks, trails, and open space

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	707	339	367	86	217	100	116	162	82	80	198	95	103	44	303	267	137	492	181	34
	82.1	78.0	86.6	100.0	84.0	78.9	88.9	81.9	77.4	88.2	76.7	72.2	81.4	72.8	88.0	79.6	75.6	81.6	84.1	79.5
Extremely Important	359	171	188	51	114	53	61	84	44	40	88	33	55	22	165	136	58	263	81	15
	41.7	39.3	44.4	59.8	44.1	41.9	46.5	42.5	41.3	44.4	34.0	25.3	43.2	35.8	48.1	40.5	31.9	43.6	37.6	35.3
Very Important	348	168	179	35	103	47	55	78	38	40	110	62	48	22	138	131	79	229	100	19
	40.4	38.7	42.2	40.2	39.9	37.0	42.4	39.5	36.1	43.8	42.6	46.9	38.2	37.0	40.0	39.1	43.8	38.0	46.5	44.3
Somewhat Important	105	64	41		26	14	12	23	17	6	46	29	18	11	26	45	35	86	16	3
	12.2	14.7	9.7		9.9	10.7	9.2	11.6	16.1	6.3	17.9	21.8	13.9	17.5	7.4	13.3	19.3	14.2	7.6	7.4
Not Important at All	48	31	16		16	13	2	13	7	5	13	7	6	6	16	23	9	24	18	6
	5.5	7.1	3.7		6.1	10.4	1.9	6.5	6.6	5.5	5.1	5.3	4.7	9.7	4.6	6.8	5.0	4.0	8.3	13.1
No Opinion	1	1									1	1			1			1		
	0.1	0.2									0.3	0.7			0.3			0.1		

Table 64

T56. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Parks, trails, and open space

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	707	13	131	86	78	93	305	230	477	32	91	124	114	111	45	99	123	239	256	21	212	393
	82.1	86.9	85.5	77.6	84.0	83.8	81.0	82.4	82.0	67.8	84.8	84.5	86.1	85.3	83.0	80.4	79.6	85.2	82.9	38.2	90.1	81.3
Extremely Important	359	8	71	56	34	44	147	135	224	17	55	64	62	55	23	55	71	126	133	15	117	180
	41.7	52.9	46.4	50.3	36.0	39.6	38.9	48.3	38.5	34.8	51.1	43.4	46.7	42.1	42.5	44.4	46.1	45.0	43.1	26.1	49.8	37.3
Very Important	348	5	60	30	45	49	159	95	253	16	36	61	52	56	22	44	52	113	123	7	95	213
	40.4	34.0	39.1	27.2	48.0	44.3	42.1	34.1	43.5	33.0	33.7	41.1	39.4	43.3	40.5	36.0	33.5	40.3	39.9	12.1	40.3	44.0
Somewhat Important	105	2	9	21	9	7	57	32	73	14	5	19	16	17	3	17	19	34	37	3	21	77
	12.2	13.1	5.9	18.9	9.5	6.6	15.1	11.5	12.6	29.0	5.0	12.6	11.7	13.1	5.7	13.5	12.3	12.2	12.0	5.5	9.1	15.9
Not Important at All	48		13	4	6	11	14	17	31	2	11	4	2	2	6	8	13	6	16	31	2	13
	5.5		8.6	3.5	6.5	9.5	3.7	6.1	5.3	3.2	10.2	2.9	1.6	1.6	11.3	6.1	8.1	2.3	5.1	56.2	0.8	2.6
No Opinion	1						1		1				1				1					1
	0.1						0.2		0.1				0.6				0.3					0.2

Table 64

T56. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Parks, trails, and open space

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Very Combined	707 82.1	227 86.7	124 80.0	274 77.7	170 89.9	112 86.3	386 77.5	329 82.9	244 89.1	68 58.3	172 83.1	149 86.5	142 86.7	118 72.4	126 81.5
Extremely Important	359 41.7	123 47.0	56 36.0	131 37.3	86 45.6	61 46.7	196 39.3	169 42.7	131 47.8	32 27.9	76 36.8	83 48.3	71 43.2	48 29.5	81 52.2
Very Important	348 40.4	104 39.7	68 44.0	143 40.4	84 44.3	51 39.6	190 38.2	159 40.2	113 41.3	35 30.5	96 46.3	66 38.1	71 43.5	70 42.8	45 29.3
Somewhat Important	105 12.2	31 12.0	22 14.3	44 12.6	18 9.4	12 9.1	72 14.5	56 14.1	24 8.9	18 15.5	23 11.0	17 9.7	19 11.6	24 14.9	23 14.6
Not Important at All	48 5.5	3 1.2	8 5.2	34 9.7	1 0.7	6 4.7	39 7.8	11 2.7	5 2.0	31 26.2	12 5.9	6 3.3	3 1.7	21 12.8	6 3.9
No Opinion	1 0.1		1 0.5				1 0.2	1 0.2				1 0.5			

Table 65

T57. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Historic preservation and cultural resources

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	586	259	325	79	179	79	100	125	56	67	170	77	93	33	258	213	114	383	174	29
	68.0	59.5	76.8	91.8	69.4	62.2	76.3	62.9	53.1	74.1	65.9	58.7	73.4	54.5	75.0	63.6	63.1	63.5	81.0	66.5
Extremely Important	263	121	141	27	92	40	52	42	14	27	86	38	48	16	119	92	52	159	85	19
	30.6	27.7	33.4	31.2	35.7	31.1	39.9	21.3	13.3	30.2	33.3	29.0	37.9	26.6	34.5	27.4	29.0	26.4	39.6	43.7
Very Important	323	138	184	52	87	40	47	82	42	40	84	39	45	17	139	122	62	224	89	10
	37.5	31.7	43.4	60.6	33.7	31.1	36.4	41.6	39.8	43.9	32.5	29.7	35.5	27.9	40.4	36.2	34.1	37.1	41.4	22.9
Somewhat Important	247	155	91	7	71	41	30	65	45	19	80	46	33	25	78	109	60	193	41	13
	28.7	35.7	21.5	8.2	27.4	31.9	23.0	32.9	42.9	21.4	30.8	35.4	25.9	41.0	22.6	32.6	33.2	32.0	19.0	30.7
Not Important at All	24	18	6		7	6	1	7	4	3	8	7	1	1	7	12	5	23		1
	2.7	4.1	1.4		2.7	4.8	0.6	3.6	4.0	3.2	3.2	5.6	0.7	2.2	2.0	3.5	2.8	3.8		1.6
No Opinion	4	3	1		2	1	0.2	1		1	0.1	0.2		1	2	1	2	4		1
	0.5	0.7	0.3		0.6	1.1	0.2	0.6		1.3	0.1	0.2		2.3	0.4	0.3	0.9	0.6		1.2

Table 65

T57. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Historic preservation and cultural resources

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	586	10	109	66	56	78	266	186	400	29	89	105	93	83	39	65	118	198	188	47	181	303
	68.0	69.5	71.1	59.7	60.3	70.2	70.5	66.5	68.8	61.9	82.4	71.0	70.0	64.0	71.1	52.9	76.2	70.5	60.8	84.1	77.0	62.6
Extremely Important	263	3	60	32	28	24	117	95	169	14	60	37	42	39	19	22	74	80	80	25	98	123
	30.6	22.4	38.8	28.5	29.6	21.3	31.1	33.9	29.0	29.3	55.8	25.4	32.0	29.8	35.4	17.8	47.7	28.5	26.0	44.5	41.4	25.5
Very Important	323	7	50	35	29	54	148	91	231	15	29	67	50	45	20	43	44	118	107	22	84	179
	37.5	47.1	32.3	31.2	30.8	48.9	39.3	32.6	39.8	32.6	26.6	45.6	38.0	34.2	35.7	35.1	28.5	42.0	34.8	39.6	35.6	37.1
Somewhat Important	247	3	39	36	34	31	104	78	169	18	17	39	37	40	14	51	35	76	105	6	51	165
	28.7	20.0	25.3	32.6	36.6	28.2	27.5	27.9	29.1	38.1	15.7	26.7	27.6	30.5	26.3	41.3	22.6	27.1	34.1	11.6	21.8	34.1
Not Important at All	24	2	5	7	3	1	6	14	10		2	2	3	5	1	7	2	5	13	2	2	15
	2.7	10.6	3.6	6.0	3.1	1.1	1.6	4.9	1.7		1.8	1.3	2.5	3.6	2.6	5.6	1.3	1.9	4.2	3.2	0.7	3.2
No Opinion	4			2		1	2	2	3			1		3		0.3		1	3	1	1	1
	0.5			1.7		0.5	0.5	0.7	0.4			0.9		1.9				0.5	0.9	1.1	0.6	0.1

Table 65

T57. [LAND USE AND PLANNING ISSUES] Historic preservation and cultural resources

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Very Combined	586	159	101	261	113	95	353	279	180	86	135	130	107	113	101
	68.0	60.9	65.1	74.1	59.4	72.7	70.9	70.3	65.6	73.8	65.3	75.7	65.6	68.8	65.0
Extremely Important	263	83	40	115	66	37	152	120	80	45	55	74	51	42	41
	30.6	31.6	25.8	32.7	34.8	28.4	30.5	30.1	29.1	38.6	26.8	43.1	31.0	25.9	26.3
Very Important	323	77	61	146	47	58	201	159	100	41	80	56	57	70	60
	37.5	29.3	39.3	41.4	24.6	44.3	40.5	40.2	36.4	35.2	38.6	32.6	34.6	42.9	38.7
Somewhat Important	247	92	46	85	70	31	133	103	89	27	65	35	52	46	49
	28.7	35.2	29.8	24.1	37.1	23.8	26.6	26.0	32.5	23.4	31.6	20.2	31.8	28.1	31.7
Not Important at All	24	10	7	4	7	5	10	11	4	3	6	6	4	3	5
	2.7	3.9	4.3	1.1	3.5	3.5	1.9	2.9	1.5	2.8	2.8	3.2	2.6	1.9	3.1
No Opinion	4		1	3			3	3	1		1	2		2	0.2
	0.5		0.9	0.7			0.5	0.8	0.5		0.3	0.9		1.2	

Table 66

T58.1 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?

8TH STREET CROSSING, CONFLUENCE DEVELOPMENT (FORMERLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT SITE ON 7TH STREET)

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	553	302	249	44	182	88	94	145	83	62	149	76	72	33	226	229	98	394	128	30
	64.2	69.5	58.8	50.6	70.5	68.9	71.9	73.4	78.0	68.5	57.6	58.3	56.9	54.7	65.5	68.1	54.4	65.4	59.7	69.8
Total Oppose	232	112	118	36	41	28	13	37	18	18	95	52	43	23	77	81	73	160	62	9
	26.9	25.8	28.0	41.3	16.0	22.2	10.0	18.7	17.4	19.7	36.7	39.6	33.6	37.9	22.3	24.2	40.6	26.6	29.0	21.0
No Opinion	77	20	56	7	35	11	24	16	5	11	15	3	12	4	42	26	9	48	25	4
	8.9	4.7	13.3	8.1	13.5	8.8	18.1	7.9	4.6	11.8	5.7	2.1	9.5	7.4	12.1	7.7	5.0	8.0	11.4	9.2
Strongly Support	291	159	130	12	99	45	53	88	50	38	67	39	28	25	111	128	52	224	51	16
	33.7	36.5	30.7	13.6	38.4	35.7	40.8	44.4	46.7	41.8	25.8	29.4	22.1	41.3	32.2	38.1	28.6	37.1	23.9	36.1
Somewhat Support	262	144	119	32	83	42	41	57	33	24	82	38	44	8	115	101	47	171	77	14
	30.4	33.0	28.0	37.0	32.1	33.2	31.1	29.0	31.3	26.7	31.7	28.9	34.8	13.4	33.3	30.0	25.8	28.3	35.8	33.6
Somewhat Oppose	80	36	44	15	15	11	4	11	6	6	29	14	15	9	30	24	26	62	16	2
	9.3	8.4	10.3	17.4	5.9	9.0	2.9	5.7	5.5	6.1	11.4	10.7	12.1	14.9	8.8	7.1	14.4	10.3	7.6	4.2
Strongly Oppose	152	76	75	21	26	17	9	26	13	12	65	38	27	14	47	58	47	99	46	7
	17.6	17.4	17.7	23.9	10.1	13.2	7.2	13.0	11.9	13.6	25.3	28.9	21.5	23.1	13.6	17.2	26.2	16.3	21.4	16.8

Table 66

T58.1 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?

8TH STREET CROSSING, CONFLUENCE DEVELOPMENT (FORMERLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT SITE ON 7TH STREET)

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	553	12	104	79	66	80	212	195	358	22	72	94	87	93	39	92	94	181	224	19	194	283
	64.2	82.7	67.9	70.7	70.2	72.3	56.2	69.8	61.5	45.4	66.9	63.9	65.5	71.5	70.8	74.3	60.3	64.7	72.5	34.9	82.2	58.4
Total Oppose	232		27	23	15	19	148	50	181	11	25	40	31	30	12	29	36	71	71	34	30	159
	26.9		17.4	21.0	15.8	17.2	39.2	18.0	31.2	22.6	23.1	26.9	23.3	22.9	22.7	23.3	22.9	25.2	23.0	61.2	12.8	32.8
No Opinion	77	3	23	9	13	12	18	34	42	15	11	14	15	7	4	3	26	28	14	2	12	42
	8.9	17.3	14.7	8.3	14.0	10.4	4.7	12.3	7.3	32.0	10.0	9.2	11.2	5.5	6.5	2.4	16.7	10.1	4.4	3.9	4.9	8.7
Strongly Support	291	11	50	56	41	37	96	117	174	11	24	42	42	56	27	61	35	84	145	14	106	138
	33.7	73.9	32.5	50.4	43.8	33.1	25.5	41.8	29.9	24.2	22.1	28.4	31.7	42.9	50.2	49.6	22.7	29.9	46.9	25.4	45.1	28.6
Somewhat Support	262	1	54	23	25	43	116	78	184	10	48	52	45	37	11	31	58	97	79	5	88	144
	30.4	8.7	35.4	20.2	26.4	39.2	30.7	28.0	31.6	21.2	44.8	35.5	33.8	28.6	20.6	24.8	37.6	34.7	25.7	9.5	37.2	29.8
Somewhat Oppose	80		9	12	4	8	47	21	58	3	6	23	15	9	4	8	9	38	22		20	55
	9.3		6.0	11.0	3.9	7.3	12.4	7.7	10.1	6.2	5.8	15.6	11.3	7.1	7.8	6.9	6.0	13.6	7.1		8.3	11.5
Strongly Oppose	152		18	11	11	11	101	29	123	8	19	17	16	21	8	20	26	33	49	34	11	103
	17.6		11.4	10.1	11.9	9.9	26.8	10.3	21.2	16.4	17.2	11.3	12.0	15.8	14.9	16.4	17.0	11.6	15.9	61.2	4.5	21.3

Table 66

T58.1 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?

8TH STREET CROSSING, CONFLUENCE DEVELOPMENT (FORMERLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT SITE ON 7TH STREET)

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	553	218	113	165	164	108	255	276	192	37	150	123	110	72	98
	64.2	83.2	73.0	46.7	86.6	82.7	51.2	69.6	70.0	31.8	72.6	71.2	67.0	44.2	63.3
Total Oppose	232	19	33	163	10	13	200	93	62	67	38	31	43	76	42
	26.9	7.4	21.5	46.1	5.3	9.7	40.2	23.4	22.5	57.3	18.5	18.2	26.6	46.7	27.2
No Opinion	77	25	8	25	15	10	42	28	21	13	18	18	10	15	15
	8.9	9.4	5.4	7.1	8.1	7.6	8.5	7.0	7.5	11.0	8.8	10.6	6.4	9.1	9.5
Strongly Support	291	129	61	63	89	77	107	144	100	15	80	52	60	40	59
	33.7	49.2	39.0	17.9	47.1	58.9	21.4	36.3	36.4	13.1	38.9	30.1	36.8	24.2	37.9
Somewhat Support	262	89	53	102	75	31	148	132	92	22	70	71	50	33	39
	30.4	34.0	34.0	28.9	39.5	23.8	29.8	33.2	33.5	18.7	33.7	41.1	30.3	20.0	25.5
Somewhat Oppose	80	10	18	43	4	8	63	39	30	8	13	12	15	14	26
	9.3	3.6	11.8	12.1	2.2	6.0	12.7	9.9	10.8	7.2	6.5	7.1	9.1	8.4	16.6
Strongly Oppose	152	10	15	120	6	5	137	54	32	58	25	19	29	63	16
	17.6	3.8	9.7	34.0	3.1	3.8	27.5	13.6	11.6	50.0	12.1	11.0	17.5	38.3	10.6

City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey, 861n, +- 3.15% MoE, September 7th - 22nd, 2021, Weighted Crosstabs
 Table 67
 T58.2 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?
 6TH STREET

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	597	315	282	35	183	90	93	146	75	70	192	98	94	41	218	253	126	438	129	30
	69.4	72.4	66.5	40.2	71.0	71.1	71.1	73.5	70.7	77.5	74.5	74.9	74.3	68.2	63.3	75.4	69.7	72.6	60.1	70.1
Total Oppose	160	76	83	32	33	22	11	31	18	12	50	25	25	14	65	52	43	103	48	9
	18.6	17.5	19.6	37.1	12.8	17.7	8.1	15.7	17.2	13.3	19.4	18.7	19.8	23.6	18.9	15.5	24.0	17.1	22.3	21.7
No Opinion	103	44	59	20	42	14	27	21	13	8	16	8	7	5	61	31	12	62	38	4
	12.0	10.1	13.9	22.7	16.2	11.2	20.8	10.8	12.1	9.2	6.1	6.4	5.9	8.2	17.8	9.1	6.4	10.3	17.6	8.2
Strongly Support	335	190	144	32	108	59	49	83	49	33	97	47	50	15	140	140	55	238	81	16
	38.9	43.7	34.1	37.2	41.8	46.1	37.8	41.7	46.4	36.7	37.6	35.5	39.8	25.1	40.6	41.8	30.2	39.5	37.8	36.4
Somewhat Support	262	125	137	3	76	32	43	63	26	37	95	52	44	26	78	113	71	200	48	14
	30.5	28.8	32.4	3.0	29.2	25.1	33.3	31.8	24.3	40.9	36.9	39.3	34.6	43.1	22.7	33.6	39.4	33.2	22.3	33.6
Somewhat Oppose	68	37	31	4	12	7	5	13	8	5	30	15	15	8	17	23	28	54	10	4
	7.9	8.5	7.3	5.1	4.7	5.4	4.2	6.7	7.9	5.3	11.7	11.2	12.2	12.8	4.8	6.9	15.4	9.0	4.4	9.2
Strongly Oppose	93	39	52	28	21	16	5	18	10	7	20	10	10	7	48	29	16	49	38	5
	10.7	9.0	12.3	32.0	8.0	12.3	3.9	9.0	9.3	8.0	7.7	7.5	7.6	10.8	14.0	8.5	8.6	8.1	17.9	12.5

City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey, 861n, +- 3.15% MoE, September 7th - 22nd, 2021, Weighted Crosstabs
 Table 67
 T58.2 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?
 6TH STREET

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS							INCOME							CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS				
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	597	14	107	80	65	78	253	201	396	30	73	92	90	103	43	106	103	182	251	23	195	323
	69.4	94.5	69.9	71.6	70.1	70.3	67.1	71.9	68.2	63.4	67.5	62.4	67.8	78.8	78.4	85.4	66.2	64.9	81.4	41.5	82.6	66.8
Total Oppose	160		21	13	14	15	97	34	126	10	27	23	20	15	8	15	37	43	39	31	20	98
	18.6		13.9	11.3	15.5	13.7	25.7	12.1	21.7	21.1	25.3	15.8	14.8	11.7	14.7	12.3	24.0	15.3	12.5	56.4	8.6	20.4
No Opinion	103	1	25	19	13	18	27	45	59	7	8	32	23	12	4	3	15	55	19	1	21	62
	12.0	5.5	16.3	17.1	14.4	15.9	7.3	16.0	10.1	15.5	7.2	21.9	17.5	9.5	6.8	2.2	9.8	19.8	6.1	2.1	8.8	12.8
Strongly Support	335	9	55	49	35	42	145	113	222	16	42	55	47	49	29	69	59	102	147	19	123	162
	38.9	63.6	35.7	44.0	37.1	38.3	38.3	40.5	38.1	34.6	39.3	37.1	35.6	37.5	53.4	55.7	37.8	36.4	47.6	34.5	52.2	33.5
Somewhat Support	262	5	53	31	31	36	108	88	175	14	30	37	43	54	14	37	44	80	104	4	72	161
	30.5	30.9	34.2	27.6	33.0	32.1	28.7	31.4	30.1	28.8	28.2	25.3	32.2	41.3	25.0	29.8	28.4	28.6	33.8	7.0	30.5	33.3
Somewhat Oppose	68		6	6	5	8	42	12	55	3	15	11	12	11	3	3	18	23	17		16	44
	7.9		4.1	5.3	5.1	7.5	11.3	4.4	9.5	6.1	13.7	7.4	9.3	8.1	5.9	2.5	11.4	8.3	5.5		6.7	9.1
Strongly Oppose	93		15	7	10	7	54	22	71	7	13	12	7	5	5	12	20	20	22	31	4	54
	10.7		9.7	6.0	10.4	6.2	14.4	7.7	12.2	15.0	11.6	8.4	5.5	3.6	8.8	9.8	12.7	7.0	7.0	56.4	1.9	11.2

Table 67

T58.2 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?

6TH STREET

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	597	225	120	190	161	108	300	284	210	57	153	137	125	77	105
	69.4	86.1	77.3	53.9	85.0	83.4	60.3	71.6	76.7	49.3	73.9	79.7	76.1	47.3	68.0
Total Oppose	160	16	21	110	13	9	135	67	31	49	31	19	23	66	21
	18.6	6.0	13.4	31.2	6.9	7.1	27.1	16.9	11.3	41.8	14.9	11.3	13.9	40.5	13.6
No Opinion	103	20	14	53	16	12	63	45	33	10	23	16	16	20	28
	12.0	7.8	9.3	14.9	8.2	9.5	12.6	11.4	12.0	8.9	11.2	9.0	10.0	12.3	18.4
Strongly Support	335	153	59	87	119	68	135	171	118	20	81	76	74	48	56
	38.9	58.4	38.3	24.7	62.7	52.5	27.1	43.1	43.0	17.3	39.2	44.0	45.4	29.1	36.1
Somewhat Support	262	73	61	103	42	40	165	113	92	37	72	61	50	30	49
	30.5	27.7	39.0	29.3	22.3	31.0	33.1	28.6	33.7	31.9	34.7	35.7	30.7	18.1	31.9
Somewhat Oppose	68	13	14	29	9	6	51	34	23	4	17	9	13	17	11
	7.9	4.8	9.2	8.2	4.7	4.9	10.2	8.6	8.2	3.1	8.4	5.4	8.0	10.3	7.2
Strongly Oppose	93	3	7	81	4	3	84	33	8	45	13	10	10	49	10
	10.7	1.2	4.3	22.9	2.2	2.2	16.9	8.4	3.0	38.7	6.5	5.9	5.9	30.2	6.5

Table 68

T58.3 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?

SAFEWAY

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	760	380	378	77	227	107	119	177	95	81	232	117	115	48	304	300	156	535	191	34
	88.3	87.5	89.3	89.7	87.7	84.1	91.2	89.1	89.8	89.3	89.7	88.9	90.8	79.2	88.2	89.2	86.5	88.7	88.9	78.2
Total Oppose	70	38	31	5	21	14	7	17	9	7	20	10	9	8	25	29	15	42	20	8
	8.1	8.8	7.2	5.2	8.1	10.6	5.6	8.4	8.7	7.4	7.7	7.9	7.3	13.1	7.4	8.7	8.5	6.9	9.3	18.5
No Opinion	31	16	15	4	11	7	4	5	2	3	7	4	2	5	15	7	9	26	4	1
	3.6	3.7	3.5	5.0	4.2	5.3	3.1	2.5	1.6	3.3	2.5	3.1	1.9	7.7	4.4	2.1	5.1	4.3	1.8	3.3
Strongly Support	618	318	299	77	190	97	93	149	84	64	170	85	84	32	267	232	119	425	171	22
	71.7	73.1	70.6	89.7	73.5	76.1	70.9	75.3	79.6	70.9	65.7	65.0	66.6	53.0	77.5	69.1	65.6	70.6	79.3	50.0
Somewhat Support	142	63	79		37	10	27	27	11	17	62	31	31	16	37	68	38	109	21	12
	16.5	14.4	18.8		14.3	8.0	20.3	13.8	10.1	18.3	24.0	24.0	24.2	26.2	10.7	20.1	20.8	18.2	9.6	28.2
Somewhat Oppose	16	6	10	5	1		1	2	1	1	7	4	3	1	6	6	4	13		3
	1.8	1.4	2.3	5.2	0.6		1.1	0.8	1.0	0.7	2.6	2.9	2.4	2.3	1.7	1.7	2.4	2.2		5.9
Strongly Oppose	54	32	21		19	14	6	15	8	6	13	7	6	7	19	24	11	29	20	5
	6.3	7.4	4.9		7.5	10.6	4.5	7.6	7.7	6.8	5.1	5.0	4.9	10.8	5.6	7.0	6.1	4.7	9.3	12.6

Table 68

T58.3 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?

SAFEWAY

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	760	13	132	102	82	101	329	248	512	39	91	130	125	121	48	109	130	255	277	24	222	440
	88.3	86.6	86.1	92.1	87.9	91.0	87.4	88.5	88.1	81.7	84.8	88.4	93.7	92.8	87.2	87.8	83.8	90.9	89.8	42.7	94.0	91.1
Total Oppose	70		13	6	10	8	34	18	51	4	14	13	4	5	6	13	18	17	23	31	8	29
	8.1		8.3	5.0	10.7	6.9	9.0	6.6	8.9	7.9	13.2	8.8	3.3	3.7	10.3	10.5	11.6	6.2	7.6	55.2	3.4	6.0
No Opinion	31	2	9	3	1	2	14	14	18	5	2	4	4	5	1	2	7	8	8	1	6	14
	3.6	13.4	5.5	2.9	1.4	2.2	3.7	4.9	3.0	10.4	2.0	2.8	3.0	3.5	2.4	1.7	4.6	2.9	2.6	2.1	2.6	2.9
Strongly Support	618	11	107	93	63	80	263	212	406	31	77	101	94	108	38	91	107	195	237	22	172	371
	71.7	77.5	69.7	83.8	67.5	72.5	69.6	75.7	69.8	64.9	71.2	68.8	70.7	82.6	69.3	73.8	69.3	69.7	76.7	39.0	72.8	76.6
Somewhat Support	142	1	25	9	19	20	67	36	106	8	15	29	31	13	10	17	23	59	40	2	50	70
	16.5	9.1	16.4	8.3	20.4	18.4	17.8	12.8	18.3	16.7	13.6	19.6	23.0	10.2	17.9	14.1	14.5	21.2	13.1	3.8	21.2	14.4
Somewhat Oppose	16		2	1	1	2	10	3	13	1	2	3	2	1	1	2	3	5	4		4	11
	1.8		1.2	0.7	1.4	1.7	2.6	1.0	2.3	2.9	1.7	2.3	1.2	0.8	1.5	1.8	2.1	1.8	1.3		1.6	2.3
Strongly Oppose	54		11	5	9	6	24	16	38	2	12	10	3	4	5	11	15	12	19	31	4	18
	6.3		7.1	4.3	9.3	5.2	6.3	5.6	6.6	5.0	11.4	6.5	2.1	2.9	8.8	8.7	9.5	4.4	6.2	55.2	1.8	3.7

Table 68

T58.3 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?

SAFEWAY

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	760	253	140	286	180	123	418	375	254	70	188	153	148	128	143
	88.3	96.8	89.9	81.1	95.2	94.4	84.0	94.7	92.5	60.4	90.8	88.7	90.6	78.2	92.6
Total Oppose	70	3	9	55	4	3	62	8	12	42	14	13	9	26	8
	8.1	1.0	5.9	15.6	2.2	2.3	12.5	2.0	4.5	35.8	7.0	7.5	5.2	15.8	5.3
No Opinion	31	6	6	12	5	4	18	13	8	4	5	7	7	10	3
	3.6	2.2	4.1	3.3	2.6	3.3	3.5	3.3	3.0	3.8	2.2	3.8	4.2	6.0	2.2
Strongly Support	618	218	108	232	155	100	332	322	202	50	141	122	114	114	127
	71.7	83.3	69.3	65.8	82.0	77.2	66.8	81.2	73.8	42.7	68.0	70.6	69.8	69.7	82.2
Somewhat Support	142	35	32	54	25	22	86	54	51	21	47	31	34	14	16
	16.5	13.5	20.6	15.3	13.2	17.2	17.2	13.5	18.7	17.8	22.8	18.1	20.8	8.6	10.4
Somewhat Oppose	16	0.2	6	8	3	2	11	3	5	2	3	4	3	3	4
	1.8		3.7	2.2	1.4	1.7	2.2	0.8	1.8	1.9	1.5	2.2	1.7	1.7	2.3
Strongly Oppose	54	2	4	47	2	1	51	5	7	39	11	9	6	23	5
	6.3	0.8	2.3	13.4	0.8	0.6	10.3	1.2	2.7	33.9	5.5	5.4	3.5	14.1	3.0

Table 69

T58.4 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?

ROARING FORK MARKETPLACE

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	598	308	289	47	190	92	97	137	75	62	183	93	90	41	237	237	124	418	153	26
	69.5	70.8	68.2	54.7	73.4	72.7	74.0	69.3	70.7	68.4	71.0	70.8	71.3	67.8	68.8	70.7	68.6	69.4	71.2	61.4
Total Oppose	123	66	56	14	27	19	9	31	15	15	42	19	22	9	41	50	31	93	20	10
	14.3	15.2	13.1	16.3	10.6	14.9	6.5	15.7	14.4	16.6	16.1	14.5	17.6	14.6	12.0	15.0	17.3	15.4	9.2	23.7
No Opinion	140	61	79	25	41	16	25	30	16	14	33	19	14	11	66	48	25	91	42	6
	16.2	14.0	18.7	29.0	15.9	12.4	19.5	14.9	15.0	15.1	12.9	14.7	11.1	17.6	19.2	14.3	14.1	15.1	19.5	14.9
Strongly Support	324	180	144	37	113	58	55	80	50	30	78	40	38	16	150	123	50	200	111	13
	37.6	41.4	33.9	43.5	43.6	45.6	41.9	40.5	47.4	32.9	30.1	30.7	29.7	26.2	43.6	36.7	27.9	33.3	51.5	29.1
Somewhat Support	274	128	145	10	77	34	42	57	25	32	105	53	53	25	87	114	74	218	42	14
	31.9	29.4	34.3	11.2	29.8	27.1	32.1	28.9	23.3	35.5	40.8	40.1	41.6	41.6	25.2	33.9	40.7	36.2	19.7	32.3
Somewhat Oppose	55	33	22	10	8	4	4	12	8	5	22	11	11	2	18	22	15	54		1
	6.4	7.6	5.3	11.2	3.2	3.3	3.2	6.3	7.5	5.0	8.7	8.8	8.7	3.8	5.2	6.7	8.2	9.0		2.8
Strongly Oppose	68	33	33	4	19	15	4	19	7	11	19	8	11	7	23	28	16	39	20	9
	7.9	7.7	7.8	5.0	7.4	11.7	3.3	9.5	6.9	11.6	7.4	5.7	8.9	10.8	6.8	8.3	9.1	6.5	9.2	20.9

Table 69

T58.4 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?

ROARING FORK MARKETPLACE

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	598	15	106	87	63	71	256	208	390	34	81	109	91	98	38	94	115	200	230	19	183	338
	69.5	100.0	69.1	78.7	67.5	63.6	68.0	74.5	67.0	71.4	75.0	73.8	68.7	75.3	69.7	76.2	73.9	71.4	74.7	34.7	77.8	69.9
Total Oppose	123		16	10	12	17	68	26	97	10	14	20	21	11	8	15	24	42	33	33	18	65
	14.3		10.5	9.1	12.5	15.7	17.9	9.4	16.6	21.5	13.2	13.9	16.1	8.2	14.3	12.0	15.7	14.9	10.8	59.6	7.8	13.4
No Opinion	140		31	14	19	23	53	45	95	3	13	18	20	22	9	15	16	38	45	3	34	81
	16.2		20.3	12.2	20.0	20.7	14.1	16.0	16.3	7.0	11.8	12.3	15.2	16.6	16.0	11.8	10.3	13.7	14.6	5.7	14.4	16.8
Strongly Support	324	9	58	54	36	35	132	120	204	21	42	67	42	55	22	49	63	109	126	14	109	171
	37.6	59.7	37.5	48.3	39.1	32.0	34.9	43.0	35.0	43.3	39.2	45.4	31.7	42.4	39.8	39.9	40.5	38.9	40.9	24.6	46.2	35.4
Somewhat Support	274	6	49	34	26	35	124	88	186	13	39	42	49	43	16	45	52	91	104	6	74	167
	31.9	40.3	31.6	30.4	28.4	31.6	33.0	31.6	32.0	28.1	35.9	28.4	37.0	32.8	29.9	36.3	33.5	32.5	33.7	10.2	31.6	34.5
Somewhat Oppose	55		4	5	3	12	31	9	46	1	2	11	17	7	3	6	3	27	15	1	13	35
	6.4		2.7	4.6	3.6	10.5	8.2	3.3	7.9	2.9	1.6	7.2	12.5	5.2	5.5	4.5	2.0	9.7	5.0	2.3	5.7	7.2
Strongly Oppose	68		12	5	8	6	37	17	51	9	12	10	5	4	5	9	21	15	18	32	5	30
	7.9		7.9	4.5	8.9	5.2	9.7	6.1	8.7	18.6	11.5	6.7	3.6	3.0	8.8	7.5	13.7	5.2	5.8	57.3	2.2	6.1

Table 69

T58.4 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?

ROARING FORK MARKETPLACE

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	598	217	114	209	160	104	305	301	197	56	155	134	112	84	113
	69.5	83.1	73.4	59.1	84.4	80.0	61.3	75.9	72.0	47.8	75.1	77.8	68.5	51.1	73.2
Total Oppose	123	11	15	91	8	3	108	39	26	50	20	15	30	36	22
	14.3	4.2	9.4	25.7	4.0	2.4	21.7	9.8	9.4	42.6	9.6	8.5	18.6	22.1	14.2
No Opinion	140	33	27	54	22	23	85	57	51	11	32	24	21	44	20
	16.2	12.8	17.2	15.2	11.6	17.6	17.0	14.3	18.6	9.6	15.3	13.7	12.9	26.8	12.6
Strongly Support	324	128	64	103	104	65	143	180	107	22	88	65	50	52	68
	37.6	48.8	41.2	29.2	55.1	49.7	28.7	45.5	39.0	19.0	42.5	37.7	30.8	31.9	44.2
Somewhat Support	274	90	50	106	56	39	162	121	90	34	67	69	62	31	45
	31.9	34.3	32.1	29.9	29.4	30.3	32.6	30.4	33.0	28.8	32.6	40.1	37.7	19.2	29.0
Somewhat Oppose	55	10	10	30	6	3	44	27	15	8	7	6	19	10	14
	6.4	3.7	6.6	8.6	3.0	2.4	8.9	6.7	5.6	6.8	3.2	3.4	11.3	6.0	9.3
Strongly Oppose	68	1	4	60	2		64	12	10	42	13	9	12	26	8
	7.9	0.4	2.8	17.1	1.0		12.8	3.1	3.8	35.8	6.4	5.1	7.2	16.2	4.9

Table 70

T58.5 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?

WEST GLENWOOD MALL

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	702	357	344	82	210	103	106	164	91	73	199	98	101	47	292	279	132	488	181	33
	81.6	82.2	81.1	95.0	81.2	80.9	81.5	82.8	85.9	80.1	77.1	74.8	79.7	78.3	84.7	83.0	73.0	81.0	84.1	75.8
Total Oppose	141	68	72	4	42	22	20	28	11	15	55	30	25	12	46	49	46	101	30	10
	16.3	15.6	16.9	5.0	16.2	16.9	15.6	14.0	10.6	17.1	21.3	22.7	19.7	19.5	13.4	14.5	25.3	16.7	14.1	22.6
No Opinion	18	10	8		6	3	4	6	4	3	4	3	1	1	6	8	3	14	4	1
	2.1	2.2	2.0		2.5	2.2	2.9	3.2	3.4	2.9	1.6	2.5	0.6	2.2	1.9	2.5	1.8	2.3	1.8	1.6
Strongly Support	546	270	275	82	178	86	92	133	73	61	128	63	65	24	260	203	83	352	173	20
	63.4	62.2	64.8	95.0	69.0	67.8	70.4	67.3	68.4	66.7	49.6	47.7	51.6	40.5	75.5	60.5	45.6	58.5	80.6	46.1
Somewhat Support	156	87	69		32	17	15	31	19	12	71	36	36	23	32	75	49	136	8	13
	18.2	20.0	16.3		12.2	13.0	11.2	15.5	17.5	13.4	27.6	27.2	28.1	37.9	9.2	22.5	27.3	22.6	3.6	29.7
Somewhat Oppose	34	15	18		11	4	7	4	3	2	18	8	9	1	11	12	11	30	2	2
	3.9	3.5	4.3		4.2	3.3	5.2	2.2	2.5	1.8	6.8	6.4	7.3	1.4	3.2	3.6	6.0	4.9	1.1	3.8
Strongly Oppose	107	52	53	4	31	17	14	23	9	14	37	21	16	11	35	37	35	71	28	8
	12.4	12.1	12.6	5.0	12.0	13.7	10.4	11.8	8.1	15.2	14.5	16.2	12.4	18.1	10.3	10.9	19.3	11.8	13.0	18.8

City of Glenwood Springs Community Survey, 861n, +- 3.15% MoE, September 7th - 22nd, 2021, Weighted Crosstabs
 Table 70
 T58.5 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?
 WEST GLENWOOD MALL

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	702	15	123	101	78	90	295	239	463	32	91	117	109	109	47	106	123	227	262	20	209	404
	81.6	100.0	80.2	90.6	83.9	81.3	78.2	85.4	79.7	68.0	84.6	79.6	82.4	83.8	85.4	86.0	79.5	80.9	84.9	35.3	88.9	83.6
Total Oppose	141		21	8	15	19	78	29	112	15	16	27	18	16	8	17	31	45	41	35	23	72
	16.3		13.4	7.4	16.1	16.9	20.7	10.3	19.2	32.0	14.7	18.5	13.5	12.3	14.6	13.4	20.0	16.1	13.1	62.6	10.0	14.9
No Opinion	18		10	2		2	4	12	6		1	3	5	5		1	1	8	6	1	3	7
	2.1		6.4	2.0		1.8	1.1	4.3	1.0		0.8	1.9	4.1	3.9		0.6	0.5	3.0	1.9	2.1	1.2	1.5
Strongly Support	546	13	90	83	66	77	216	186	360	26	77	83	84	89	34	84	103	167	208	19	167	314
	63.4	87.7	58.7	74.4	70.9	69.6	57.4	66.5	61.9	55.1	71.7	56.6	62.9	68.5	62.8	68.3	66.6	59.6	67.4	34.0	70.9	64.9
Somewhat Support	156	2	33	18	12	13	79	53	104	6	14	34	26	20	12	22	20	60	54	1	42	91
	18.2	12.3	21.5	16.2	13.0	11.6	20.8	18.9	17.8	12.9	12.8	23.0	19.5	15.3	22.5	17.6	12.9	21.3	17.5	1.3	18.0	18.8
Somewhat Oppose	34		5	1	2	3	23	6	28	2	1	11	6	5	1	4	3	17	10	1	4	23
	3.9		3.1	1.1	1.7	2.5	6.2	2.2	4.7	3.8	1.0	7.6	4.2	3.7	1.4	3.1	1.9	6.0	3.1	1.6	1.5	4.8
Strongly Oppose	107		16	7	13	16	55	23	84	13	15	16	12	11	7	13	28	28	31	34	20	49
	12.4		10.2	6.3	14.4	14.5	14.5	8.1	14.5	28.2	13.7	10.9	9.2	8.5	13.2	10.3	18.1	10.1	10.1	61.1	8.4	10.2

Table 70

T58.5 Do you support or oppose the potential redevelopment of the following retail and commercial areas in Glenwood Springs?

WEST GLENWOOD MALL

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Support	702	248	134	240	183	122	362	358	228	55	178	134	139	120	131
	81.6	94.9	86.6	68.2	96.3	93.5	72.8	90.2	83.3	47.5	86.4	77.6	84.8	73.6	84.5
Total Oppose	141	8	19	108	5	6	127	36	40	58	24	35	22	40	20
	16.3	3.2	12.2	30.7	2.4	5.0	25.5	9.0	14.5	49.6	11.6	20.1	13.6	24.2	13.2
No Opinion	18	5	2	4	2	2	8	3	6	3	4	4	3	4	4
	2.1	2.0	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.5	1.7	0.8	2.2	2.9	2.1	2.4	1.6	2.2	2.3
Strongly Support	546	212	98	178	156	101	266	294	174	36	128	104	103	103	109
	63.4	81.0	62.9	50.3	82.4	78.0	53.4	74.2	63.3	31.3	61.8	60.5	62.7	62.8	70.1
Somewhat Support	156	36	37	63	26	20	96	63	55	19	51	29	36	18	22
	18.2	13.8	23.7	17.8	13.9	15.5	19.4	15.9	20.0	16.3	24.6	17.1	22.1	10.8	14.3
Somewhat Oppose	34	4	7	20	1	2	30	13	15	3	7	6	7	6	8
	3.9	1.4	4.3	5.5	0.3	1.5	6.0	3.4	5.4	2.7	3.4	3.7	4.1	3.7	5.0
Strongly Oppose	107	5	12	89	4	4	97	22	25	55	17	28	16	34	13
	12.4	1.8	7.9	25.2	2.1	3.4	19.5	5.7	9.1	46.9	8.2	16.4	9.5	20.5	8.2

Table 71

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of public safety in Glenwood Springs:

T59. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] The overall performance of the Glenwood Springs Police Department

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	577	297	279	21	167	78	89	143	80	63	193	99	94	52	189	240	148	446	109	22
Somewhat Satisfied	67.0	68.2	65.8	24.7	64.8	61.2	68.3	72.4	75.7	69.0	74.7	75.6	74.0	85.7	54.8	71.5	81.8	74.0	50.7	50.8
Extremely Dissatisfied	239	114	124	9	76	35	41	46	21	25	82	40	43	25	85	91	63	173	56	10
Somewhat Dissatisfied	27.7	26.3	29.2	10.4	29.5	27.3	31.8	23.2	19.9	27.1	31.9	30.3	33.8	41.5	24.7	27.0	34.8	28.7	26.0	22.6
Not Satisfied	338	182	155	12	91	43	48	98	59	38	110	59	51	27	103	150	85	273	53	12
Extremely Satisfied	39.3	41.9	36.6	14.3	35.3	33.9	36.5	49.2	55.8	41.9	42.8	45.3	40.2	44.2	30.0	44.5	47.1	45.3	24.7	28.2
Not Satisfied	89	57	32	27	23	8	15	12	6	6	23	13	9	4	51	26	13	51	36	3
Extremely Dissatisfied	10.4	13.2	7.5	31.8	9.0	6.7	11.3	6.3	5.9	6.9	8.7	10.0	7.4	6.0	14.7	7.8	7.0	8.4	16.7	6.4
Extremely Dissatisfied	92	36	55	25	28	18	10	23	10	12	14	7	7	1	53	33	6	36	43	12
No Opinion	10.7	8.3	13.0	29.0	10.8	14.5	7.3	11.7	9.7	13.6	5.5	5.5	5.2	2.3	15.4	9.7	3.4	6.0	20.0	29.0
No Opinion	103	45	58	12	40	22	17	19	9	10	29	12	17	4	52	37	14	70	27	6
	12.0	10.3	13.7	14.5	15.3	17.6	13.0	9.5	8.7	10.6	11.1	8.9	13.4	6.0	15.1	11.0	7.8	11.7	12.6	13.8

Table 71

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of public safety in Glenwood Springs:

T59. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] The overall performance of the Glenwood Springs Police Department

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	577	10	92	83	66	78	248	185	392	35	60	96	100	104	32	83	95	196	219	21	181	321
Somewhat Satisfied	67.0	66.4	59.6	75.0	70.7	70.1	65.8	66.1	67.4	74.1	55.7	65.3	75.4	79.4	58.9	67.1	61.3	70.1	70.9	38.2	76.7	66.3
Extremely Satisfied	239	4	36	32	21	36	110	72	167	19	20	36	41	46	12	33	39	77	92	12	77	127
	27.7	29.5	23.1	28.7	22.3	32.9	29.1	25.7	28.7	40.5	18.3	24.7	30.8	35.6	22.3	27.1	25.1	27.6	29.9	20.9	32.8	26.3
Somewhat Satisfied	338	5	56	52	45	41	139	113	225	16	40	60	59	57	20	49	56	119	127	10	103	193
	39.3	37.0	36.5	46.3	48.4	37.2	36.7	40.4	38.7	33.6	37.4	40.7	44.6	43.7	36.6	40.0	36.2	42.5	41.0	17.2	43.9	40.0
Not Satisfied	89	1	9	6	6	15	52	16	73	6	25	7	10	10	2	19	32	17	31	5	29	53
	10.4	8.6	5.7	5.6	6.3	13.5	13.8	5.8	12.6	13.2	23.6	4.6	7.8	7.8	3.9	15.2	20.4	6.1	10.1	8.4	12.4	10.9
Extremely Dissatisfied	92		23	9	10	10	39	32	60	4	16	4	14	6	6	7	20	19	18	29	10	43
	10.7		14.9	8.3	10.9	9.4	10.4	11.5	10.3	7.5	15.1	3.0	10.9	4.4	10.9	5.5	12.8	6.8	6.0	52.0	4.1	8.9
No Opinion	103	4	30	12	11	8	38	46	57	2	6	40	8	11	14	15	8	48	40	1	16	67
	12.0	25.0	19.8	11.1	12.0	7.0	10.0	16.6	9.8	5.2	5.6	27.0	5.9	8.5	26.3	12.2	5.5	17.0	13.1	1.4	6.8	13.9

Table 71

Please indicate your level of satisfaction with the following aspects of public safety in Glenwood Springs:

T59. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] The overall performance of the Glenwood Springs Police Department

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	577	175	124	219	106	111	337	271	209	62	138	121	111	103	104
Somewhat Satisfied	67.0	66.9	80.0	62.0	56.1	85.7	67.6	68.5	76.1	53.0	66.8	70.0	67.9	62.9	67.2
Extremely Satisfied	239	77	57	79	52	43	131	106	98	21	56	55	38	49	41
	27.7	29.3	36.4	22.3	27.6	32.9	26.4	26.8	35.8	17.8	27.2	31.9	23.1	29.7	26.5
Somewhat Satisfied	338	99	68	140	54	69	206	165	111	41	82	66	73	54	63
	39.3	37.7	43.6	39.7	28.6	52.8	41.3	41.6	40.4	35.2	39.5	38.1	44.8	33.2	40.8
Not Satisfied	89	44	15	26	41	6	39	46	18	14	15	32	23	7	12
	10.4	16.8	9.6	7.3	21.6	4.6	7.9	11.6	6.5	12.0	7.1	18.8	14.0	4.2	8.0
Extremely Dissatisfied	92	14	4	63	13	1	72	39	8	34	22	8	12	46	5
	10.7	5.4	2.5	17.8	6.6	1.0	14.6	9.9	3.1	28.8	10.5	4.6	7.1	28.1	3.0
No Opinion	103	28	12	46	30	11	49	40	39	7	32	11	18	8	34
	12.0	10.9	8.0	13.0	15.6	8.6	9.9	10.1	14.3	6.2	15.6	6.6	11.0	4.8	21.7

Table 72

T60. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] The visibility and presence of patrol cars in town

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	548	269	278	35	151	57	93	140	77	62	168	81	86	54	185	233	130	398	123	27
Somewhat Satisfied	63.7	61.8	65.5	40.1	58.4	44.8	71.6	70.7	73.1	67.9	65.2	62.1	68.3	90.3	53.8	69.4	71.8	66.1	57.1	62.7
Not Satisfied	201	87	114	9	78	30	48	40	18	21	60	28	32	15	87	78	37	145	47	10
Extremely Dissatisfied	23.4	20.0	26.9	10.4	30.1	23.6	36.6	20.1	17.2	23.6	23.0	21.0	25.2	25.5	25.2	23.1	20.6	24.0	21.7	23.1
Somewhat Dissatisfied	347	181	164	26	73	27	46	100	59	40	109	54	54	39	99	155	93	254	76	17
Extremely Dissatisfied	40.3	41.7	38.6	29.7	28.3	21.3	35.0	50.6	55.9	44.3	42.1	41.1	43.0	64.7	28.7	46.3	51.2	42.1	35.4	39.6
Not Satisfied	133	71	61	10	46	25	21	26	13	13	51	26	25		56	48	29	93	36	4
Extremely Dissatisfied	15.4	16.3	14.5	11.1	17.8	19.4	16.4	13.1	12.5	13.8	19.8	19.9	19.7		16.1	14.2	16.2	15.4	16.6	9.6
Extremely Dissatisfied	58	45	12		23	21	2	13	9	3	18	13	5	4	23	23	11	46	10	2
Extremely Dissatisfied	6.7	10.4	2.9		9.1	16.6	1.8	6.5	8.9	3.8	6.8	9.7	3.9	6.0	6.8	7.0	5.9	7.7	4.6	3.5
No Opinion	123	50	73	42	38	24	13	19	6	13	21	11	10	2	80	32	11	65	47	10
	14.2	11.5	17.1	48.8	14.7	19.2	10.2	9.6	5.5	14.5	8.3	8.4	8.2	3.7	23.2	9.5	6.0	10.9	21.7	24.2

Table 72

T60. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] The visibility and presence of patrol cars in town

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	201	3	44	23	23	30	78	70	131	21	30	32	35	27	8	25	51	67	60	12	78	92
	23.4	22.8	28.6	20.4	24.3	27.5	20.8	25.0	22.6	43.5	28.2	21.7	26.7	20.6	14.2	20.5	32.9	24.1	19.4	21.7	33.0	19.0
Somewhat Satisfied	347	6	41	58	44	43	155	105	241	16	47	58	50	53	31	52	63	108	137	23	110	181
	40.3	42.1	26.9	52.0	47.4	38.5	41.0	37.7	41.5	34.2	43.9	39.3	37.9	40.9	57.4	41.9	40.9	38.6	44.2	40.8	46.8	37.3
Not Satisfied	133	3	23	13	13	19	62	38	94	9	9	29	14	20	8	30	18	43	58	5	20	93
	15.4	19.2	14.7	11.6	14.3	17.3	16.4	13.7	16.2	18.5	8.4	19.8	10.8	15.6	14.1	23.9	11.5	15.5	18.7	9.7	8.5	19.2
Extremely Dissatisfied	58		18	8	5	5	22	26	32	1	13	2	7	12	2	6	14	8	20	15	5	36
	6.7		11.8	6.8	4.8	4.7	5.9	9.2	5.5	1.1	12.5	1.1	5.0	9.5	4.4	4.5	9.0	3.0	6.6	26.5	2.2	7.4
No Opinion	123	2	28	10	9	13	60	40	82	1	8	27	26	17	5	11	9	53	34	1	22	83
	14.2	15.9	18.0	9.2	9.2	12.1	16.0	14.4	14.2	2.8	7.0	18.1	19.7	13.3	9.9	9.2	5.7	18.9	11.1	1.4	9.5	17.1

Table 72

T60. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] The visibility and presence of patrol cars in town

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Satisfied	201 23.4	64 24.5	49 31.3	60 17.1	45 23.7	44 33.7	102 20.5	72 18.2	92 33.6	22 18.9	54 26.3	44 25.8	29 18.0	35 21.5	38 24.6
Somewhat Satisfied	347 40.3	110 42.2	72 46.5	131 37.3	74 38.9	62 47.7	196 39.4	158 40.0	113 41.4	50 42.8	80 38.8	82 47.7	64 39.2	56 34.5	64 41.2
Not Satisfied	133 15.4	44 16.8	19 12.2	58 16.5	36 19.1	11 8.3	83 16.6	62 15.6	39 14.4	21 18.1	28 13.4	26 15.0	36 21.7	25 15.0	19 12.2
Extremely Dissatisfied	58 6.7	9 3.5	8 5.1	36 10.1	8 4.4	6 4.4	39 7.9	23 5.7	10 3.6	19 16.2	13 6.1	7 4.2	11 6.8	20 11.9	7 4.6
No Opinion	123 14.2	34 13.0	8 4.9	67 19.1	27 14.0	8 6.0	78 15.6	81 20.5	20 7.1	5 3.9	32 15.3	13 7.4	23 14.2	28 17.0	27 17.5

Table 73

Questions About the Town Planning Department

T61. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Police coverage and presence

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	552	277	274	21	160	67	92	132	77	55	187	94	92	53	181	229	142	411	113	27
Somewhat Combined	64.1	63.7	64.6	24.7	61.8	52.6	70.7	66.4	72.4	60.2	72.3	71.8	73.0	87.5	52.5	68.1	78.6	68.3	52.7	63.0
Extremely Satisfied	200	106	94	6	71	35	35	40	22	17	65	33	32	18	77	77	46	146	45	9
	23.3	24.3	22.2	7.4	27.3	27.6	27.2	20.1	21.1	19.2	25.1	25.4	24.9	30.7	22.3	23.0	25.4	24.2	21.1	20.5
Somewhat Satisfied	352	171	180	15	89	32	57	92	54	37	122	61	61	34	104	151	96	265	68	18
	40.8	39.4	42.4	17.3	34.5	25.0	43.5	46.3	51.3	41.0	47.2	46.4	48.0	56.8	30.2	45.1	53.3	44.0	31.6	42.5
Not Satisfied	131	75	55	23	43	24	18	27	13	14	34	15	19	4	66	45	21	77	48	5
	15.2	17.3	13.0	26.5	16.6	19.1	14.2	13.8	12.4	15.3	13.3	11.6	15.0	6.0	19.1	13.3	11.4	12.8	22.5	12.5
Extremely Dissatisfied	49	35	13	2	20	20	0.4	17	8	8	10	7	3		22	23	3	30	15	4
	5.6	8.0	3.1	2.2	7.7	15.3		8.4	7.5	8.9	3.9	5.5	2.0		6.3	7.0	1.8	4.9	6.9	9.0
No Opinion	130	48	82	40	36	16	19	23	8	14	27	15	13	4	76	39	15	84	39	7
	15.1	11.0	19.3	46.6	13.9	12.9	14.8	11.4	7.7	15.7	10.6	11.1	10.0	6.5	22.1	11.6	8.2	14.0	17.9	15.5

Table 73

Questions About the Town Planning Department

T61. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Police coverage and presence

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	552	9	86	79	64	80	234	174	378	34	56	97	92	94	39	79	90	189	213	30	173	302
Somewhat Satisfied	64.1	59.9	55.9	71.2	68.5	72.4	62.0	62.2	65.0	71.9	52.0	66.1	69.1	72.5	71.6	64.3	58.1	67.5	69.0	54.1	73.4	62.5
Extremely Satisfied	200	3	35	25	15	30	92	63	137	15	20	30	34	36	10	32	35	64	77	8	70	104
Somewhat Satisfied	23.3	22.9	22.6	22.8	16.0	26.8	24.4	22.7	23.5	31.8	18.2	20.1	25.9	27.5	18.4	25.6	22.4	22.8	25.1	14.7	29.8	21.6
Extremely Satisfied	352	5	51	54	49	51	141	111	241	19	36	68	57	59	29	48	55	125	136	22	103	198
Somewhat Satisfied	40.8	37.0	33.4	48.5	52.5	45.6	37.5	39.6	41.5	40.1	33.8	46.0	43.2	45.0	53.2	38.7	35.7	44.6	43.9	39.4	43.5	40.9
Not Satisfied	131	1	22	14	12	14	68	37	94	10	26	17	19	16	7	19	36	35	42	7	37	73
Extremely Dissatisfied	15.2	6.6	14.2	12.5	13.3	12.4	18.0	13.2	16.2	20.1	24.4	11.2	14.0	12.3	12.7	15.2	23.1	12.5	13.5	11.9	15.8	15.2
Extremely Dissatisfied	49		16	9	6	3	15	25	24	1	15	3	5	7	1	4	16	8	12	18	5	24
Dissatisfied	5.6		10.1	8.1	6.1	2.9	4.0	8.8	4.1	1.1	14.3	2.0	4.0	5.5	1.1	3.2	10.3	3.0	3.8	32.7	2.2	4.9
No Opinion	130	5	30	9	11	14	61	44	85	3	10	31	17	13	8	21	13	48	42	1	20	84
	15.1	33.6	19.7	8.1	12.0	12.3	16.0	15.9	14.7	7.0	9.2	20.7	12.9	9.8	14.5	17.4	8.5	17.0	13.6	1.4	8.6	17.5

Table 73

Questions About the Town Planning Department

T61. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Police coverage and presence

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	552	168	120	210	105	106	319	248	203	72	145	109	98	102	98
Somewhat Satisfied	64.1	64.1	77.5	59.5	55.3	81.3	64.1	62.5	74.1	61.7	70.1	63.4	59.7	62.5	63.2
Extremely Satisfied	200	73	41	61	48	38	102	89	83	17	57	46	35	27	35
	23.3	27.8	26.5	17.4	25.4	29.3	20.6	22.5	30.4	14.9	27.7	26.7	21.4	16.6	22.5
Somewhat Satisfied	352	95	79	149	57	68	217	158	120	54	87	63	63	75	63
	40.8	36.4	51.0	42.1	29.9	52.0	43.6	40.0	43.7	46.8	42.3	36.7	38.3	45.9	40.8
Not Satisfied	131	49	20	48	48	8	68	68	39	19	27	40	31	14	19
	15.2	18.7	12.8	13.6	25.5	6.0	13.7	17.0	14.1	16.5	13.1	23.4	18.7	8.6	12.0
Extremely Dissatisfied	49	7	6	32	5	2	39	13	7	20	6	8	6	21	6
	5.6	2.5	3.6	9.0	2.5	1.9	7.8	3.4	2.4	17.1	3.1	4.9	3.9	12.9	4.0
No Opinion	130	38	10	63	32	14	71	68	26	6	28	14	29	26	32
	15.1	14.7	6.2	17.8	16.7	10.9	14.4	17.1	9.3	4.8	13.7	8.2	17.7	16.0	20.7

Table 74

T62. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Police response times to calls

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	437	228	207	32	112	52	60	106	60	46	146	73	72	40	145	187	106	296	121	21
Somewhat Satisfied	50.8	52.5	48.9	37.6	43.6	41.1	45.8	53.4	56.2	50.7	56.5	56.0	56.8	67.1	42.1	55.5	58.4	49.0	56.2	47.8
Not Satisfied	191	91	100	7	61	24	37	38	22	16	69	37	32	17	68	78	45	136	46	10
	22.2	20.9	23.5	8.1	23.5	18.6	28.4	19.1	20.9	17.2	26.6	27.9	25.3	27.5	19.7	23.2	24.9	22.5	21.2	22.2
Extremely Dissatisfied	246	138	108	25	52	29	23	68	37	30	77	37	40	24	77	108	61	160	75	11
Somewhat Dissatisfied	28.6	31.7	25.4	29.5	20.0	22.5	17.4	34.3	35.3	33.5	29.9	28.2	31.5	39.6	22.4	32.3	33.5	26.5	35.0	25.6
Extremely Dissatisfied	45	21	23		24	9	16	7	4	3	12	9	4	1	24	13	8	30	10	5
	5.2	4.9	5.5		9.4	6.8	11.9	3.6	3.7	2.9	4.8	6.6	2.9	2.2	7.0	3.9	4.3	5.0	4.7	10.8
Extremely Dissatisfied	27	19	8		15	14	2	7	2	5	6	4	2		15	10	2	15	11	1
	3.2	4.4	1.9		5.9	10.7	1.3	3.3	2.0	5.0	2.2	2.8	1.6		4.4	3.0	1.2	2.4	5.3	3.4
No Opinion	351	166	185	54	106	53	53	78	40	38	94	45	49	19	160	126	65	262	73	16
	40.8	38.1	43.7	62.4	41.2	41.4	41.0	39.6	38.1	41.4	36.5	34.6	38.7	30.7	46.5	37.5	36.1	43.5	33.7	38.0

Table 74

T62. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Police response times to calls

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	437	3	54	63	51	57	210	119	318	23	63	66	77	73	22	56	86	143	152	30	134	238
Somewhat Satisfied	50.8	19.3	35.1	56.2	54.8	51.3	55.6	42.7	54.6	48.0	58.8	44.8	58.2	56.2	40.5	45.7	55.5	51.2	49.2	54.6	57.0	49.2
Not Satisfied	191	1	32	32	17	24	85	65	126	13	24	33	32	33	9	25	37	65	68	9	67	96
	22.2	4.0	20.6	29.1	18.7	21.6	22.5	23.1	21.7	27.2	22.5	22.4	24.2	25.4	17.3	20.4	23.9	23.2	21.9	16.2	28.4	19.9
Extremely Dissatisfied	246	2	22	30	34	33	125	55	192	10	39	33	45	40	13	31	49	78	84	21	67	142
Somewhat Dissatisfied	28.6	15.3	14.6	27.1	36.1	29.7	33.1	19.6	33.0	20.8	36.2	22.4	34.1	30.8	23.2	25.3	31.5	28.0	27.2	38.4	28.6	29.3
Extremely Dissatisfied	45	1	11	3	4	7	19	15	30	7	3	8	8	7	2	3	10	15	13	5	16	22
	5.2	8.6	6.9	2.9	4.5	6.4	5.0	5.4	5.2	14.8	2.7	5.1	5.9	5.7	4.1	2.6	6.4	5.5	4.2	8.9	6.9	4.6
Extremely Dissatisfied	27		11	3	3	3	8	14	14	2	11	2	2	4		2	13	4	6	14	0.2	11
	3.2		6.9	2.7	2.8	3.0	2.1	4.9	2.4	3.5	10.6	1.4	1.3	2.7		1.9	8.5	1.3	1.9	25.4		2.2
No Opinion	351	11	79	42	35	44	141	132	220	16	30	72	46	46	30	62	46	118	138	6	85	213
	40.8	72.1	51.1	38.2	37.9	39.3	37.3	47.1	37.8	33.7	27.9	48.7	34.6	35.4	55.4	49.8	29.7	42.0	44.7	11.2	35.9	44.0

Table 74

T62. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Police response times to calls

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	437	141	81	168	103	73	248	210	140	70	101	101	78	80	78
Somewhat Satisfied	50.8	53.9	52.0	47.8	54.5	56.2	49.8	53.0	51.2	60.0	48.7	58.8	47.4	48.9	50.2
Extremely Satisfied	191	58	31	71	41	28	115	95	70	18	48	43	29	40	30
	22.2	22.2	20.0	20.3	21.7	21.9	23.1	24.1	25.7	15.6	23.3	25.0	17.9	24.6	19.4
Somewhat Satisfied	246	83	50	97	62	45	133	115	70	52	52	58	48	40	48
	28.6	31.6	32.0	27.5	32.8	34.3	26.8	28.9	25.5	44.4	25.3	33.8	29.5	24.3	30.8
Not Satisfied	45	24	2	15	16	5	22	22	12	10	14	12	7	8	5
	5.2	9.3	1.2	4.3	8.6	3.9	4.5	5.6	4.4	8.5	6.6	7.0	4.3	4.7	3.1
Extremely Dissatisfied	27	4	2	21	3	0.3	24	6	5	15	1	4	7	13	2
	3.2	1.4	1.3	6.0	1.4		4.9	1.5	1.7	12.6	0.3	2.3	4.6	8.2	1.3
No Opinion	351	93	71	148	67	52	203	158	117	22	92	55	72	63	70
	40.8	35.5	45.5	41.9	35.5	39.7	40.8	39.9	42.8	19.0	44.5	31.9	43.7	38.2	45.4

Table 75

T63. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Enforcement of traffic regulations

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861 100.0	435 100.0	424 100.0	86 100.0	258 100.0	127 100.0	131 100.0	198 100.0	106 100.0	91 100.0	258 100.0	131 100.0	127 100.0	60 100.0	344 100.0	336 100.0	181 100.0	603 100.0	215 100.0	43 100.0
Extremely Satisfied	466 54.2	234 53.7	232 54.7	41 48.2	137 53.0	55 43.3	81 62.3	105 53.1	54 51.1	51 55.9	141 54.6	68 52.1	72 57.3	42 69.2	178 51.8	186 55.4	102 56.4	325 53.9	116 53.8	25 59.1
Somewhat Satisfied	153 17.8	62 14.3	91 21.5	12 13.5	60 23.0	23 18.4	36 27.7	31 15.4	14 13.6	16 17.8	44 17.2	20 14.9	25 19.7	7 12.3	71 20.6	58 17.4	24 13.3	97 16.1	50 23.2	7 15.5
Not Satisfied	313 36.3	172 39.5	140 33.1	30 34.7	77 30.0	32 24.9	45 34.6	75 37.7	40 37.6	35 38.2	97 37.4	49 37.2	48 37.6	34 56.9	107 31.1	128 38.0	78 43.1	228 37.9	66 30.6	19 43.6
Extremely Dissatisfied	169 19.6	97 22.3	72 16.9	10 11.2	54 20.9	31 24.1	23 17.8	32 16.1	21 19.5	11 12.4	67 25.8	35 26.6	32 25.1	6 10.6	64 18.5	58 17.3	47 25.9	135 22.4	30 13.8	4 8.8
No Opinion	108 12.5	61 14.0	46 10.9	12 14.5	30 11.6	19 14.7	11 8.6	32 16.0	23 21.3	8 9.3	29 11.0	17 13.1	11 8.8	5 8.3	42 12.3	50 14.9	15 8.4	72 11.9	30 13.8	6 14.8
No Opinion	118 13.8	43 10.0	74 17.6	22 26.1	38 14.5	23 17.8	15 11.3	29 14.8	9 8.1	20 22.4	22 8.5	11 8.2	11 8.9	7 11.9	60 17.4	42 12.4	17 9.3	71 11.8	40 18.6	7 17.3

Table 75

T63. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Enforcement of traffic regulations

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	466	6	66	73	55	79	186	145	321	35	70	77	72	70	31	57	105	149	158	25	174	223
Somewhat Satisfied	54.2	43.3	43.0	65.7	59.0	71.4	49.4	52.0	55.2	74.6	64.9	52.4	53.9	53.7	56.7	46.0	67.9	53.1	51.2	45.5	73.8	46.1
Not Satisfied	153	3	25	21	20	31	53	50	104	14	22	27	21	25	8	18	36	48	52	6	55	80
	17.8	22.8	16.4	18.9	21.2	28.4	14.0	17.7	17.9	28.7	20.7	18.3	15.5	19.5	14.9	14.6	23.1	17.0	16.7	10.4	23.5	16.5
Extremely Dissatisfied	313	3	41	52	35	48	134	96	217	22	48	50	51	45	23	39	69	101	106	20	118	143
Somewhat Dissatisfied	36.3	20.4	26.6	46.8	37.8	43.0	35.5	34.3	37.3	46.0	44.1	34.1	38.4	34.2	41.8	31.4	44.7	36.1	34.4	35.1	50.3	29.5
No Opinion	169	1	33	19	17	18	81	52	116	3	18	33	29	23	13	33	21	62	69	4	29	124
	19.6	8.7	21.2	16.7	18.3	16.1	21.5	18.8	20.0	6.2	16.6	22.3	21.9	17.9	23.2	26.5	13.4	22.1	22.3	8.0	12.4	25.6
Extremely Dissatisfied	108	2	23	12	8	9	54	37	71	5	14	20	13	17	3	19	20	33	39	23	16	63
Somewhat Dissatisfied	12.5	10.6	15.1	11.0	8.9	7.7	14.3	13.2	12.2	11.3	13.3	13.4	9.7	13.2	4.7	15.3	12.7	11.6	12.5	41.9	6.6	13.0
No Opinion	118	6	32	7	13	5	55	45	74	4	6	18	19	20	8	15	9	37	43	3	17	74
	13.8	37.5	20.7	6.7	13.9	4.8	14.7	16.0	12.7	7.9	5.3	11.9	14.5	15.3	15.4	12.2	6.1	13.1	14.1	4.6	7.1	15.3

Table 75

T63. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Enforcement of traffic regulations

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	466	162	102	152	113	90	245	213	169	55	99	124	77	79	87
Somewhat Satisfied	54.2	61.8	65.6	43.1	59.7	69.2	49.1	53.8	61.8	47.1	47.9	71.9	47.3	48.3	56.1
Extremely Satisfied	153	48	38	45	37	34	75	65	59	19	33	46	23	24	28
	17.8	18.5	24.3	12.8	19.3	26.4	15.0	16.3	21.5	16.1	15.9	26.5	13.8	14.9	18.1
Somewhat Satisfied	313	113	64	107	77	56	170	149	110	36	66	78	55	55	59
	36.3	43.3	41.3	30.3	40.4	42.8	34.1	37.5	40.3	31.0	32.0	45.4	33.5	33.4	38.0
Not Satisfied	169	49	25	85	36	19	107	67	61	26	40	28	47	27	26
	19.6	18.7	16.1	24.2	19.2	14.5	21.4	16.8	22.1	22.1	19.4	16.4	29.0	16.3	16.9
Extremely Dissatisfied	108	15	15	71	12	9	84	50	18	32	27	10	18	26	26
	12.5	5.9	9.5	20.1	6.4	6.9	16.8	12.6	6.4	27.6	12.9	5.9	11.2	16.1	17.0
No Opinion	118	35	14	44	28	12	63	66	27	4	41	10	21	32	15
	13.8	13.5	8.8	12.6	14.7	9.3	12.7	16.8	9.7	3.2	19.8	5.8	12.5	19.3	10.0

Table 76

T64. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Enforcement of municipal codes (dogs, reducing party noise)

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	458	236	222	49	117	42	75	100	55	45	151	80	71	42	165	176	117	344	92	23
Somewhat Satisfied	53.2	54.2	52.4	56.4	45.2	32.9	57.4	50.7	51.8	49.8	58.5	61.0	56.0	69.2	48.0	52.3	65.0	57.0	42.8	52.8
Not Satisfied	147	48	98	10	52	11	41	31	17	14	47	19	27	8	62	57	29	100	40	7
	17.1	11.1	23.2	11.1	20.1	8.4	31.6	15.5	16.1	14.9	18.1	14.7	21.6	13.7	17.9	16.8	16.1	16.6	18.5	15.8
Extremely Dissatisfied	311	187	124	39	65	31	34	70	38	32	104	61	44	33	104	119	88	243	52	16
Somewhat Dissatisfied	36.2	43.1	29.2	45.2	25.1	24.5	25.8	35.2	35.7	34.8	40.4	46.3	34.4	55.5	30.1	35.5	48.9	40.3	24.2	37.0
Extremely Dissatisfied	105	54	50		34	20	14	32	17	15	33	14	19	6	34	48	22	71	29	5
	12.1	12.5	11.7		13.3	15.6	10.7	16.0	16.2	16.0	12.7	10.3	14.9	9.6	10.0	14.4	12.0	11.8	13.4	10.9
Extremely Dissatisfied	87	59	27	2	30	22	7	29	19	9	24	15	8	2	31	47	8	55	25	7
	10.0	13.5	6.3	2.2	11.4	17.3	5.7	14.7	18.0	10.0	9.2	11.8	6.5	3.8	9.1	13.9	4.6	9.1	11.7	15.3
No Opinion	212	86	125	36	78	43	34	37	15	22	51	22	29	10	113	65	33	134	69	9
	24.6	19.8	29.6	41.5	30.1	34.1	26.2	18.6	14.0	24.2	19.7	16.9	22.5	17.4	32.9	19.3	18.4	22.2	32.0	21.0

Table 76

T64. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Enforcement of municipal codes (dogs, reducing party noise)

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	147		23	19	20	26	60	42	105	16	17	22	23	22	6	22	33	44	50	5	58	72
	17.1		14.7	17.0	21.3	23.4	15.8	14.9	18.1	32.8	15.8	14.6	17.2	17.1	11.2	17.4	21.0	15.9	16.2	8.2	24.5	15.0
Somewhat Satisfied	311	5	44	46	23	49	145	95	217	19	46	51	52	48	18	47	65	103	112	21	108	160
	36.2	32.7	28.6	41.4	24.3	44.3	38.4	33.9	37.2	40.3	42.5	34.3	39.2	36.5	32.3	38.1	41.9	36.7	36.4	37.3	45.8	33.1
Not Satisfied	105	1	22	7	18	13	43	30	75	4	13	24	16	12	7	16	17	40	35	5	16	73
	12.1	5.5	14.6	5.9	19.6	11.6	11.5	10.7	12.8	8.4	11.9	16.0	12.4	9.0	13.6	12.6	10.8	14.3	11.2	8.9	6.8	15.2
Extremely Dissatisfied	87		23	16	12	5	30	39	47	6	16	3	10	11	10	16	23	13	37	23	13	47
	10.0		15.1	14.3	13.0	4.4	8.1	14.0	8.1	13.3	15.3	2.2	7.5	8.7	17.8	13.1	14.7	4.7	12.1	40.9	5.5	9.6
No Opinion	212	9	41	24	20	18	99	74	137	2	16	48	31	37	14	23	18	80	74	3	41	131
	24.6	61.8	26.9	21.4	21.7	16.4	26.2	26.6	23.6	5.2	14.5	32.8	23.7	28.7	25.1	18.7	11.7	28.5	24.1	4.6	17.4	27.2

Table 76

T64. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Enforcement of municipal codes (dogs, reducing party noise)

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Satisfied	147 17.1	37 14.3	39 25.2	52 14.8	26 13.5	33 25.6	85 17.0	52 13.0	64 23.5	21 18.1	35 16.9	40 23.1	20 12.1	24 14.7	28 18.3
Somewhat Satisfied	311 36.2	104 39.7	61 39.3	118 33.3	64 33.6	57 43.5	176 35.4	164 41.3	86 31.5	35 29.7	56 27.0	76 43.9	70 42.8	57 34.6	53 34.4
Not Satisfied	105 12.1	37 14.1	10 6.7	52 14.7	36 18.8	10 7.9	56 11.2	40 10.2	45 16.4	17 14.2	32 15.5	19 11.0	20 12.4	15 9.4	18 11.5
Extremely Dissatisfied	87 10.0	21 8.2	14 8.9	46 12.9	16 8.3	6 4.6	63 12.7	33 8.3	14 5.2	35 30.0	23 11.0	6 3.7	19 11.7	23 13.8	16 10.1
No Opinion	212 24.6	62 23.7	31 19.9	86 24.2	49 25.8	24 18.5	118 23.7	108 27.1	64 23.5	9 7.9	61 29.6	32 18.4	34 20.9	45 27.5	40 25.6

Table 77

T65. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] The overall performance of the Glenwood Springs Fire Department

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	718	349	366	74	196	79	117	174	96	76	220	109	111	54	270	286	162	512	167	39
Somewhat Satisfied	83.3	80.4	86.4	85.5	75.9	62.1	89.3	87.7	90.9	83.7	85.4	83.3	87.5	89.3	78.3	85.2	89.5	84.9	77.7	89.7
Not Satisfied	480	203	275	46	139	52	86	108	59	49	152	69	84	34	185	187	107	362	95	22
	55.7	46.8	64.9	53.7	53.7	41.2	65.8	54.5	55.4	53.5	59.0	52.5	66.0	56.7	53.7	55.7	59.4	60.1	44.3	50.5
Extremely Dissatisfied	238	146	91	27	57	27	31	66	38	27	68	40	27	20	85	99	55	149	72	17
Somewhat Dissatisfied	27.6	33.6	21.5	31.8	22.2	20.9	23.5	33.2	35.5	30.2	26.3	30.8	21.5	32.6	24.6	29.4	30.2	24.8	33.4	39.2
Not Satisfied	9	6	3	4	1	3	2	2	2	2	3	3		4	4	1	6	2	1	
	1.1	1.4	0.7	1.6	0.9	2.2	0.9	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.2	2.4		1.2	1.1	0.6	1.0	1.0	1.6	
Extremely Dissatisfied	21	20	1	17	17						3	2	1	1	17	2	2	4	16	0.8
Somewhat Dissatisfied	2.4	4.6	0.1	6.4	13.0						1.0	1.6	0.4	2.3	4.8	0.6	1.2	0.7	7.5	
No Opinion	114	59	54	12	42	30	11	23	8	15	32	17	15	5	54	44	16	81	30	3
	13.2	13.6	12.8	14.5	16.1	23.9	8.5	11.5	7.4	16.3	12.4	12.7	12.1	8.4	15.7	13.1	8.7	13.4	13.8	8.0

Table 77

T65. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] The overall performance of the Glenwood Springs Fire Department

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	718	9	117	92	78	98	323	218	499	45	90	110	114	104	43	103	135	224	250	40	211	402
Somewhat Satisfied	83.3	63.4	76.4	82.4	83.5	88.4	85.7	78.1	85.8	95.1	83.9	74.9	85.6	80.0	79.2	83.3	87.3	80.0	81.2	72.0	89.7	83.1
Extremely Satisfied	480	5	79	65	58	62	210	149	330	35	55	70	79	68	31	65	89	149	164	25	133	280
	55.7	35.8	51.5	58.5	62.0	55.9	55.7	53.4	56.8	73.3	50.7	47.7	59.2	52.0	57.1	52.5	57.6	53.2	53.1	45.0	56.4	57.9
Somewhat Satisfied	238	4	38	27	20	36	113	69	169	10	36	40	35	36	12	38	46	75	87	15	78	122
	27.6	27.6	25.0	23.9	21.4	32.5	30.0	24.7	29.1	21.7	33.2	27.2	26.4	28.0	22.1	30.8	29.7	26.8	28.0	27.0	33.3	25.2
Not Satisfied	9		1	1	2		5	2	7				3	2		2		3	5	2	3	4
	1.1		0.7	0.6	2.3		1.4	0.6	1.3				2.3	1.7		2.0		1.1	1.5	4.1	1.4	0.7
Extremely Dissatisfied	21		10		1	6	4	10	11	1	10	8		0.3		1	10	8	1	11	2	8
	2.4		6.4		0.9	5.7	0.9	3.5	1.8	1.1	9.1	5.3				0.6	6.6	2.8	0.3	20.1	0.7	1.6
No Opinion	114	5	25	19	12	6	45	50	64	2	8	29	16	24	11	17	9	45	52	2	19	71
	13.2	36.6	16.5	17.0	13.3	5.8	12.0	17.7	11.1	3.9	7.0	19.8	12.1	18.1	20.8	14.1	6.1	16.1	17.0	3.8	8.2	14.6

Table 77

T65. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] The overall performance of the Glenwood Springs Fire Department

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Extremely Satisfied	718 83.3	214 81.8	140 90.2	296 83.8	149 78.7	114 87.4	426 85.6	326 82.2	249 90.8	91 78.5	164 79.4	152 88.3	139 84.9	138 84.6	124 80.1
Somewhat Satisfied	480 55.7	115 44.1	99 63.7	215 60.9	81 42.7	80 61.8	299 60.1	203 51.2	184 67.0	58 50.0	109 52.7	94 54.8	94 57.7	91 55.8	91 58.5
Not Satisfied	238 27.6	99 37.7	41 26.6	81 22.9	68 36.0	33 25.6	127 25.5	123 31.0	65 23.8	33 28.5	55 26.7	58 33.5	45 27.2	47 28.8	34 21.6
Extremely Dissatisfied	9 1.1	1 0.5	2 1.2	6 1.7	1 0.7	1 0.9	7 1.3	5 1.3		4 3.4	1 0.5	3 2.0	3 2.0	1 0.4	1 0.4
No Opinion	21 2.4	6 2.4		14 3.9	7 3.7		13 2.7	8 2.0		11 9.6		2 1.3	1 0.9	16 9.9	1 0.5

Table 78

T66. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Fire and emergency response times to calls

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	558	298	258	43	164	84	80	127	71	56	177	89	88	46	208	217	133	387	141	30
Somewhat Satisfied	64.8	68.5	60.8	50.4	63.7	66.2	61.2	64.3	66.6	61.3	68.6	67.9	69.2	75.6	60.3	64.7	73.4	64.2	65.5	69.9
Not Satisfied	317	146	169	12	104	51	53	66	37	28	111	49	61	24	116	130	71	231	70	16
	36.8	33.7	39.9	13.5	40.4	40.4	40.2	33.2	35.1	30.8	42.9	37.5	48.6	40.4	33.7	38.6	39.3	38.3	32.4	37.6
Extremely Dissatisfied	241	152	88	32	60	33	27	62	33	28	66	40	26	21	92	87	62	156	71	14
Somewhat Dissatisfied	28.0	34.9	20.9	36.9	23.3	25.8	20.9	31.1	31.5	30.4	25.7	30.4	20.6	35.2	26.7	26.1	34.2	25.9	33.1	32.3
Extremely Dissatisfied	16	7	9		9	2	7	3	2	0.4	4	2	2		9	5	2	9	2	5
	1.9	1.7	2.1		3.6	1.9	5.3	1.4	2.3		1.6	1.9	1.3		2.7	1.6	0.9	1.5	1.0	11.6
Extremely Dissatisfied	7	4	2		1	1		1		1	4	3	1	1	1	3	3	7		0.8
	0.8	1.0	0.6		0.5	0.9		0.3		0.7	1.5	2.5	0.4	2.2	0.3	0.7	1.8	1.1		
No Opinion	280	125	155	43	83	39	44	67	33	34	73	36	37	13	126	111	43	200	72	8
	32.5	28.8	36.5	49.6	32.3	31.0	33.6	34.0	31.1	37.7	28.4	27.7	29.1	22.2	36.6	33.0	23.8	33.2	33.5	17.7

Table 78

T66. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Fire and emergency response times to calls

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	558	6	74	74	67	76	260	155	403	39	87	86	91	88	34	69	127	177	190	46	165	300
Somewhat Satisfied	64.8	41.4	48.2	67.0	71.4	68.7	69.0	55.3	69.3	82.7	81.1	58.4	68.1	67.3	61.7	55.6	81.6	63.0	61.6	82.3	70.1	62.0
Extremely Satisfied	317	3	48	44	37	47	137	95	221	25	52	49	48	44	18	45	76	97	108	27	93	173
	36.8	22.9	31.2	39.7	39.8	42.7	36.3	34.1	38.1	52.4	48.0	33.5	35.9	34.0	33.5	36.3	49.3	34.7	34.8	48.2	39.4	35.7
Somewhat Satisfied	241	3	26	30	29	29	123	59	182	14	36	37	43	43	15	24	50	79	83	19	72	127
	28.0	18.5	17.0	27.3	31.6	26.0	32.7	21.2	31.3	30.3	33.2	24.8	32.2	33.3	28.2	19.3	32.3	28.3	26.8	34.0	30.7	26.3
Not Satisfied	16		6			3	7	6	10			1	8		1	2		9	3	3	7	5
	1.9		4.2			2.9	1.7	2.3	1.7			0.8	5.9		0.9	2.0		3.2	1.0	6.3	2.8	1.1
Extremely Dissatisfied	7			1	1	1	3	1	6	1			1	2			1	1	2	2	0.2	5
	0.8			1.1	0.9	1.3	0.9	0.4	1.0	1.1			1.0	1.4			0.3	0.5	0.6	3.6		0.9
No Opinion	280	9	73	36	26	30	107	117	163	8	20	60	33	41	20	52	28	93	114	4	63	174
	32.5	58.6	47.6	32.0	27.7	27.2	28.3	42.0	28.0	16.2	18.9	40.8	25.0	31.3	37.4	42.4	18.1	33.3	36.8	7.9	26.9	35.9

Table 78

T66. [POLICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY] Fire and emergency response times to calls

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Extremely Satisfied	558	174	105	223	119	86	332	254	177	94	123	129	103	110	93
Somewhat Satisfied	64.8	66.4	67.7	63.2	62.7	66.5	66.8	64.1	64.7	80.3	59.3	74.9	62.8	67.5	60.1
Extremely Satisfied	317	88	66	125	55	51	197	121	118	58	69	66	56	61	65
	36.8	33.7	42.5	35.5	29.1	39.4	39.7	30.4	43.0	49.9	33.5	38.1	34.2	37.1	42.1
Somewhat Satisfied	241	85	39	98	64	35	135	133	59	35	53	63	47	50	28
	28.0	32.7	25.2	27.6	33.6	27.1	27.1	33.6	21.7	30.4	25.8	36.8	28.6	30.4	18.0
Not Satisfied	16	7	1	8	6	1	9	10	4	2	4	6	3		2
	1.9	2.7	0.8	2.2	3.1	0.9	1.8	2.6	1.4	1.8	2.2	3.5	1.9		1.6
Extremely Dissatisfied	7	2		5			7	2		4	1	1	1	2	2
	0.8	0.6		1.4			1.4	0.6		3.4	0.6	0.5	0.9	1.1	1.0
No Opinion	280	79	49	117	65	42	149	130	93	17	78	36	56	51	58
	32.5	30.3	31.6	33.2	34.2	32.6	30.0	32.7	33.8	14.5	37.9	21.0	34.4	31.4	37.3

Table 79

T67. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The City of Glenwood Springs is well prepared to respond to an emergency situation such as a wildfire or flood.

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Agree	460	215	243	43	145	59	85	112	56	55	127	65	61	34	187	186	87	332	104	25
	53.5	49.5	57.4	49.5	56.1	46.7	65.0	56.4	52.4	60.8	49.2	49.9	48.3	57.1	54.4	55.3	48.2	55.1	48.3	57.3
Total Disagree	324	177	146	41	92	58	34	71	40	31	99	46	53	20	133	117	74	203	104	16
	37.6	40.8	34.5	47.5	35.8	45.6	26.4	35.8	37.6	33.7	38.5	35.4	41.7	33.8	38.7	34.8	40.8	33.7	48.4	38.1
Unsure	77	42	34	3	21	10	11	16	11	5	32	19	13	5	24	33	20	68	7	2
No Opinion	8.9	9.8	8.1	3.0	8.1	7.7	8.6	7.8	9.9	5.5	12.4	14.7	10.0	9.1	6.9	9.9	11.0	11.2	3.3	4.6
Strongly Agree	138	53	83	4	55	21	33	40	18	21	32	12	20	6	59	63	16	75	55	7
	16.0	12.2	19.5	5.0	21.1	16.7	25.2	20.4	17.4	23.1	12.4	9.1	15.7	10.1	17.1	18.7	8.8	12.4	25.7	17.3
Somewhat Agree	323	162	160	38	90	38	52	71	37	34	95	53	41	28	129	123	71	257	49	17
	37.5	37.3	37.9	44.4	35.0	30.1	39.8	36.0	35.0	37.6	36.7	40.8	32.5	47.0	37.3	36.6	39.4	42.7	22.6	40.0
Strongly Disagree	178	93	84	41	44	25	19	30	15	16	56	28	28	6	85	53	39	98	71	8
	20.6	21.4	19.9	47.5	17.0	20.0	14.2	15.3	13.7	17.1	21.7	21.4	22.1	10.6	24.6	15.9	21.8	16.3	33.1	19.2
Somewhat Disagree	146	84	62		48	33	16	41	25	15	43	18	25	14	48	63	34	105	33	8
	17.0	19.3	14.6		18.7	25.6	12.2	20.5	23.9	16.6	16.7	14.0	19.7	23.2	14.1	18.9	19.0	17.4	15.3	18.9

Table 79

T67. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The City of Glenwood Springs is well prepared to respond to an emergency situation such as a wildfire or flood.

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Agree	460	7	92	66	52	72	172	166	295	40	59	67	77	57	28	77	99	144	162	47	146	232
	53.5	50.7	59.7	59.6	55.2	64.7	45.5	59.2	50.7	85.2	54.9	45.3	57.9	43.6	51.7	62.3	64.1	51.3	52.5	83.9	62.0	47.9
Total Disagree	324	2	43	38	36	29	176	83	241	6	44	64	44	57	18	42	49	107	117	8	74	220
	37.6	12.3	28.2	34.0	39.0	25.8	46.6	29.7	41.4	12.0	40.4	43.4	32.8	43.7	33.0	33.6	31.7	38.4	37.8	14.0	31.5	45.4
Unsure	77	5	18	7	5	11	30	31	46	1	5	17	12	17	8	5	6	29	30	1	15	32
No Opinion	8.9	36.9	12.0	6.3	5.7	9.6	7.9	11.1	7.9	2.8	4.7	11.3	9.3	12.7	15.3	4.1	4.1	10.3	9.7	2.0	6.5	6.7
Strongly Agree	138		39	13	24	23	39	52	86	13	30	12	18	20	9	21	43	29	50	32	38	53
	16.0		25.2	11.5	25.3	21.2	10.3	18.4	14.8	28.2	27.7	7.9	13.3	15.0	17.2	16.7	27.8	10.5	16.1	57.5	16.3	10.9
Somewhat Agree	323	7	53	54	28	48	133	114	209	27	29	55	59	37	19	56	56	114	113	15	108	179
	37.5	50.7	34.5	48.2	29.9	43.5	35.2	40.8	35.9	57.0	27.2	37.5	44.6	28.6	34.5	45.6	36.3	40.8	36.5	26.5	45.6	37.0
Strongly Disagree	178		14	24	14	11	114	38	140	2	25	23	21	31	10	25	27	44	66	5	41	127
	20.6		8.9	21.7	15.2	10.2	30.4	13.5	24.1	4.2	23.5	15.5	16.2	24.0	19.1	20.0	17.6	15.8	21.5	8.2	17.3	26.3
Somewhat Disagree	146	2	30	14	22	17	61	45	101	4	18	41	22	26	8	17	22	63	50	3	33	92
	17.0	12.3	19.3	12.4	23.8	15.6	16.3	16.2	17.4	7.8	16.9	27.9	16.6	19.7	13.9	13.6	14.1	22.6	16.2	5.8	14.2	19.1

Table 79

T67. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The City of Glenwood Springs is well prepared to respond to an emergency situation such as a wildfire or flood.

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Total Agree	460 53.5	131 50.1	90 58.0	187 53.1	86 45.4	94 72.4	258 51.9	193 48.6	150 54.9	74 63.4	132 63.7	68 39.3	99 60.3	83 50.9	79 51.2
Total Disagree	324 37.6	109 41.9	47 30.4	149 42.3	92 48.6	25 19.4	198 39.8	173 43.5	97 35.5	37 31.9	55 26.4	88 51.2	46 28.1	73 44.9	62 39.9
Unsure	77	21	18	16	11	11	41	31	26	5	20	16	19	7	14
No Opinion	8.9	8.1	11.6	4.6	6.1	8.2	8.3	7.8	9.7	4.7	9.9	9.6	11.6	4.2	8.9
Strongly Agree	138 16.0	25 9.4	28 18.2	68 19.2	23 12.0	27 20.4	84 16.9	32 8.1	45 16.3	51 43.6	42 20.4	22 13.0	23 13.8	36 22.0	15 9.4
Somewhat Agree	323 37.5	106 40.7	62 39.7	119 33.9	63 33.3	68 52.0	174 35.0	161 40.6	106 38.6	23 19.8	90 43.3	45 26.3	76 46.5	47 28.9	65 41.8
Strongly Disagree	178 20.6	59 22.4	26 16.8	88 24.9	47 24.9	4 2.9	125 25.0	114 28.7	36 13.0	23 19.4	19 9.4	59 34.2	21 12.6	49 29.9	30 19.3
Somewhat Disagree	146 17.0	51 19.4	21 13.7	62 17.5	45 23.7	21 16.5	73 14.8	59 14.8	62 22.4	15 12.5	35 17.0	29 17.0	25 15.5	25 15.1	32 20.5

Table 80

City Communications

T68. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The City of Glenwood Springs takes resident input into consideration when making decisions.

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Agree	315	143	171	13	102	38	64	86	46	40	85	38	47	27	116	133	66	245	51	18
	36.5	32.9	40.4	15.5	39.7	30.1	49.0	43.6	43.6	44.2	33.0	29.2	37.0	45.5	33.6	39.7	36.3	40.7	23.8	42.1
Total Disagree	483	264	217	66	130	79	51	99	52	45	159	89	69	29	196	178	109	312	147	24
	56.1	60.6	51.3	76.3	50.5	62.0	39.2	49.9	49.5	49.7	61.6	68.1	54.6	48.6	56.9	53.2	60.1	51.7	68.4	56.3
Unsure	63	28	35	7	25	10	15	13	7	6	14	4	11	4	33	24	7	46	17	1
No Opinion	7.3	6.4	8.3	8.2	9.9	7.9	11.8	6.5	6.9	6.1	5.5	2.7	8.4	5.8	9.4	7.2	3.7	7.6	7.8	1.6
Strongly Agree	62	29	33	5	20	9	11	15	7	8	16	10	6	5	25	25	12	48	9	4
	7.2	6.7	7.7	6.0	7.7	7.2	8.1	7.8	6.6	9.3	6.2	7.5	4.9	8.7	7.2	7.4	6.5	8.0	4.3	9.2
Somewhat Agree	253	114	139	8	83	29	53	71	39	32	69	28	41	22	91	108	54	197	42	14
	29.4	26.3	32.7	9.6	32.0	22.9	40.9	35.8	37.0	34.9	26.7	21.6	32.2	36.8	26.4	32.2	29.8	32.7	19.5	32.9
Strongly Disagree	260	136	122	33	72	50	23	52	26	25	87	51	36	15	105	97	57	151	94	15
	30.2	31.3	28.8	38.4	28.0	39.2	17.3	26.3	24.6	27.5	33.8	39.0	28.2	24.9	30.6	28.9	31.6	25.0	43.9	33.8
Somewhat Disagree	223	127	95	33	58	29	29	47	26	20	72	38	33	14	91	81	51	161	53	10
	25.9	29.3	22.5	37.9	22.5	22.8	21.9	23.6	24.9	22.2	27.8	29.1	26.4	23.7	26.3	24.3	28.4	26.7	24.5	22.5

Table 80

City Communications

T68. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The City of Glenwood Springs takes resident input into consideration when making decisions.

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Agree	315	10	71	53	36	40	104	134	180	23	39	51	39	50	24	59	62	90	133	13	140	135
	36.5	68.0	46.5	47.5	38.6	36.2	27.6	48.0	31.0	47.5	36.4	34.7	29.6	38.0	44.8	47.8	39.8	32.3	43.2	23.2	59.5	27.9
Total Disagree	483	1	70	50	49	57	255	122	362	22	59	85	84	70	26	54	81	169	150	42	86	326
	56.1	9.5	45.8	44.8	53.0	51.2	67.7	43.5	62.2	46.0	54.9	57.5	63.5	53.9	47.1	43.6	52.2	60.3	48.6	75.4	36.4	67.5
Unsure	63	3	12	9	8	14	18	24	39	3	9	12	9	10	4	11	12	21	26	1	10	23
No Opinion	7.3	22.5	7.7	7.7	8.3	12.6	4.7	8.5	6.8	6.5	8.7	7.8	6.9	8.0	8.1	8.6	8.0	7.4	8.3	1.4	4.1	4.7
Strongly Agree	62	2	17	11	4	10	18	31	31	1	9	4	13	9	2	16	10	17	28	4	36	17
	7.2	15.6	11.1	10.1	3.8	8.9	4.7	10.9	5.4	1.8	8.6	2.7	9.6	7.1	4.5	13.0	6.5	6.0	9.0	7.8	15.1	3.5
Somewhat Agree	253	8	54	42	33	30	86	104	149	22	30	47	27	40	22	43	52	74	105	9	105	118
	29.4	52.4	35.4	37.4	34.8	27.3	22.9	37.1	25.7	45.7	27.8	32.0	20.1	31.0	40.3	34.8	33.3	26.3	34.2	15.4	44.4	24.4
Strongly Disagree	260		29	26	21	30	153	55	205	13	22	44	34	39	13	31	35	78	83	40	21	188
	30.2		19.0	23.4	22.4	27.3	40.7	19.7	35.2	27.4	20.2	29.9	25.7	29.7	23.3	25.1	22.4	27.9	26.7	70.9	9.0	38.8
Somewhat Disagree	223	1	41	24	29	26	102	66	157	9	37	41	50	32	13	23	46	91	67	3	64	139
	25.9	9.5	26.8	21.4	30.6	23.9	27.0	23.7	27.0	18.6	34.7	27.5	37.7	24.2	23.8	18.5	29.8	32.4	21.8	4.5	27.4	28.7

Table 80

City Communications

T68. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? The City of Glenwood Springs takes resident input into consideration when making decisions.

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total Agree	315	101	82	94	63	80	158	144	130	20	79	56	65	51	63
	36.5	38.7	52.7	26.7	33.2	61.8	31.7	36.3	47.4	16.9	38.4	32.5	39.5	31.4	40.9
Total Disagree	483	140	64	245	116	40	309	238	122	94	114	98	79	107	85
	56.1	53.4	41.1	69.4	61.1	30.6	62.1	60.0	44.3	81.2	55.1	57.0	48.3	65.7	54.6
Unsure	63	21	10	14	11	10	31	15	23	2	13	18	20	5	7
No Opinion	7.3	7.9	6.2	4.0	5.7	7.5	6.2	3.7	8.3	1.9	6.5	10.4	12.2	2.9	4.5
Strongly Agree	62	24	15	11	16	21	23	24	31	2	17	14	12	8	11
	7.2	9.2	9.4	3.1	8.4	16.5	4.6	6.1	11.1	2.1	8.1	8.4	7.5	4.7	6.8
Somewhat Agree	253	77	67	83	47	59	135	120	99	17	63	42	52	44	53
	29.4	29.5	43.4	23.6	24.9	45.4	27.1	30.2	36.2	14.8	30.3	24.2	31.9	26.7	34.1
Strongly Disagree	260	52	32	154	45	15	193	139	46	65	58	41	36	76	49
	30.2	20.1	20.6	43.8	23.5	11.8	38.8	35.0	16.8	55.6	28.1	23.6	21.9	46.3	31.9
Somewhat Disagree	223	87	32	90	71	25	116	99	76	30	56	58	43	32	35
	25.9	33.3	20.5	25.6	37.6	18.9	23.3	25.0	27.6	25.6	27.0	33.4	26.4	19.4	22.6

Table 81

T69. How are you currently receiving information about the City of Glenwood Springs? Select all that apply.

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Local Newspaper	631	347	282	58	165	83	81	142	87	54	213	117	96	54	222	248	161	473	131	27
	73.3	79.8	66.6	66.8	63.7	65.3	62.1	71.7	82.1	59.4	82.5	89.1	75.7	89.6	64.5	74.0	89.0	78.5	60.8	63.1
Word of Mouth	433	210	221	55	119	59	59	102	49	53	134	60	74	22	174	171	88	316	102	15
	50.3	48.2	52.3	64.1	45.9	46.7	45.1	51.7	46.2	58.1	52.0	45.9	58.1	36.7	50.5	50.9	48.6	52.5	47.2	34.7
City Text Alerts	382	181	199	56	131	71	59	84	40	43	96	51	45	16	187	133	62	255	110	17
	44.3	41.7	47.0	64.9	50.7	56.0	45.3	42.4	37.9	47.4	37.0	38.5	35.3	25.7	54.3	39.5	34.5	42.3	51.0	39.6
Facebook	359	140	219	30	144	58	85	94	43	50	84	29	55	7	174	138	47	229	117	14
	41.8	32.1	51.7	35.2	55.8	45.9	65.4	47.3	40.7	55.3	32.5	21.9	43.3	12.4	50.7	41.1	26.0	38.0	54.1	32.0
City Website	297	189	107	9	98	65	33	84	51	33	88	53	34	18	107	130	60	214	73	11
	34.5	43.4	25.4	10.5	38.0	51.5	25.1	42.7	47.7	36.6	33.9	40.3	27.2	29.8	31.1	38.6	33.4	35.4	33.8	24.9
City Email Alerts	287	152	133		80	47	33	88	47	40	99	48	51	20	80	144	62	227	48	12
	33.3	34.9	31.5		31.0	36.7	25.2	44.5	44.3	44.5	38.3	36.5	40.0	32.8	23.2	43.0	34.5	37.6	22.4	27.5
Local Radio	216	106	108	10	68	32	36	56	27	28	72	36	35	10	78	91	47	168	41	6
	25.0	24.4	25.5	11.2	26.4	25.4	27.4	28.0	25.4	30.6	27.8	27.6	27.9	17.1	22.6	27.1	25.8	27.9	19.1	14.6
Utility Bill Stuffers	99	47	52		27	16	11	34	16	17	35	13	22	4	27	52	20	84	14	2
	11.5	10.8	12.4		10.5	12.8	8.3	16.9	15.5	18.8	13.4	9.8	17.2	6.7	7.9	15.6	11.0	13.9	6.4	4.3
Nextdoor	96	41	55		16	8	8	30	12	18	44	20	24	7	16	52	28	75	16	5
	11.2	9.5	13.0		6.3	6.4	6.2	15.1	11.4	19.4	17.0	15.0	19.1	10.9	4.7	15.5	15.6	12.4	7.6	12.6
Community Newsletters	58	26	32	4	19	11	8	17	6	11	14	7	8	3	24	25	10	37	20	1
	6.7	6.0	7.5	5.0	7.5	9.0	6.1	8.5	5.5	12.2	5.5	5.0	6.0	5.4	6.9	7.4	5.3	6.2	9.1	2.8
Twitter	27	16	11		14	9	6	8	4	5	2	1	1	2	14	10	3	20	7	
	3.1	3.6	2.6		5.5	6.7	4.4	4.3	3.6	5.1	0.7	0.7	0.5	3.9	4.1	2.9	1.7	3.4	3.1	
Information Posted at City Hall	12	9	3		2	1	1	8	6	2	3	2	0.3		2	9	1	7	5	
	1.4	2.2	0.6		0.6	0.6	0.6	4.0	6.1	1.7	1.0	1.6			0.4	2.8	0.6	1.2	2.2	
YouTube	10	5	5		0.2		0.4	4	2	2	4	2	1	2	0.1	4	6	10		1
	1.2	1.2	1.1					2.0	1.6	2.4	1.5	1.7	1.1	3.6		1.2	3.2	1.6		1.7
Other	31	15	16	3	10	4	5	4	2	2	13	8	5	1	12	11	7	25	4	2
	3.6	3.4	3.9	3.0	3.8	3.5	4.0	2.1	1.9	2.4	5.1	6.2	3.9	2.2	3.6	3.4	4.0	4.2	1.7	5.3

Table 81

T69. How are you currently receiving information about the City of Glenwood Springs? Select all that apply.

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Local Newspaper	631	7	98	82	59	70	315	187	444	32	69	106	93	102	44	94	100	199	239	28	182	367
	73.3	46.2	63.9	73.8	63.7	62.9	83.6	66.9	76.4	66.9	63.8	72.2	69.9	77.9	80.0	76.0	64.8	71.1	77.5	50.9	77.4	75.8
Word of Mouth	433	6	55	50	54	47	221	111	322	22	56	70	61	68	26	60	78	132	154	23	115	251
	50.3	39.1	35.8	44.8	58.1	42.4	58.5	39.5	55.4	46.8	52.2	47.7	46.2	51.8	47.9	48.7	50.5	46.9	49.9	42.0	48.7	51.8
City Text Alerts	382	5	90	47	44	47	150	142	240	15	39	69	59	71	22	54	54	127	146	15	100	226
	44.3	33.6	58.6	41.8	46.7	42.0	39.8	50.6	41.3	31.4	36.6	46.5	44.1	54.1	39.7	43.5	35.0	45.4	47.4	27.7	42.6	46.6
Facebook	359	3	65	46	46	59	141	114	246	16	34	75	60	54	27	48	50	135	129	12	80	243
	41.8	22.5	42.0	41.1	49.7	53.0	37.3	40.6	42.3	34.1	31.4	50.8	45.1	41.8	48.9	39.0	32.2	48.1	41.9	20.8	33.9	50.3
City Website	297	8	58	44	34	31	122	110	187	17	25	39	51	58	19	57	41	90	134	20	66	192
	34.5	56.5	37.8	39.2	36.2	27.9	32.5	39.3	32.2	34.8	23.1	26.8	38.2	44.4	35.6	45.9	26.7	32.2	43.4	36.7	27.9	39.6
City Email Alerts	287	6	50	44	32	35	120	100	187	6	34	39	40	58	25	56	39	79	140	17	80	164
	33.3	38.6	32.4	39.7	34.7	31.4	31.8	35.7	32.2	11.9	31.3	26.8	29.7	44.6	46.7	45.6	25.3	28.2	45.4	30.9	33.7	34.0
Local Radio	216	1	29	37	12	29	108	66	149	12	14	35	54	28	12	32	27	89	73	16	51	127
	25.0	5.5	18.6	33.3	13.0	26.2	28.6	23.8	25.6	26.3	13.3	24.0	40.6	21.3	22.5	26.3	17.2	31.8	23.5	29.5	21.8	26.2
Utility Bill Stuffers	99	1	13	17	14	13	42	31	69	6	7	16	19	17	7	18	12	36	42	1	31	60
	11.5	3.9	8.3	15.5	15.0	11.6	11.1	10.9	11.8	11.9	6.3	11.1	14.5	13.1	13.3	14.7	8.0	12.7	13.8	1.1	13.2	12.3
Nextdoor	96	3	21	13	7	8	44	37	59	3	3	14	19	18	7	20	6	33	44	3	26	53
	11.2	19.3	14.0	11.6	7.6	7.7	11.6	13.3	10.2	6.0	3.2	9.3	14.3	13.6	12.6	15.9	4.1	11.7	14.3	6.3	11.0	10.9
Community Newsletters	58		10	8	3	7	30	18	40	5	7	8	8	15	4	7	12	16	26	1	8	42
	6.7		6.8	7.2	2.8	6.1	8.0	6.6	6.8	10.7	6.3	5.7	6.0	11.4	6.8	5.6	7.7	5.9	8.3	1.9	3.5	8.6
Twitter	27	3	6	6	2	1	9	15	12	1.0	1	6	6	1	2	5	1	12	8	1	2	19
	3.1	17.0	3.8	5.8	2.5	0.6	2.4	5.3	2.1	0.8	3.8	4.7	1.0	4.1	4.0	0.8	4.2	2.7	1.8	1.0	3.9	
Information Posted at City Hall	12		4	1		5	2	5	7	1		1	3	6		1	1	4	7	2	1	8
	1.4		2.3	1.1		4.3	0.7	1.7	1.3	2.2		1.0	2.1	4.7		0.5	0.7	1.5	2.2	3.1	0.6	1.7
YouTube	10		1	1	0.5	0.3	7	3	8			3	1	1	1	3		4	5	1	4	6
	1.2		0.8	1.2			1.9	0.9	1.4			2.1	0.7	0.9	1.0	2.3		1.5	1.5	1.8	1.5	1.2
Other	31		9	7	2	5	8	16	15		4	3	3	8	2	6	4	6	16		7	19
	3.6		5.6	6.7	2.0	4.3	2.2	5.7	2.6		3.6	2.0	2.2	6.0	3.7	4.7	2.5	2.1	5.1		2.8	3.9

Table 81

T69. How are you currently receiving information about the City of Glenwood Springs? Select all that apply.

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Local Newspaper	631 73.3	192 73.4	118 75.7	265 75.3	136 71.6	98 75.3	371 74.4	309 77.9	204 74.5	65 55.5	139 67.2	134 77.5	122 74.3	130 79.5	107 69.3
Word of Mouth	433 50.3	138 52.6	90 57.8	168 47.5	90 47.7	67 51.7	253 50.9	221 55.7	121 44.0	54 46.0	95 46.0	110 64.0	80 49.2	73 44.6	74 47.7
City Text Alerts	382 44.3	112 42.9	61 39.1	155 43.9	87 46.1	51 39.2	219 44.0	180 45.4	127 46.4	34 29.1	103 49.9	50 28.9	77 47.2	74 45.3	78 50.1
Facebook	359 41.8	115 43.9	61 39.1	151 42.8	83 43.9	51 39.3	209 42.1	183 46.2	123 44.8	35 29.9	99 48.0	66 38.4	54 33.1	74 45.1	66 42.7
City Website	297 34.5	100 38.1	58 37.5	115 32.6	71 37.5	50 38.6	160 32.2	128 32.3	101 36.8	44 37.6	67 32.6	45 26.1	62 38.0	64 38.9	59 38.1
City Email Alerts	287 33.3	74 28.4	69 44.7	111 31.5	41 21.8	50 38.6	175 35.1	123 30.9	108 39.3	39 33.2	68 32.8	47 27.5	56 34.3	53 32.7	62 40.1
Local Radio	216 25.0	57 21.9	43 27.9	96 27.2	36 18.9	30 22.8	142 28.4	107 27.1	64 23.5	29 25.1	33 16.1	44 25.3	50 30.6	49 29.7	40 25.9
Utility Bill Stuffers	99 11.5	28 10.6	27 17.5	35 9.8	14 7.5	22 16.9	57 11.5	37 9.4	38 13.7	16 13.7	26 12.5	16 9.4	23 14.0	15 9.4	19 12.3
Nextdoor	96 11.2	27 10.1	13 8.3	40 11.3	16 8.3	14 10.7	59 11.9	57 14.3	25 9.1	5 4.4	27 13.0	9 5.0	23 13.8	20 12.1	19 12.0
Community Newsletters	58 6.7	18 6.8	10 6.5	24 6.8	16 8.4	3 2.2	34 6.8	35 8.9	11 4.0	6 5.4	19 9.2	7 4.2	14 8.5	9 5.7	9 5.5
Twitter	27 3.1	11 4.0	7 4.2	7 1.9	7 4.0	6 4.2	10 1.9	19 4.7	2 0.7	1 0.9	12 5.8	3 1.5	4 2.3	5 2.9	4 2.6
Information Posted at City Hall	12 1.4	1 0.5	7 4.2	3 0.9	2 1.1	6 4.3	4 0.7	3 0.7	8 3.1	1 0.6	1 0.3	1 0.7	2 1.3	6 3.9	2 1.1
YouTube	10 1.2	3 1.3	1 0.4	7 1.9	1 0.3	1 0.8	9 1.8	8 2.0	2 0.6	1 0.9	3 1.4	4 2.5	1 0.7	2 1.1	0.2
Other	31 3.6	8 3.1	12 7.8	9 2.5	4 2.4	9 6.6	16 3.2	9 2.2	14 5.1	5 4.4	9 4.5	4 2.6	7 4.3	3 1.6	8 4.9

Table 82

Demographic Questions

D1. How long have you lived in Glenwood Springs?

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Less than a Year	15	11	4	2	8	7	1	3	3	1				1	10	3	1	13		2
	1.7	2.6	0.8	2.4	3.1	5.3	0.9	1.7	2.4	1.0				2.2	2.9	1.0	0.7	2.1		4.7
1 to 5 Years	154	80	74	2	91	50	41	30	15	15	25	12	13	6	92	47	14	99	43	12
	17.9	18.3	17.5	2.2	35.1	39.0	31.4	15.0	13.9	16.4	9.7	9.5	9.9	10.7	26.9	14.1	7.7	16.4	19.9	27.9
6 to 10 Years	111	56	55	3	47	25	22	32	15	17	26	14	12	4	50	50	11	91	16	4
	12.9	12.8	13.1	3.0	18.2	19.6	16.9	16.1	14.3	18.5	10.1	10.8	9.3	5.9	14.4	15.0	6.2	15.1	7.4	9.9
11 to 15 Years	93	47	46		31	10	21	37	26	11	19	7	12	6	31	52	10	57	29	7
	10.8	10.9	10.7		12.0	7.7	16.2	18.9	24.2	12.3	7.4	5.0	9.8	9.9	9.0	15.6	5.6	9.5	13.5	16.7
16 to 20 Years	111	54	57	19	27	10	17	33	16	17	23	9	15	8	47	49	15	72	32	7
	12.9	12.5	13.3	22.4	10.6	8.0	13.2	16.8	15.3	18.5	9.0	6.6	11.6	12.9	13.5	14.7	8.4	11.9	15.0	15.9
More than 20 Years	377	187	189	60	54	26	28	62	32	30	165	89	75	35	115	133	129	272	95	11
	43.8	43.0	44.5	70.1	21.1	20.5	21.3	31.5	29.9	33.3	63.8	68.0	59.4	58.3	33.3	39.7	71.3	45.0	44.1	25.0

Table 82

Demographic Questions

D1. How long have you lived in Glenwood Springs?

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Less than a Year	15	15						15		2		6		2	1	3	2	6	7	2	3	5
	1.7	100.0						5.3		4.3		4.0		1.5	2.4	2.6	1.3	2.1	2.1	3.6	1.4	1.0
1 to 5 Years	154		154					154		2	29	31	40	19	8	18	31	72	45	13	48	71
	17.9		100.0					55.0		5.3	26.7	21.3	30.3	14.4	15.4	14.4	20.1	25.6	14.6	23.1	20.3	14.7
6 to 10 Years	111			111				111		9	7	17	10	20	9	23	16	28	52	8	24	72
	12.9			100.0				39.8		19.5	6.6	11.8	7.8	15.7	15.7	18.8	10.5	9.9	16.9	14.8	10.3	14.8
11 to 15 Years	93				93					93	5	9	7	13	22	12	17	14	20	5	35	42
	10.8				100.0					16.1	10.7	8.5	4.6	10.1	16.8	21.7	13.6	9.1	7.2	16.4	8.2	14.8
16 to 20 Years	111					111				111	5	11	19	21	18	7	17	15	41	42	9	37
	12.9					100.0				19.1	9.5	9.8	13.1	16.0	13.7	13.1	14.0	9.7	14.5	13.7	16.3	15.9
More than 20 Years	377						377			377	24	52	67	47	49	17	45	76	114	112	19	88
	43.8						100.0			64.9	50.7	48.5	45.2	35.7	37.9	31.6	36.5	49.2	40.7	36.2	34.0	37.4

Table 82

Demographic Questions

D1. How long have you lived in Glenwood Springs?

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Less than a Year	15 1.7	6 2.1	3 1.7	2 0.6	5 2.5	4 2.7	2 0.4	5 1.2	5 2.0	1 0.5	5 2.2	1 0.3	5 2.8	1 0.8	4 2.4
1 to 5 Years	154 17.9	60 22.8	23 14.6	42 12.0	60 31.5	31 23.6	47 9.4	61 15.5	54 19.7	18 15.8	66 31.8	17 10.1	22 13.3	23 13.8	26 17.1
6 to 10 Years	111 12.9	38 14.4	27 17.2	33 9.3	22 11.5	25 18.9	57 11.5	58 14.7	34 12.4	13 11.0	22 10.8	13 7.5	26 15.9	24 14.9	26 16.5
11 to 15 Years	93 10.8	29 11.1	22 13.9	35 9.8	17 9.1	19 14.7	55 11.1	29 7.4	29 10.6	23 19.3	19 9.3	26 14.9	10 6.3	16 9.5	23 14.7
16 to 20 Years	111 12.9	37 14.1	32 20.7	30 8.6	23 12.2	30 23.0	53 10.7	47 11.9	34 12.5	18 15.2	16 7.9	28 16.0	33 20.3	19 11.7	15 9.4
More than 20 Years	377 43.8	93 35.5	50 31.9	210 59.7	63 33.1	22 17.0	283 56.8	195 49.3	117 42.8	44 38.2	78 38.0	88 51.2	68 41.5	81 49.3	62 39.9

Table 83

D2. Are you between the ages of:

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
18-24	86	36	50	86										86				29	53	4
	10.0	8.4	11.7	100.0										25.0				4.8	24.8	9.1
25-29	86	47	39		86	47	39							86				27	52	7
	10.0	10.7	9.2		33.3	36.7	29.9							25.0				4.5	24.1	15.8
30-39	172	81	91		172	81	91							172				116	53	3
	20.0	18.5	21.6		66.7	63.3	70.1							50.0				19.2	24.8	6.6
40-49	138	70	67					138	70	67						138		97	34	7
	16.0	16.2	15.7					69.6	66.3	73.5						41.0		16.0	15.8	16.6
50-54	60	36	24					60	36	24						60		44	6	10
	7.0	8.2	5.7					30.4	33.7	26.5						17.9		7.2	2.9	24.0
55-64	138	58	80								138	58	80			138		120	9	9
	16.0	13.2	18.8								53.3	43.9	63.0			41.0		20.0	4.0	19.9
65-74	121	74	47								121	74	47				121	110	8	3
	14.0	16.9	11.1								46.7	56.1	37.0				66.7	18.2	3.5	7.5
75 or Older	60	34	26											60		60	60			0.5
	7.0	7.8	6.1											100.0		33.3	10.0			

Table 83

D2. Are you between the ages of:

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
18-24	86	2	2	3		19	60	7	80	6	25	15	10	3		7	31	25	10	5	29	50
	10.0	13.8	1.2	2.4		17.4	16.0	2.3	13.7	13.4	23.0	10.2	7.2	2.0		5.7	20.1	8.8	3.1	8.3	12.4	10.3
25-29	86	2	48	9	3	4	20	59	27	9	24	17	18	15			33	35	15	11	16	49
	10.0	13.4	31.3	8.1	3.4	3.8	5.2	21.1	4.6	18.6	22.4	11.5	13.3	11.1			21.2	12.4	4.7	19.7	6.8	10.2
30-39	172	6	43	38	28	23	35	87	86	8	13	43	30	30	12	31	21	73	73	7	47	103
	20.0	40.3	27.7	34.2	29.8	20.9	9.2	30.9	14.7	15.9	12.4	29.0	22.8	23.2	21.4	24.8	13.5	26.1	23.5	12.9	20.1	21.3
40-49	138	3	21	26	29	24	35	50	88	3	7	14	23	27	16	32	10	37	74	12	32	81
	16.0	23.5	13.7	23.0	31.1	21.6	9.2	17.9	15.1	6.1	6.4	9.6	17.1	20.4	29.1	25.5	6.3	13.2	24.0	22.3	13.5	16.8
50-54	60		9	6	8	9	28	15	45	1	3	9	11	11	3	15	4	20	29	3	18	27
	7.0		5.6	5.7	9.1	8.3	7.3	5.4	7.8	1.8	2.8	6.2	8.4	8.5	5.9	11.8	2.5	7.2	9.4	5.8	7.5	5.5
55-64	138		18	18	15	16	71	36	102	8	18	19	13	24	16	26	26	32	65	8	38	77
	16.0		11.4	16.5	15.8	14.5	18.8	12.8	17.5	16.5	16.7	12.8	9.8	18.0	28.6	21.2	16.6	11.3	21.2	14.3	16.2	15.8
65-74	121		8	8	4	7	94	15	105	8	14	17	16	15	8	11	22	33	34	6	31	73
	14.0		4.9	6.9	4.5	6.6	24.9	5.4	18.1	16.2	12.9	11.6	11.9	11.5	15.0	8.5	13.9	11.7	10.9	11.6	13.1	15.0
75 or Older	60	1	6	4	6	8	35	11	49	6	4	13	13	7		3	9	26	10	3	24	25
	7.0	9.1	4.2	3.2	6.4	7.0	9.3	4.1	8.4	11.6	3.4	9.1	9.4	5.2		2.4	5.9	9.3	3.2	5.0	10.3	5.1

Table 83

D2. Are you between the ages of:

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
18-24	86 10.0	30 11.5	7 4.7	44 12.6	33 17.2	5 3.6	49 9.8	70 17.6	2 0.7	3 2.3	2 0.9	23 13.2	14 8.6	25 15.2	22 14.4
25-29	86 10.0	31 11.9	7 4.5	39 11.0	39 20.5	6 4.3	39 7.8	40 10.1	28 10.3	13 11.5	43 21.0	11 6.6	6 3.5	21 12.9	4 2.9
30-39	172 20.0	73 27.7	31 20.2	52 14.7	40 21.2	29 22.5	93 18.6	60 15.1	71 26.0	29 24.9	42 20.3	37 21.6	26 15.7	31 19.2	36 23.2
40-49	138 16.0	41 15.8	33 21.5	49 13.9	26 13.9	34 26.2	69 13.9	58 14.7	48 17.7	20 16.9	31 14.8	24 13.9	23 14.0	27 16.7	33 21.3
50-54	60 7.0	25 9.4	9 6.0	19 5.3	17 8.8	11 8.7	28 5.5	27 6.9	20 7.1	7 6.3	17 8.0	4 2.1	18 11.2	6 3.8	16 10.0
55-64	138 16.0	28 10.8	30 19.0	55 15.7	18 9.7	22 17.1	90 18.0	58 14.7	50 18.1	15 13.3	38 18.5	26 15.1	40 24.2	17 10.6	17 10.7
65-74	121 14.0	24 9.4	22 13.9	69 19.6	10 5.2	12 9.5	93 18.7	58 14.5	34 12.4	22 18.6	21 10.4	33 19.2	28 17.3	20 12.3	17 11.3
75 or Older	60 7.0	9 3.5	16 10.2	25 7.2	6 3.4	11 8.1	38 7.6	25 6.3	21 7.6	7 6.3	13 6.1	14 8.3	9 5.4	15 9.3	9 6.1

Table 84

D3. Last year, what was your total family income before taxes?

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Less than \$30,000	47	8	39	6	16	1	15	4	2	2	15	3	13	6	23	12	13	29	16	2
	5.5	1.9	9.2	7.4	6.3	1.1	11.5	1.9	1.8	1.7	6.0	2.2	10.0	9.1	6.6	3.4	7.3	4.8	7.4	5.6
\$31,000-\$49,000	108	56	51	25	37	18	20	10	2	8	32	13	19	4	62	28	18	51	54	3
	12.5	12.9	12.0	28.8	14.5	13.8	15.0	5.0	1.7	8.9	12.3	9.9	14.8	6.1	18.1	8.3	9.7	8.4	24.9	7.9
\$50,000-\$74,000	147	67	80	15	60	33	27	23	12	11	36	15	20	13	75	42	31	95	45	8
	17.1	15.4	18.9	17.5	23.1	25.7	20.7	11.7	11.4	12.2	13.9	11.8	15.9	22.3	21.7	12.5	16.9	15.7	20.7	18.5
\$75,000-\$99,000	133	61	72	10	48	16	32	34	15	19	29	14	15	13	58	47	28	97	27	9
	15.4	13.9	17.0	11.1	18.6	12.5	24.5	17.2	14.0	21.0	11.1	10.6	11.8	20.8	16.7	14.0	15.7	16.1	12.7	20.0
\$100,000-\$124,000	130	83	47	3	45	30	15	38	25	12	39	23	16	7	47	61	22	96	32	2
	15.1	19.1	11.0	3.0	17.3	23.2	11.7	19.0	23.2	13.6	14.9	17.5	12.3	11.4	13.8	18.2	12.1	15.9	15.0	5.3
\$125,000-\$150,000	55	28	26		12	8	4	19	10	9	24	10	14		12	35	8	48		6
	6.3	6.5	6.2		4.5	6.4	2.7	9.7	9.7	9.6	9.2	7.3	11.2		3.4	10.3	4.5	8.0		15.1
More than \$150,000	124	83	41	7	31	19	11	46	31	15	37	22	14	3	38	72	14	108	13	2
	14.4	19.0	9.6	8.2	11.9	15.2	8.7	23.3	29.5	16.4	14.2	17.0	11.4	5.0	10.9	21.6	7.5	17.9	6.1	5.7
Refused	117	49	68	21	10	3	7	24	9	15	47	31	16	15	30	39	48	79	28	9
	13.6	11.3	16.0	23.9	3.7	2.1	5.3	12.3	8.7	16.6	18.3	23.7	12.6	25.4	8.8	11.7	26.4	13.2	13.2	22.1

Table 84

D3. Last year, what was your total family income before taxes?

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Less than \$30,000	47	2	2	9	5	5	24	14	34	47							47			4	8	31
	5.5	13.8	1.6	8.3	5.4	4.1	6.4	4.9	5.8	100.0							30.6			6.5	3.3	6.4
\$31,000-\$49,000	108		29	7	9	11	52	36	72		108						108			12	46	38
	12.5		18.7	6.4	9.8	9.5	13.8	12.8	12.4		100.0						69.4			21.2	19.5	7.8
\$50,000-\$74,000	147	6	31	17	7	19	67	55	93			147							147	5	28	97
	17.1	39.5	20.4	15.7	7.2	17.4	17.7	19.5	15.9			100.0							52.6	9.7	11.7	20.2
\$75,000-\$99,000	133		40	10	13	21	47	51	82				133						133	5	42	70
	15.4		26.2	9.3	14.4	19.2	12.6	18.1	14.1				100.0						47.4	8.5	17.7	14.4
\$100,000-\$124,000	130	2	19	20	22	18	49	41	89					130					130	6	28	82
	15.1	13.4	12.2	18.4	23.5	16.2	13.1	14.7	15.4					100.0					42.3	10.6	11.7	17.0
\$125,000-\$150,000	55	1	8	9	12	7	17	18	36						55				55	5	17	26
	6.3	9.0	5.5	7.7	12.7	6.4	4.6	6.6	6.2						100.0				17.7	8.4	7.4	5.3
More than \$150,000	124	3	18	23	17	17	45	44	79							124			124	12	38	64
	14.4	21.8	11.6	20.9	18.1	15.6	12.0	15.8	13.6							100.0			40.1	21.6	16.2	13.2
Refused	117	2.5	6	15	8	13	75	21	96											8	29	76
	13.6		3.8	13.3	8.9	11.5	19.9	7.5	16.5											13.5	12.4	15.7

Table 84

D3. Last year, what was your total family income before taxes?

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Less than \$30,000	47 5.5	10 3.9	6 4.0	27 7.5	10 5.1	8 6.1	29 5.8	21 5.2	14 5.0	7 6.0	9 4.3	8 4.9	6 3.9	18 11.0	6 3.7
\$31,000-\$49,000	108 12.5	43 16.5	8 5.5	42 11.9	35 18.5	11 8.5	60 12.0	44 11.0	30 11.1	26 22.2	22 10.7	38 22.0	16 9.9	16 9.8	15 10.0
\$50,000-\$74,000	147 17.1	41 15.6	24 15.6	69 19.5	43 22.8	16 12.4	80 16.0	73 18.3	50 18.1	9 7.8	41 20.0	29 17.0	20 12.1	26 16.1	31 19.8
\$75,000-\$99,000	133 15.4	42 16.2	18 11.6	50 14.2	29 15.2	11 8.1	82 16.6	58 14.7	44 16.2	20 17.4	40 19.5	28 16.2	28 17.4	17 10.2	19 12.6
\$100,000-\$124,000	130 15.1	43 16.4	29 18.8	44 12.4	28 15.0	32 24.8	61 12.3	61 15.3	47 17.1	13 11.1	31 14.9	16 9.2	25 15.2	27 16.7	32 20.4
\$125,000-\$150,000	55 6.3	19 7.4	12 7.9	20 5.6	7 3.9	10 7.9	35 7.0	23 5.9	22 8.1	7 5.9	15 7.1	8 4.7	10 6.2	9 5.4	13 8.3
More than \$150,000	124 14.4	45 17.4	33 21.5	34 9.7	26 13.8	29 22.1	62 12.5	56 14.2	35 12.6	19 16.7	28 13.6	26 15.2	33 19.9	12 7.2	25 16.1
Refused	117 13.6	18 6.8	23 15.0	67 19.1	11 5.5	13 10.0	89 17.9	61 15.4	32 11.8	15 12.9	21 9.9	19 10.8	25 15.5	38 23.5	14 9.2

Table 85

D4. Which race or ethnicity do you most identify with?

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
White, Non-Hispanic	603	333	268	29	143	85	57	140	79	61	230	121	109	60	172	261	170	603		
	70.0	76.6	63.4	33.5	55.5	67.0	44.0	70.9	74.4	67.4	89.1	92.1	86.1	99.6	50.0	77.7	93.9	100.0		
Hispanic, All Races	215	80	135	53	105	39	67	40	16	24	16	6	11	159	49	8			215	
	25.0	18.4	31.8	62.0	40.8	30.4	51.2	20.3	14.7	26.4	6.3	4.3	8.5	46.1	14.6	4.2			100.0	
Black or African American	9	4	4		4		4	4	4					4	4					9
	1.0	1.0	1.1		1.7		3.4	2.1	3.9					1.3	1.2					20.0
Asian or Asian American	9	3	6					5	3	3	3		3		9					9
	1.0	0.6	1.4					2.6	2.4	2.9	1.3		2.7		2.6					20.0
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	1	0.1					0.1		0.3	1	1			1					1
	0.1	0.2									0.3	0.5			0.3					2.2
Multiple Races, Non-Hispanic	5	2	3		1		1	3	2	1	2		2	0.4	1	4	1			5
	0.6	0.4	0.7		0.2		0.4	1.3	1.5	0.9	0.6		1.2		0.2	1.1	0.3			11.3
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.1	0.1						0.2	0.4							0.1				1.1
Race or Ethnicity Not Listed	20	12	7	4	5	3	1	5	3	2	6	4	2	9	8	3				20
	2.3	2.8	1.7	4.5	1.8	2.6	1.0	2.4	2.7	2.1	2.4	3.1	1.5	2.5	2.4	1.6				45.4

Table 85

D4. Which race or ethnicity do you most identify with?

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
White, Non-Hispanic	603	13	99	91	57	72	272	202	400	29	51	95	97	96	48	108	80	192	252	31	162	350
	70.0	86.2	64.3	81.8	61.1	64.7	72.0	72.4	68.9	61.4	47.0	64.3	72.9	73.5	88.1	87.4	51.4	68.4	81.7	56.3	68.9	72.3
Hispanic, All Races	215		43	16	29	32	95	59	156	16	54	45	27	32		13	70	72	45	19	58	117
	25.0		27.9	14.4	31.2	29.1	25.1	21.1	26.9	33.5	49.9	30.3	20.6	24.7		10.6	44.9	25.7	14.7	33.8	24.8	24.1
Black or African American	9		9					9				4	4					9			4	
	1.0		5.6					3.1				2.8	3.4					3.1			1.9	
Asian or Asian American	9				3	3	3		9						6				6	3	5	
	1.0				2.7	3.1	0.7		1.5						10.9				1.9	6.1	2.2	
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1			1				1				0.2						0.1				1
	0.1			0.8				0.3														0.2
Multiple Races, Non-Hispanic	5		0.3	0.2	1	1	1	1	4		1	1	1	1		1	1	2	2	0.1	0.1	4
	0.6				1.5	1.3	0.4	0.2	0.7		0.6	0.6	0.8	0.8		0.7	0.4	0.7	0.6			0.9
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.1			0.4				0.2														
Race or Ethnicity Not Listed	20	2	3	3	3	2	7	8	12	2	3	3	3	1	1	2	5	6	3	2	5	12
	2.3	13.8	1.9	2.4	3.4	1.8	1.8	2.7	2.1	5.1	2.6	1.8	2.3	0.9	0.9	1.3	3.3	2.0	1.1	3.6	2.1	2.5

Table 85

D4. Which race or ethnicity do you most identify with?

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
White, Non-Hispanic	603	186	118	230	109	106	350	271	202	70	124	109	150	103	117
	70.0	71.3	76.3	65.2	57.4	81.7	70.3	68.4	73.8	59.7	60.2	63.2	91.5	63.0	75.2
Hispanic, All Races	215	62	28	106	69	20	121	108	56	41	65	60	6	56	28
	25.0	23.7	17.9	30.1	36.4	15.6	24.4	27.1	20.5	35.0	31.5	35.0	3.5	34.1	18.4
Black or African American	9	9			9			9			9				
	1.0	3.3			4.5			2.2			4.2				
Asian or Asian American	9		3	6			9		5	3	3		3		3
	1.0		1.6	1.7			1.7		1.9	2.9	1.7		1.6		1.6
American Indian or Alaskan Native	1	0.1	1		0.1		1	1	0.1				0.2	1	
	0.1		0.4				0.1	0.2						0.4	
Multiple Races, Non-Hispanic	5	1	0.3	3	0.2	0.2	4	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
	0.6	0.4		1.0			0.9	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.8	0.5	0.7
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.1		0.3				0.1			0.4				0.3	
Race or Ethnicity Not Listed	20	3	5	7	2	3	13	7	9	2	4	3	4	3	6
	2.3	1.3	3.1	2.0	1.3	2.5	2.6	1.7	3.1	1.4	2.0	1.5	2.3	1.7	4.0

Table 86

D5. Are you:

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Male	435	435		36	127	127		106	106		131	131		34	164	164	108	333	80	22
	50.5	100.0		42.3	49.2	100.0		53.5	100.0		50.8	100.0		56.4	47.5	48.7	59.5	55.3	37.2	50.3
Female	424		424	50	131		131	91		91	127		127	26	180	171	73	268	135	21
	49.2		100.0	57.7	50.5		100.0	45.9		100.0	49.0		100.0	43.2	52.3	50.8	40.3	44.5	62.5	48.1
Identify Differently	3				1			1			1			0.4	1	2	0.2	1	1	1
	0.3				0.2			0.6			0.2				0.2	0.5		0.2	0.3	1.5

Table 86

D5. Are you:

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Male	435	11	80	56	47	54	187	147	288	8	56	67	61	83	28	83	64	127	194	35	125	232
	50.5	76.2	51.9	50.0	50.6	48.9	49.6	52.4	49.6	17.2	52.3	45.3	45.6	63.7	51.4	67.0	41.6	45.5	62.8	63.0	53.1	48.0
Female	424	4	74	55	46	57	189	133	291	39	51	80	72	47	26	41	90	152	114	20	109	250
	49.2	23.8	48.1	49.9	48.8	51.0	50.0	47.5	50.0	82.3	47.3	54.4	54.2	35.8	48.3	32.9	58.0	54.3	36.8	36.4	46.4	51.8
Identify Differently	3			0.2	1	0.2	2	0.1	2	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.1	1	0.3	0.2	1	1	1	0.7	1	1
	0.3			0.7			0.4		0.4					0.5			0.4	0.2	0.3		0.5	0.2

Table 86

D5. Are you:

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861	262	155	353	190	130	498	396	274	116	207	172	164	164	155
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Male	435	159	93	149	120	79	216	204	142	54	99	85	85	88	79
	50.5	60.9	60.0	42.3	63.1	61.1	43.4	51.4	52.0	46.6	48.0	49.3	51.8	53.5	50.7
Female	424	102	61	202	69	50	280	192	131	60	107	87	78	75	76
	49.2	39.0	39.6	57.3	36.5	38.7	56.3	48.5	47.9	51.7	51.9	50.3	47.8	46.1	49.1
Identify Differently	3	0.1	1	2	1	0.2	2	0.1	0.1	2	0.1	1	1	1	0.2
	0.3		0.4	0.4	0.4		0.3			1.6		0.5	0.3	0.4	

Table 87

To ensure that we have a statistically representative sample of the Glenwood Springs community, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and indicate which neighborhood or area of town you live in?

	GENDER			GENZ AND MILLENNIALS				GEN X			BOOMERS AND SILENT				CONSOLIDATED AGE			RACE ETHNICITY		
	TOTAL	MEN	WO MEN	GENZ 18-24	MILL 25-39	MILL MEN	MILL WOM	GENX 40-54	GENX MEN	GENX WOM	BOOM 55-74	BOOM MEN	BOOM WOM	SLNT 75+	18-39	40-64	65 PLUS	WHI TE	HISP ANIC	OTH
TOTAL	861	435	424	86	258	127	131	198	106	91	258	131	127	60	344	336	181	603	215	43
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Ward 1	207	99	107	2	85	36	49	47	32	16	60	27	32	13	87	85	34	124	65	17
	24.0	22.8	25.3	2.2	33.0	28.3	37.8	23.8	29.9	17.1	23.1	20.6	25.6	20.8	25.3	25.4	18.8	20.7	30.2	39.8
Ward 2	172	85	87	23	49	14	34	27	8	18	59	31	28	14	71	53	47	109	60	3
	20.0	19.5	20.4	26.5	18.8	11.3	26.0	13.9	7.9	20.4	22.9	23.4	22.4	23.8	20.7	15.9	26.2	18.0	28.0	7.3
Ward 3	164	85	78	14	31	16	16	41	17	24	68	34	34	9	46	81	37	150	6	8
	19.0	19.5	18.5	16.4	12.2	12.4	12.1	20.8	15.8	26.5	26.3	25.6	27.2	14.7	13.2	24.1	20.6	24.8	2.7	18.8
Ward 4	164	88	75	25	52	36	16	34	20	14	37	22	15	15	77	51	35	103	56	5
	19.0	20.1	17.8	29.0	20.3	28.5	12.1	17.0	18.5	15.4	14.5	16.9	11.9	25.1	22.5	15.2	19.5	17.1	25.9	11.0
Ward 5	155	79	76	22	40	25	16	49	30	19	34	18	16	9	63	65	27	117	28	10
	18.0	18.1	18.0	26.0	15.7	19.6	12.0	24.5	27.9	20.6	13.2	13.5	12.9	15.6	18.3	19.4	14.9	19.3	13.2	23.0

Table 87

To ensure that we have a statistically representative sample of the Glenwood Springs community, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and indicate which neighborhood or area of town you live in?

	LIVED IN GLENWOOD SPRINGS									INCOME						CONSOLIDATED INCOME			FOCUS ON RESIDENTS VS TOURISTS			
	TOTAL	LESS YEAR	1 5	6 10	11 15	16 20	MORE 20	LESS 10	MORE 10	LESS 30K	31K 50K	50K 75K	75K 100K	100K 125K	125K 150K	MORE 150K	LESS 50K	51K 100K	MORE 100K	RESI DENT	BAL ANCE	TOUR IST
TOTAL	861	15	154	111	93	111	377	280	581	47	108	147	133	130	55	124	155	280	309	56	236	484
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Ward 1	207	5	66	22	19	16	78	93	114	9	22	41	40	31	15	28	31	82	74	11	56	119
	24.0	30.8	42.7	20.1	20.6	14.8	20.8	33.1	19.6	18.6	20.5	28.0	30.3	23.6	26.8	22.8	20.0	29.1	23.8	19.7	23.9	24.6
Ward 2	172	1	17	13	26	28	88	31	141	8	38	29	28	16	8	26	46	57	50	6	70	71
	20.0	4.0	11.3	11.7	27.4	24.9	23.4	11.0	24.3	17.9	35.1	19.9	21.0	12.2	14.9	21.2	29.8	20.4	16.3	10.4	29.7	14.7
Ward 3	164	5	22	26	10	33	68	52	111	6	16	20	28	25	10	33	23	48	67	6	46	94
	19.0	31.2	14.1	23.3	11.0	29.9	18.0	18.7	19.2	13.5	15.0	13.4	21.4	19.1	18.5	26.3	14.5	17.2	21.9	11.0	19.6	19.5
Ward 4	164	1	23	24	16	19	81	48	115	18	16	26	17	27	9	12	34	43	48	23	25	108
	19.0	9.1	14.6	21.9	16.6	17.3	21.4	17.2	19.9	38.0	15.0	17.9	12.6	21.0	16.2	9.6	22.0	15.3	15.5	40.4	10.7	22.3
Ward 5	155	4	26	26	23	15	62	56	99	6	15	31	19	32	13	25	21	50	69	10	38	92
	18.0	24.9	17.2	23.1	24.4	13.2	16.4	19.9	17.1	12.0	14.4	20.8	14.7	24.2	23.5	20.2	13.6	17.9	22.5	18.5	16.1	19.0

Table 87

To ensure that we have a statistically representative sample of the Glenwood Springs community, could you please verify that you are at least 18 years old and indicate which neighborhood or area of town you live in?

	DEVELOPMENT OF NEW HOUSING FOR SALE			DEVELOPMENT OF NEW APARTMENTS			RATE OF RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL GROWTH			WARD					
	TOTAL	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	TOO SLOW	RGHT AMNT	TOO FAST	WARD 1	WARD 2	WARD 3	WARD 4	WARD 5
TOTAL	861 100.0	262 100.0	155 100.0	353 100.0	190 100.0	130 100.0	498 100.0	396 100.0	274 100.0	116 100.0	207 100.0	172 100.0	164 100.0	164 100.0	155 100.0
Ward 1	207 24.0	66 25.1	35 22.8	71 20.1	70 37.0	36 27.7	93 18.6	97 24.6	64 23.4	28 24.3	207 100.0				
Ward 2	172 20.0	61 23.4	22 14.3	76 21.7	38 20.1	18 13.6	112 22.4	84 21.1	53 19.3	23 19.6		172 100.0			
Ward 3	164 19.0	53 20.4	28 17.7	63 17.7	36 19.1	26 20.3	87 17.5	68 17.1	54 19.5	18 15.1			164 100.0		
Ward 4	164 19.0	35 13.5	34 21.9	85 24.2	17 9.0	23 17.6	117 23.5	84 21.3	50 18.2	27 23.5				164 100.0	
Ward 5	155 18.0	46 17.6	36 23.3	58 16.4	28 14.9	27 20.7	89 18.0	63 16.0	54 19.6	20 17.5					155 100.0